SOUTHERN REGIONAL COMMITTEE
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
BANGALORE

Minutes of the 335th Meeting of SRC held at the Conference Hall of NCTE, Bangalore on 11th – 12th April, 2017.

The following persons attended the Meeting:-

1.	Sri. S.Sathyam	-	Chairman
2.	Prof. M.S. Lalithamma	-	Member
3.	Dr. J.D. Singh	-	Member
4.	Shri. Krishna Mohan Rao	-	Member
4.	Dr. J. Prasad	-	Member
5.	Smt. Jalajakshi	-	Member
6.	Shri. Sanjay Gupta Regional Director	-	Non-Member (Convenor)

The following members did not attend the Meeting:

- Prof. Sandeep Ponnala, ~~Dr. M.P. Vijaykumar~~, Prof. K. Dorasami, Smt. Padma Sarangapani and the Representatives of the Govts. of Andhra Pradesh, Tamilnadu & Lakshadweep .
- Dr. M.P. Vijaykumar took leave of absence due to unavoidable preoccupations.

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

Consideration of 2017-2018 & 2016-17 applications VT report, SCN reply and Non- Submission reply : (Volume-1)

1.	SRCAPP2016 30006 M.Ed 1 Unit APS08247 B.Ed 2 Units Menakshi Ramasamy College of Education, Perambalur, Tamilnadu	**Menakshi Ramasamy Educational Trust, Thathanur Village, Trichy Main Road, Udayarpalyam Taluk, Perambalur District-621804,Tamil Nadu** applied for grant of recognition to **Menakshi Ramasamy College of Education, Thathanur Village, Trichy Main Road, Udayarpalyam Taluk, Perambalur District-621804,Tamil Nadu** for offering **M.Ed** course of two years duration for the academic year 2017-18 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on **11.05.2016**.The institution has submitted the hard copy of the application on **24.05.2016.** As per Regulations, a letter to State Government for recommendation was sent on 22.06.2016, followed by Reminder I on 01.10.2016 and Reminder II on 02.11.2016. No recommendation received from the State Govt. The period of 90 days as per Regulations is over. Hence, the application is processed. As per public notice for 2017-18, there is no ban for M.Ed course in the State of Tamil Nadu. As per the direction the application has been scrutinized online along with hard copy and documents which was placed before SRC in its 324th meeting held on 07th – 08th December 2016. The Committee considered the matter and decided as under: **1. Title is in order.** **2. EC & LUC are there.** **3. BP is given.** **4. BCC is given-there is some discrepancy in Sy. Nos. between BCC and Land document. Ask them to clarify.** **5. NOC from affiliating body-received on time.** **6. Issue Show Cause Notice for the discrepancy in Sy. Nos.** Accordingly, a show cause notice was issued to the institution through online on 08.12.2016. The institution has submitted hard copy of reply on 19.12.2016 and also submitted through online on 29.12.2016 along with documents. The SRC in its 327th meeting held on 19th & 20th January, 2017 the committee considered the reply and documents and decided as under:- 1. **The explanation is satisfactory.** 2. **Cause composite inspection of B.Ed.(2 units) and M.Ed.(1 unit).**

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

As per the decision of SRC and as per Regulations 2014 inspection of the institution was scheduled through online mode during 23.03.2017 to 12.04.2017. The visiting Team Members Dr. Anuradha Sindhwani and Basheer Ahmed gave their acceptance for the visit in online mode on 13.03.2017.

Inspection of the institution was conducted on 31.03.2017 and the **hard copy of the VT Report was received on 05.04.2017.**

The inspection report is as detailed below:-

Details of the proposed and existing programs of the institution as per the VT Report					
Proposed Programme.			**Existing Programme.**		
Sl.No.	Name of the course	Intake	Sl.No.	Name of the course	Intake
1	SRCAPP201630006 (M.Ed.)	50 (1 Units).	1	APS08247 (B.Ed.)	100 (2 Units).

REGISTRATION BYE-LAW CERTIFICATE	Date of Reg. and in the name of	23.08.2000, Meenachi Rama Trust.

Details of Land Documents:	
Registered certified copy of the Land documents: Submitted / Not submitted	Photocopy of the land documents submitted in English Version.
(whether in English or Regional language) (whether certified/notarized English translation submitted)	Photocopy of land documents submitted in English version.
Date of registration of land	1. 15.11.2005. 2. 24.05.2001. 3. 25.09.2000.
Land registered in the name of	Meenachi Ramasamy Educational Trust.
Type of title deed i.e. sale deed/lease deed (Govt. /Pvt.)/gift deed	1. Deed of Sale Executed. 2. Deed of Sale Executed. 3. Deed of Sale Executed.
Extent of land in each Sy. No./ Plot No./ Khasara No.	**Not Mentioned.**
AFFIDAVIT:-	Original affidavit submitted.
Sy. No	Sy.No.136/1B.
Location	Thathanur Village, Ariyalur District, Tamil Nadu.
Land is on own/lease basis	Ownership basis.
Extent	**Total Area of the land = (1.53 Acres) 6191.69 Sq.Mtr.**
Blue print/Notarized copy of	Photocopy of Building Plan submitted in A4

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

Building Plan submitted/ Not submitted :-	sheet.
Name and address of Society/Trust/Institution	Menakshi Ramasamy Teacher Training Institute & Menakshi Ramasamy College of Education, Trichy Main Road, M.R Kalvi Nagar Thathanur Post, Udayarpalayam Taluk, Ariyalur District, Tami Nadu.
Whether Building Plan is for the proposed institution/ course or also for some other TEI/course	Menakshi Ramasamy Teacher Training Institute & Menakshi Ramasamy College of Education.
Plot area/land area	**1.53 Acres.**
Survey/Plot/Khasara No. and location	S.F.No.136-1B, Trichy Main Road, M.R Kalvi Nagar Thathanur Post, Udayarpalayam Taluk, Ariyalur District, Tami Nadu.
Total built-up area	**Not legible.**
Built up area for the proposed and existing teacher education courses	**Not legible.**
Date of approval	**Not mentioned.**
Name and designation of approving authority	President of 1st Grade Village Panchayat, Tathanur.
Notarized copy of Land Use Certificate submitted /Not submitted	Photocopy of Land Use Certificate submitted.
Name of the Society/ Trust/ Institution	Menakshi Ramasamy Educational Trust.
Survey/Plot/Khasara No. and location	Sy.No.136-1B, Thathanur Village, Udayapalayam Taluk, Ariyalur District, Tami Nadu.
Extent of land	**Total Extent is 1.53 Acres.**
Purpose of Land	Educational Purpose.
Date of issue	18.09.2012.
Name and designation of approving authority	Thasildar Jayankondam.
Notarized copy of the Building Completion Certificate submitted /not submitted	Photocopy of Building Completion Certificate submitted.
Name and address of Society/Trust/Institution	Meenachi Ramasamy Educational Trust.
Survey/Plot/ Khasara Nos. and location	136-1B, Trichy Main Road, Thathanur Panchayath.
Built up area for the proposed course and/or for existing course	GF= 10153 Sq.ft. FF= 10153 Sq.ft. SF = 10153 Sq.ft. TF = 10153 Sq.ft. **Total = 40612 Sq.ft, 3772.97 Sq.Mtr**

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

Type of Roofing	**Not mentioned.**
Purpose for which building is being used/proposed to be used	Educational Purpose.
Date of issue	17.09.2007.
Name and designation of approving authority	Assistant Executive Engineer, P.W.D.
Notarized copy of Encumbrance Certificate submitted/ Not submitted	**Photocopy of Encumbrance Certificate submitted in regional language.**
Name of the Society/Trust/Institution	Encumbrance Certificate submitted in regional language.
Survey/Plot/Khasara Nos. and location	S.No.136/1, 136/1B.
Search for the period	01.01.1985 to 30.03.2017.
Extent of land	Encumbrance Certificate submitted in regional language.
Any mortgage as per EC	Encumbrance Certificate submitted in regional language.
Date of issue	31.03.2017.
Name and designation of issuing authority	Sub-register
Fixed Deposit Receipts	Photocopy of FDRs submitted.
Original/ Photocopy of the FDR of Rs. 5 lakhs + 7 lakhs	Photocopy of the FDR of Rs. 5 lakhs + 7 lakhs submitted.
FDR / A/c Number	FDR No.198304, 198304.
Whether in single or joint A/c	Single A/c.
Date of issue	03.05.2016.
Date of Maturity	03.05.2017.
Name of issuing Bank	Canara Bank.
Whither NOC from Affiliating body of state Government issuing.	Submitted on 30.05.2016.
NAAC Certificate submitted/Not submitted.	Not submitted.

Comments of VT Members		
1.		3772.96 Sq.Mtr.
2.	Furniture	Adequate
3.	Science Lab	Adequate
4.	Psychology Lab	Adequate

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

5.	ET Lab	Adequate
6.	Multipurpose hall	Adequate
7.	Language Learning L	Adequate
8.	Other Labs	Adequate
9.	Seating capacity in th library	Adequate
10.	No. of books in the library and Journals	4799 books & 10 journals.
		No

Remarks:-

- **Society registration certificate not submitted.**
- **Registered/certified land documents not submitted, submitted only photocopy of Deed of sale executed and not mentioned the Survey number and Extent of land.**
- **The institution has submitted the photocopy of Building Plan in A4 sheet, the total build up area and date of approval not legible.**
- **As per the Building Completion Certificate date of issue and type of roofing not mentioned.**
- **Encumbrance certificate submitted in regional language.**
- **NAAC Certificate not submitted for M.Ed course. Instead has submitted a letter dated 07.05.2014 informing that the institution has been accredited for five years from 05.04.2014.**
- **FDR not in Joint A/c and also valid for 1 year only.**

The Committee considered the VT report, VCD and all relevant documentary evidences and decided as under:-

1. **VT Inspection report seen.**
2. **The CD shows two different buildings. Which one is for which course is not clear. They should explain.**
3. **The built-up area available is 3773 sq.mts. The area required,**

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		according to the Regulation-Appendix-4 relating to Norms and Standards of B.Ed.-Entry 6.1., is 4000 sq.mts. (B.Ed.+D.El.Ed. Combo-3000 sq.mts.+500 for 2nd unit of B.Ed.+500 sq.mts. for 1 unit of M.Ed.). The built up area available is, therefore inadequate. **4. It is strange that neither the Management nor the Visiting Team have referred to one unit of D.El.Ed.** **5. Issue Show Cause Notice accordingly.**
2.	SRCAPP2016 30053 D.P.SE 1 Unit District Institute of Education and Training, Medak, Telangana	**District Institution of Education and Training, Haveli Ghanpur Village, Medak Taluk, City & Distirct-502113, Telangana** applied for grant of recognition to **District Institute of Education and Training, Medak, Haveli Ghanpur Village & Road, Medak Taluk, Haveli Ghanpur City, Medak District-502113, Telangana** for offering **D.P.S.E** course for two years duration for the academic year 2017-18 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on **28.05.2016**. The institution submitted the hard copy of the application on **11.07.2016.** As per Regulations, a letter to State Government for recommendation was sent on 27.08.2016 followed by Reminder I on 12.10.2016 and Reminder II on 11.11.2016. No recommendation received from the State Government, the period of 90 days as per Regulations is over. Hence, the application is processed. As per public notice for 2017-18, there is no ban for D.P.S.E course in the State of Telangana. The application was processed and placed before the SRC in its 327th meeting held on 19th – 20th January, 2017. The Committee considered the matter and decided as under: **1. NOC is there.** **2. Ask them to file a copy of the allotment letter relating to the Govt. land given.** **3. LUC/EC not required.** **4. BCC is in order. Built-up area shown is around 2300 sq.mts. Which may be inadequate for 4 courses in reference.** **5. Cause composite inspection.** Accordingly, inspection intimation was sent to the institution and VT members through online on 21.01.2017. The inspection of the institution was conducted on 08.02.2017 and the VT report along with CD received on 13.02.2017.

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

The SRC in its 331st meeting held on 22nd February, 2017, considered the matter and decided as under:

1. **NOC is available.**
2. **Govt. land available.**
3. **LUC/EC not required.**
4. **BCC is available. They have three D.El.Ed. units.**
5. **Built-up area as per VT report is 2300 sq.mts. as against requirement of 3500 sq.mts.**
6. **Multipurpose hall area is inadequate.**
7. **Issue Show Cause Notice.**

Accordingly, Show cause notice was issued to the institution through online on 07.03.2017.

The institution has not submitted the reply.

The SRC in its 334th meeting held on 30th – 31st March, 2017, considered the matter and decided as under:

1. **No reply has been received to SCN issued on 6.3.17.**
2. **Give time till 10.4.2017.**
3. **Put up in the next meeting.**

Now, an e-mail received from the institution on 04.04.2017. It stated as under:

Sl. No.	Deficiency pointed by SRC	Institution written representation	Remarks	
5	Built-up area as per VT report is 2300 sq.mts. as against requirement of 3500 sq.mts.		**The institution has submitted photocopy of BP.**	
			Name and address of Society/Trust/Institution	**DIET Building, Medak**
			Whether Building Plan is for the proposed institution/ course or also for some other TEI/course	**DIET Building, Medak**

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

				Plot area/land area	2503 Sq.mts
				Total built-up area	Not Mentioned
				Built up area for the proposed and existing teacher education courses	
				Date of approval	Not Approved
				Name and designation of approving authority	
	6	Multipurpose hall area is inadequate.	The institution in its reply stated as under: *"...with reference to the subject cited above, I would like to inform you that, we have applied for DPSE (One Unit) in the month of April 2016 and cause inspection on 10th of February 2017. During the inspection, repairing work is going on. Due to that, we could not able to measure the building on the inspection day. At the time of application, we have not measured the corridors and verandahs and approximately we got 2300 sq.mts. The same was mentioned by the VT members in the inspection report. The hostel building was not taken in to consideration for DPSE.* *Actually the area of the building is 2503 Sq.mts. (newly measured plan is enclosed with this letter) Two additional classrooms are also constructed in the year of 2010 measures 128 Sq.mts. The total construction of classrooms and administrative building is 2631 Sq.mts. Hostel building is 1343.85 Sq.mts. The total of DIET building measures 3974 Sq.Mts. The required area for existing courses and for sanctioning of DPSE is 3500 Sq.mts. Hence we are eligible for*	The institution has submitted photocopy of letter regarding sanction of Rs. 20 Lakhs towards Construction of Teacher Training and Research Centre.	

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

sanction of DPSE course.

Now we are not using the hostels for residence. This may be utilized for training programme. The details of hostel building are given here under.

S. No	Particulars	Number	Measurement	Total in Sq.Fts	Total in Sq.mts
1	Rooms	(48)	12.6x10.6	6410.88	595.50
2	Dining halls	(4)	15x26x6	2340.00	21.39
3	Kitchen room	(1)	15x12	180.00	16.72
4	Verandah in the kitchen	(1)	4.7x8.3	39.01	3.62
5	Store Room	(1)	8x12.6	100.80	9.36
6	Service room	(1)	10x5	50.00	4.64
7	Bathrooms	(8)	4.6x5.3	19.04	18.11
8	Toilets	(24)	4.6x3.6	397.44	36.91
9	Verandas	(12)	6.6x60	4752.00	441.4
10	Total			14465.17	1343.85

Recently, on 2nd February 2017 Commissioner and Director of School Education Telangana has sanctioned a Training center to Govt. DIET and released and amount of Rupees 20,00,000 (Twenty Lakhs only) for construction of the building block and requested the Dist collector to take up the work as early as possible. (Copy enclosed) They have clearly mentioned that this may be utilized for the purpose of trainings and for the DIET trainees. This can be utilized as multipurpose hall. I assure you that the NCTE norms can be fulfilled by this institute. Hence I request the chairman and SRC Members to consider this for grant of DPSE for which I will be grateful to you sir."

Note:

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		• Hostel B/P, BCC not enclosed. **The Committee considered the Show Cause Notice reply and decided as under:-** **1. Their reply to the SCN is not satisfactory.** **2. Built-up area is short by 1200 sq.mts. They have stated that accommodation available in the hostel will be used for the DPSE course. But, BP & BCC of hostel building are not given.** **3. It will not be possible for SRC to recognize such temporary adjustements particularly in the absence of BP and BCC.** **4. Ask them to submit BP & BCC of the Hostel building to check details of the accommodation available.**
3.	SRCAPP2016 30064 M.Ed & BA.B.Ed BSc.B.Ed 2 Units Azim Premji University, Bangalore, Karnataka	**Azim Premji Foundation for Development, PES Campus, Pixel Park B Block, Electrinic City, Hosur Road (Behind Nice Road), Anekal, Bangalore, Karnataka** has submitted an online application for offering **M.Ed and B.A.B.Ed / B.Sc.B.Ed** course in the name of **Azim Premji University, PES Campus, Pixel Park, B Block, Electronic City, Hosur Road, (Behind Nice Road), Bangalore (U)-560010, Karnataka** on 30.05.2016 and hard copy of the application was received on 07.06.2016. Letter was addressed to the Secretary to Government, Education Department, Government of Karnataka seeking recommendation/comments in respect of the application received by the SRC-NCTE for recognition of the proposed B.P.Ed course, on 22.06.2016. Reminder –I was issued on 01.10.2016. Reminder-II was sent to the Government on 02.11.2016. Recommendation of the State Government was received by this office after the completion of 90 days from the date of issue of the letters to the State Government vide Govt. Order No:ED 281 URC 2016, Bangalore, dated 15.12.2016 for B.A., B.Ed / B.Sc.B.Ed and vide order no. ED 282 URC 2016, Bangalore, dated 14.12.2016 for M.Ed course. The online application was scrutinized along with hard copy of the application. The SRC in its 324th meeting held during 07th – 08th December, 2016, considered the scrutiny of the application and decided as under:-

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

1."Four entities are involved- Azim Premji Trust; Azim Premji Foundation for Development; Peoples Education Society; and Azim Premji University.
2.The promoter society is the Foundation, They have no title to the lands.
3.The University is the institution concerned. They have only 'lease hold title'acquired from a private party. This is not admissible under the 2014 Regulations.
4.Azim Prmji Trust and Peoples Education Society are not legally relevant to this case.
5. EC is not given.
6.LUC is not given.
7.BP is not given.
8.BCC is not given. Building is reported to be still under construction.
9.For M.Ed –NAAC certificate is not given.
10. Issue Show Cause Notice accordingly."

As per the decision of SRC a Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution on 08.12.2016. The institution has submitted reply through online on 29.12.2016 and hard copy on 30.12.2016.

The SRC in its 327th meeting held during 19th& 20th January, 2017 considered the notice reply and decided as under:-

1. **"The SRC did not mean to question the academic standing of the Azim Premji University.**
2. **The SRC has to function strictly under the NCTE Regulations. It has no power or discretion to relax the Regulations. Only the Council has that power.**
3. **The Azim Premji University may approach the NCTE (HQ) fir obtaining the relaxation required by them. Thereafter, they can approach the SRC for appropriate further attention/action."**

Accordingly, as per the decision of SRC a letter was issued to the institution on 25.01.2017.

But till date the institution has not submitted any reply for further action.

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		The Committee considered the above matter and decided as under:- **1. There are too many deficiencies in this case.** **2. They have not cared to address any one of them substantively.** **3. Reject the application.** **4. Return FDRs, if any.** **5. Close the file.**
4.	SRCAPP2016 30070 M.Ed 1 Unit Sree Krishna College of Education, Nagapattinam, Tamilnadu	**Sri Krishna Educational and Charitable Trust, Porayar Village, 69, Alarveli, Tharangambadi Taluk, Porayar City, Nagapattinam District-609307,Tamil Nadu** applied for grant of recognition to **Sree Krishna College of Education, Porayar Village, No.69 Alarveli Street, Tranqbar Taluk, Porayar City, Nagapattinam District-609307,Tamil Nadu** for offering **M.Ed** course of two years duration for the academic year 2017-18 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on **31.05.2016**.The institution has submitted the hard copy of the application on **15.06.2016.** As per Regulations, a letter to State Government for recommendation was sent on 22.06.2016 followed by Reminder I on 01.10.2016 and Reminder II on 02.11.2016. The period of 90 days as per Regulations is over. Hence, the application is processed. As per public notice for 2017-18, there is no ban for M.Ed course in the State of Tamil Nadu. The application was scrutinized online along with hard copy of the application and the same was placed before SRC in its 326th Meeting held on 4th to 5th January, 2017 and the Committee decided as under :- **1. Land document is in order. Land area adequate.** **2. LUC is in order.** **3. BP is in order. BCC is in order.** **Built up area is adequate.** **4. Proof of submission of application to NAAC is not given.** **5. Latest EC is required.** **6. Cause composite inspection of B.Ed.(2 units) and M.Ed.(1 unit).** As per the decision of SRC and as per Regulations, 2014 inspection of the

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

institution was scheduled though online mode during 08.02.2017 and 28.02.2017.

Inspection of the institution was conducted during 27th to 28th February, 2017 and VT report was received through e-mail on 28.02.2017.

An email was received by this office on 02.03.2017 & 03.03.2017, **Hard copy of the VT Report was received by this office on 03.03.2017.**

The SRC in its 332nd meeting held on 28th February to 3rd March 2017 the committee considered the VT report and decided as under :-

1. **Title is clear. Land area is adequate.**
2. **LUC is in order.**
3. **Latest EC is given. But, 'verification period' was only upto 17.1.16. Verification should be upto date.**
4. **BP is not approved by competent authority.**
5. **FDRs given have only one year validity as against a 5-year validity required.**
6. **BCC does not mention type of roof.**
7. **Issue SCN accordingly.**

Before issuance of SCN, based on the website information of the SRC decision, the institution has submitted a reply on 22.03.2017.

The SRC in its 334th meeting held on 30th to 31st March, 2017 the committee considered the matter and decided as under:-

1. **They have not replied to our SCN about not giving NAAC certificate.**
2. **Remind.**
3. **Put up on 11.4.2017.**

Based on the website information of the SRC decision, the institution has submitted a reply on 31.03.2017 and stating as under:-

Sl. No	Deficiency Pointed out in 334th SRC meeting	Reply of the institution	Details of the documents

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		1	They have not replied to our SCN about not giving NAAC certificate.	*"I have to bring to your that kind notice that all necessary formalities where already done. As per the prescribed information by the concern authority in time. Accordingly, the LOI evaluation result has been received and also SAR submitted.* *Also it is brought your kind notice that all the necessary steps are*	• The institution has submitted copy of NAAC LOI Fee submission intimation Format and NAAC application form.

Remarks:-

- **NAAC Certificate not submitted.**

The Committee considered the above matter and decided as under:-

1. **Earlier when NAAC certificate was required for B.Ed. also, some relaxation of standards was given (eg. IEQA being sufficient in place of NAAC certificate).**
2. **This is a case of M.Ed. We must stick to the standards prscribed. They must be required to produce a regular NAAC certificate.**
3. **Reject the application.**
4. **Return FDRs, if any.**
5. **Close the file.**

5.	SRCAPP2016 30047 M.P.Ed 1 Unit	**Annamalai University, Thiruvetkulam Village, Department of Physical Education and Sports Sciences Chidambaram Taluk, Cuddalore District-608002, Tamil Nadu** has applied for grant of recognition to **Annamalai University, Annamalai Nagar Village, 1 Street, Chidambaram Taluk, Annamalai Nagar City, Cuddalore District-608002, Tamil Nadu** for offering **M.P.Ed** course for two year duration for the academic year 2017-18 under

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

	Annamalai University, Cuddalore, Tamilnadu	Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on **27.05.2016**.The institution has submitted the hard copy of the application on **10.06.2016.** As per Regulations, a letter to State Government for recommendation was sent on 04.07.2016 followed by Reminder I on 01.10.2016. The period of 90 days as per Regulations is over. Hence, the application is processed. As per public notice for 2017-18, there is no ban for M.P.Ed course in the State of Tamil Nadu. As per the direction, the application was scrutinized online along with hard copy of the application and the same was placed before SRC in its 327th meeting held on 19th to 20th January, 2017 and the Committee decided as under :- 1. NOC for both courses issued by Government. 2. Land document is there. Government land. Land area(24.97 acres) is adequate. 3. LUC/EC – given. 4. BP is given. Legible. Built-up area shown is 5881 sq.mts. 5. BCC is given. Built up area shown is 5881 sq.mts. 6. FDRs not given. 7. **Cause composite inspection**. 8. Ask VT to collect all relevant documents. As per the decision of SRC and as per Regulations 2014 inspection of the institution was scheduled through online mode during 01/02/2017 to 21/02/2017. Inspection of the institution was conducted on 17th to 18th February, 2017 and VT report is submitted in online on 24.02.2017 and hard copy of the VT Report was received on 27.02.2017 and 28.02.2017. The SRC in its 332nd meeting held on 28th February, to 3rd March, 2017, considered the matter and decided as under:- 1. **All requirements are met.** 2. **They cannot apply for B.P.Ed.-A.I. and M.P.Ed. in one application.** 3. **Issue LOI for B.P.Ed.-A.I. (1 unit).** Accordingly, LOI was issued for B.P.Ed-AI (1 Unit) through On-line mode on 07.03.2017. The university submitted the reply on 08.03.2017 and stated as under:-

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		"The Department of Physical Education and Sports Sciences, Annamalai University has submitted application with the NCTE for granting recognition to M.P.Ed. and additional intake of 1 unit for B.P.Ed. (for which recognition to already granted by NCTE) for the 2017-18 Session. In continuation of this, NCTE has assigned two VT members for assessing the infrastructural and institutional faculties available with the Department of Physical Education and sports Sciences, Annamalai University for granting recognition to M.P.Ed. and additional intake of 1 unit for B.P.Ed., who conducted the inspection on 17th & 18th February, 2017 and submitted their report to the NCTE as per the 327th meeting minutes of the SRC, Bangalore. The SRC, NCTE has discussed the case of Department of Physical Education and Sports Sciences, Annamalai University and resolved to issue LOI for additional intake for B.P.Ed. only as per the 332nd meeting minutes of SRC, Bangalore. In this connection we wish to bring to your kind notice the following matters. ❖ *The Department of Physical Education and Sports Sciences, Annamalai University has applied for recognition for M.P.Ed. and additional intake of 2 units for B.P.Ed. through the 'Instructions for submitting online application' which specifically mention --- 'It may be noted if any prospective society / Organization is opting for multiple Teacher Education Programme / Courses apply in the same application. The applicant need not submit a separate applications for the same institute' (Instruction for submitting online application, NCTE, page No.02 last Para) and also in accordance with the applications ---- "prospecting organization need to submit a single application for a particular institute, irrespective of intending for new programmes or increasing in intake or both "____" But for a particular TEI there has to be only a single application (during a particular session)" (Instruction for submitting online application, NCTE, page No.12,).* ❖ *We have submitted four soft copies of the application along with all necessary documents in person to the NCTE, SRC, Bangalore and got our endorsed copy after scrutiny by NCTE – SRC, Bangalore.* ❖ *No fault was noticed by NCTE, SRC in the application submitted by us, as evident from the minutes of meeting held on 19th & 20th January 2017, excepting the FDRs not given which was already clarified.* ❖ *The NCTE, SRC has assigned two VT members to inspect the infrastructural and institutional facilities and the same was intimated to us by NCTE and consequently the inspection was held on 17th & 18th February, 2017. The VT members made a comprehensive inspection and the VT members submitted their report.* ❖ *From the minutes of the meeting of NCTE, SRC held between 28th February, 2017 and 3rd March 2017 we understand our prayer for* ***granting recognition for M.P.Ed. is not considered with an observation of 'They cannot apply for B.P.Ed. AI and M.P.Ed. recognition in one application'*** *which is contradictory to the instructions provided for submitting online application.*

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		❖ *We observed that our institution fulfilled all requirements both on infrastructural and instructional grounds from the observation made by NCTE, SRC in their minutes as 'Everything in order' and we like to bring to your notice* ***The filling of application online process was done strictly following the instructions provided by NCTE only.*** ❖ *As the application is submitted as per the instructions provided and no fault was found during Scrutiny at different stages by NCTE, SRC the institution fulfills the conditions for getting recognition for M.P.Ed. and additional intake for B.P.Ed., I am hereby requesting you to issue LOI regarding the grant of recognition for M.P.Ed. and also permit us to make an additional intake for B.P.Ed. Moreover we have already obtained recognition for B.P.Ed. and hence getting recognition for M.P.Ed. is our priority in case you decide to opt any one of our request* *I Herby request you to take in to consideration the above mentioned facts and take a favorable decision so that we are given recognition for M.P.Ed. in addition to the already issued permission to admit one additional unit for B.P.Ed. for which the authorities will be very much thankful.* **Note:-** • **As per decision of SRC in its 332nd meeting there is no director for M.P.Ed course.** • The SRC in its 333rd meeting held on 24th March,2017, considered the matter and decided as under:- **1. We have sought clarification from NCTE(HQ) whether one can apply for two programmes in one application.** **2. Await clarification.** **3. Put up on 30.3.17.** • **Reply from NCTE Hqrs received on 31.03.2017.** **The Committee considered the above matter and decided as under:-** **1. Land area is adequate for both B.P.Ed. & M.P.Ed.** **2. Built-up area required is (2700+500) 3200 sq.mts. whereas they have 5881 sq.mts.** **3. Issue LOI for M.P.Ed.(1 unit) also.**
6.	SRCAPP2016 30039 M.Ed 1 Unit University of	**Central University, Gachibowli Village, Prof. C.R. Rao Road, Hyderabad Taluk, Rangareddy District-500046, Telangana** applied for grant of recognition to **University of Hyderabad, Gachibowli Village, Prof. C.R. Rao Road, Serilingampally Mandal, Hyderabad Taluk, Rangareddy District-500046, Telangana** for offering **M.Ed** course for two years duration for the academic year 2017-18 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on **25.05.2016**.The

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

Hyderabad, Rangareddy, Telangana

institution has submitted the hard copy of the application on **07.06.2016.**

As per Regulations, a letter to State Government for recommendation was sent on 04.07.2016 followed by Reminder I on 01.10.2016 and Reminder II on 26.10.2016.

As per public notice for 2017-18, there is no ban for M.Ed course in the State of Telangana.

A letter dated 27.10.2016 received from Smt.Ranjeev R.Acharya, Education Department, Government of Telangana vide D.O.letter No. 7754/SE-Trg./A1/2016-2, dated: 27.10.2016 reads as under:

> ***"...that the National Council for Teacher Education, Southern Regional Committee, Bangalore in their reminders vide reference 3rd to 9th cited, has sought the Recommendations of the Government of Telangana for granting of Recognition to the Colleges for conducting B.Ed/D.P.S.E/M.Ed Programme from the Academic Year, 2017-2018, to the following Colleges in the State of Telangana:-***

Code No.	Name of the Educational Society applied for recognition	Name of the College	Course applied
SRCAPP2016 30079	Sri Venkatachalapathi Educational Society, Medak District	Vinayaka College of Education, Medak	B.Ed
SRCAPP2016 30052	Madrasa I Aizza, Adilabad District	Aizza College of Education, Adilabad	B.Ed
SRCAPP2016 30065	Vyjayanthi Educational Society, RR District	Sree Dattha Brindavan Institute of Teacher Education, Mahabubnagar	B.A.B.Ed., B.Sc.B.Ed
SRCAPP2016 30039	Central University, Rejection District	University of Hyderabad Rejection District	M.Ed
SRCAPP2016	AIM ASIA,	Mckenna	B.Ed & DPSE

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

30144	Nacharam, Hyderabad	College of Education, Nalgonda District.	
SRCAPP2016 30135	Tata Institute of Social Science, (Deemed University) Mumbai, Maharastra	Tata Institute of Social Sciences, TSIPARD Campus, RR District.	B.Ed. M.Ed
SRCAPP2016 30132	Tata Institute of Social Science, (Deemed University) Mumbai, Maharastra	Tata Institute of Social Sciences, TSIPARD Campus, RR District.	BA B.Ed / B.Sc. B.Ed & B.Ed

2. In this context, it is to inform you that, vide reference 2nd cited (copy enclosed), Government of Telangana already informed you not to grant ay Recognition to any proposal pending with the NCTE for starting New B.Ed/B.P.Ed/M.Ed/M.P.Ed Colleges which includes the Colleges specified in the reference 3rd to 9th, cited duly enclosing the Director of School Education, Telangana, Hyderabad, letter mentioned in the reference 1st cited (copy enclosed) which was addressed to the NCTE, New Delhi, and a copy marked to you in the matter. The Acknowledgement of the receipt of the reference 2nd cited by the NCTE is enclosed herewith for your information.

3. In spite of the Letter 2nd cited, which was received on 27.09.2016 by you, we are still receiving reminders dated 01.10.2016 and 26.10.2016, which were received in the department on 07.10.2016 & 27.10.2016 respectively, in the cases of the Colleges mentioned in the references 3rd t o 9th cited. It is not clear as to why this is happening.

4. Therefore, it is once again requested that NCTE should not grant any Recognition to any of these proposals pending with the NCTE for starting New B.Ed/B.P.Ed/M.Ed/M.P.Ed Colleges, which includes the colleges specified I the references 3rd to 9th cited, for the Academic Year 2017-2018 onwards, until specific requisition is sent from the State Government.

5. Your reply in the matter is requested at the earliest.

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

The SRC in its 323rd meeting held on 16th – 18th November 2016, considered the matter and decided as under:

- **The SRC has no authority to recognize requests for 'banning' courses. The State Government should have taken it up at the appropriate time with the NCTE (HQ).**
- **The present letter also cannot be taken as a common objection to all such cases pertaining to the State. At best, we can treat it as the State Govt.'s objection to the 7 cases listed in the letter. As regards other cases, it will be necessary for the State Govt. , in response to our notice, to send specific objections in individual cases. According to the NCTE Regulations, in case of no reply (to our notice in individual cases) from the State Governments, the Regional Committees will be free to process the case further and decide it on its merits.**

The State Government may be advised accordingly.

A letter sent to Dr. Prabhu Kumar Yadav, Research Officer, NCTE Hqrs regarding Clarification about Recommendation from the State Government of Telangana on 22.11.2016.

A letter received from NCTE, Hqrs though email dated 19.12.2016, it stated as under:

> "...***It is a legally, well settled position that once applications for various courses have been invited/received, they are to be processed by the Regional Committee concerned as per the Regulation 2014 and comments of State Govt. are again sought for under NCTE Regulations (Clause 7(4) of the Regulation 2014). The comments/recommendations of the state Govt. at this stage are expected to be based on merit of the individual application, in the absence of which RC's take their own decision regarding grant of recognition/refusal. It appears that in these cases when the comments of the State Govt. were invited, the State Govt. simply gave a negative recommendation with a blanket refusal and without assigning any reasons in each individual case. It was possible for the SRC to consider the views of the State Govt. if the recommendation would have been made available in each case on merit with adequate reason. As such, SRC has acted within its rights conferred under the provision of Section 14&15 of the NCTE Act 1993.***

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

However, in all such cases wherever the State Govt. feels that the institution does not qualify for grant of recognition and it is aggrieved with the decision of the SRC they may file an 'Appeal' under Section 18 of the NCTE Act. Section 18(1) of the Act categorically provides that 'any person aggrieved' by an order under Section 14 or 15 or 17 can prefer an Appeal. Till date, under this category, only applicant institutions have been filing appeals and not any other 'aggrieved person'."

The SRC in its 326th meeting held on 04th – 05th January, 2017 considered the matter and Noted.

A letter was sent to Smt. Ranjeev R.Acharya, Special Chief Secretary to Govt., Education Department, Government of Telangana on 18.01.2017 regarding communicating clarification from NCTE Hqrs in this regard.

The SRC in its 327th meeting held on 19th -20th January, 2017 considered the matter and decided as under:

1. ***This was finally disposed of in the last meeting. Even NCTE (HQ) has sent a detailed reply to the State Government.***
2. ***No further action is required for correspondence with the State Government.***
3. ***The Regional Director (SRO) has confirmed that no further communication has been received from the Govt. of Telangana about their objection to these 7 cases individually. The earlier common objection letter also does not give any reasons for the objection. The NCTE letter to the State Govt. makes it very clear that a blanket refusal without giving any reasons in each individual case renders it impossible for the SRC to consider the view of the State Govt. on merit with adequate reason.***
4. ***In the result, and for the reasons given above, it will not be possible to reject (or, even, hold up) these 7 cases. The Supreme Court prescribed dateline of 3 March 17 for issue of FR w.e.f. 2017-18 makes it imperative for us to give attention to these cases without further delay. That being so, processes these 7 cases and put them up for SRC consideration in the next meeting.***

As per the directions, the documents were processed and placed before the SRC in its 328th meeting held on 31st January, 2017. The Committee considered the matter and decided as under:

1. **Govt.'s land allotment order is given.**

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

2. **LUC/EC are not required.**
3. **BP/BCC are not given.**
4. **NAAC certificate not given.**
5. **The State Govt. has not given a specific NOC in this case. They have given only a common letter of Objection for many cases. No reasons have been given. As decided already by the NCTE HQ) this cannot be recognized as a valid NOC.**
6. **Cause inspection. Ask VT to collect all relevant documents.**

Accordingly, inspection intimation was sent to the institution and VT members through online on 04.02.2017. The inspection of the institution was conducted on 23.02.2017 & 24.02.2017 and the VT report along with CD received on 27.02.2017.

The SRC in its 332nd meeting held on 28th February – 03rd March, 2017,considered the matter and decided as under:

1. **VT Inspection report is seen.**
2. **Details are in order.**
3. **Issue LOI for M.Ed.(1 unit)**
4. **If the Faculty list is received by 3.3.17, we can issue FR w.e.f. 2017-18. Otherwise, this case will have to go only for 2018-19.**

Accordingly, Letter of Intent was sent to the institution through online mode on 02.03.2017.

An e-mail dated 03.03.2017 received from the institution, it stated as under:

"Following the minutes of 332nd meeting of SRC, I am given to understand that we need to submit the list of Faculty to SRC by today. As of now we have some Adjunct Faculty for the Department. WE are in the process of recruiting the regular faculty (Positions sanctioned by the UGC) and they will be in position before May this year.

In this regard, I would like to request you to give us some grace time for the submission of list of faculty and we will be very much obliged for this consideration."

The Committee considered the above matter and decided as under:-

1. **We cannot give any conditional FR.**
2. **We cannot accept recruitment of adjunct faculty.**

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		3. **We cannot also indefinitely wait for submission of LOI reply.** 4. **The Supreme Court has extended the time limit for sanction of FR for 2017-18 to 2.5.17.** 5. **Give them time till 30.4.17. Put up on 1.5.17.**
7.	SRCAPP2645 D.El.Ed-Al 1 Unit SRCAPP2648 B.Ed 2 Units Chegireddy Lingareddy Institute of Elementary Education, Prakasam, Andhra Pradesh	**D.El.Ed-A.I (SRCAPP2645)** **Sri Srisaila Bramarambha Mallikarjuna Educational Society, No. 9-1, Nehru Nagar Road, Kandulapuram Village, Cumbum Post, Taluk & City, Prakasam District-523333, Andhra Pradesh** applied for grant of recognition to **ChegireddyLingareddy Institute of Elementary Education, Plot/Khasara No. 3385/08, Plot No. 25/A2A,25A2B, 25/A4, Nehrunagar Street, Kandulapuram Village, Cumbum Post, Taluk & City, Prakasam District-523333, Andhra Pradesh** for offering **D.El.Ed-Al** course of 2 years duration for the academic session 2016-17 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee , NCTE through online on **29.05.2015.** The institution submitted hard copy of the application on **04.06.2015.** The application was processed as per NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedures) Regulations, 2014 notified by NCTE on 01.12.2014. A letter for recommendation of State Govt. was sent on 09.06.2015, followed by Reminder I on 25.08.2015 and Reminder II on 14.10.2015 **B.Ed (SRCAPP2648)** **Sri Srisaila Bramarambha Mallikarjuna Educational Society, No. 9-1, Nehru Nagar Road, Kandulapuram Village, Cumbum Post, Taluk & City, Prakasam District-523333, Andhra Pradesh** applied for grant of recognition to **Chegireddy Lingareddy Institute of Elementary Education, Plot/Khasara No. 3385/08, Plot No. 25/A2A,25A2B, 25/A4, Nehrunagar Street, Kandulapuram Village, Cumbum Post, Taluk & City, Prakasam District-523333, Andhra Pradesh** for offering B.Ed course of 2 years duration for the academic session 2016-17 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee , NCTE through online on **29.05.2015.** The institution submitted hard copy of the application on **04.06.2015.** The application was processed as per NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedures) Regulations, 2014 notified by NCTE on 01.12.2014. A letter for recommendation of State Govt. was sent on 15.06.2015, followed by Reminder I on 25.08.2015 and Reminder II on 19.10.2015. The Sub clause (7) of clause 7 of Regulations, 2014 for processing of applications stipulates as under:-

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

"After consideration of the recommendation of the State Government or on its own merits, the Regional Committee concerned shall decided that institution shall be inspected by a team of experts called visiting team with a view to assess the level of preparedness of the institution to commence the course".

The SRC in its 293rd meeting held during 29th to 31st October , 2015 considered the matter, documents submitted by the institution in respect of D.El.Ed-A.I (SRCAPP3645) and B.Ed (SRCAPP2648) courses along with hard copy of application and decided as under:-

1. ***Cause composite Inspection.***
2. ***VT should also collect all the relevant documents.***

As per the decision of SRC, inspection intimation was sent on 14.01.2016.

On 01.02.2016, the institution submitted a request for postponement of inspection for a few days which stated as under:-

"We are from Chegireddy Ligareddy Institute of Elementary Education ,Kandulapuram Cumbam, Prakasam District ,SRCAPP2645 & SRCAPP2648, A.P , Secretary & Correspondent , our college Mr.Chegireddy Ramaliga Reddy died by accident in the last week. He was the only son of our Chairman ,Chegireddy Ramlinga Reddy. Our Chairman is not interested to face the inspection for establishment new college /additional programme. He was sick and is also facing personal problems. So we request you to please postpone inspection because of the above said reason."

The SRC in its 301st meeting held on 05th & 06th February, 2016 considered the request for postponement of inspection and decided as under:

- **Any postponement at this stage will push them to the bottom of the list which may expose them to the vulnerability of missing the 3rd March, 2016 date line. Ask them whether they still wish to press for the postponement.**

Inspection intimation was sent to the institution on 17.03.2016. Letter as per SRC's decision not sent. The inspection has not been conducted so far.

The SRC in its 323rd meeting held on 16th – 18th November, 2016 considered the matter and decided as under;

1. **Cause composite inspection.**
2. **Ask VT to collect all relevant documents.**

Accordingly, as per decision of SRC inspection noticed through online on

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		24.02.2017 and inspection of the institution was fixed between 06.03.2017 to 26.03.2017. Now, the VT members submitted representation through e-mail on 04.04.2017 and stating as follows; ***".....we (Dr. N. Rajashekara and Dr. Preyanandni Dixit) VT members, kindly to inform you that Chegireddy Linga Reddy Institute of Elementary Education, Nehru Nagar, Kandula Puram Village, Cumbum Post, Prakasam – 523333, Andhra Pradesh SRC.No. SRCAPP2645, SRCAPP2648 has withdrawn the inspection. We are sending some letters, which was sent to us by college and they informed to SRC-NCTE also. Please find attachments."*** VT members attached institution's representation dated 18.03.2016 which is stating as follows; ***".....we are from Chegireddy Lingareddy Institute of Elementary Education, Kandulapuram, Cumbum, Prakasam District, under the Society of Sri Srisaila Bramarambha Mallikarjuna Educational Society, Kandulapuram, Cumbum, Prakasam District. We are not interesting to facing the inspection committee to our college, because of there is no demand in our state to this course. We ready to withdrawing the application and not taking inspection. In our state there are so many colleges. That this reason we are not interested to additional course and additional Unit."*** The institution written representation dated 18th & 19th March, 2017 is enclosed. **The Committee considered the above matter and decided as under:-** **1. The institute has requested for withdrawal of both the applications.** **2. The original applications were from the Society. But, the Withdrawal letter is from the Principal of the institute.** **3. We cannot act on such an application.** **4. Ask them for concurrence of the Society.**
8.	SRCAPP3166	**Syed Gouse Mohiddin, Plot No.-10-1-190(3), Budida Palyam Street, Kandukur Village & Post, Kandukur Taluk, Prakasam District-523105,**

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

	B.Ed SRM B.Ed College, Prakasam, Andhra Pradesh	**Andhra Pradesh** applied for grant of recognition to **SRM B.Ed College, Plot No.12-5-78(2), Subedar Street, Kandukur Village & Post, Kandukur Taluk, Prakasam District-523105, Andhra Pradesh** for **B.Ed** course of **two years** duration under section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on **30.05.2015** The institution submitted hard copy of the application on **05.06.2015.** The application was processed as per NCTE (Recognition norms and Procedures) Regulations 2014 notified by NCTE on 28.11.2014. A letter to the State Government for recommendation on 24.06.2015, followed by Reminder-I on 19.02.2016 and Reminder-II on 16.11.2016. The Sub clause 3 of clause 5 of the Regulations 2014 read as under:- *The application shall be submitted online electronically along with the processing fee and scanned copies of required documents such as no objection certificate issued by the concerned affiliating body.* The SRC, in its 291st meeting held during 20th & 21st August, 2015 considered the matter and on careful perusal of the original file of the institution and other related documents, the Regional Committee decided to issue Show Cause Notice for 'Rejection' of the application on the following ground:- • ***Non-Submission of NOC issued by the affiliating body along with hard copy of the application'*** As per the decision of SRC, a Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution on 24.10.2015. The institution submitted reply on 24.10.2015. The Southern Regional Committee in its 297th meeting held during 27th to 28th December, 2015 considered the matter, show cause notice reply dated 24.10.2015 from the institution and decided as under: ***"Delayed submission of NOC, Cannot be condoned. Reject."*** As per the decision of SRC, a rejection order was issued to the institution on 22.01.2016. The SRC in its 300th meeting held on 29th – 31st January 2016 decided as under: "....In the backdrop of representation received from the applicant – institutions about inappropriateness of the requirement to submit NOC from the affiliating body, the Committee considered the request for reconsideration of all cases rejected on this ground. In this connection,

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

all related legal and other implications as well as the irrepairable difficulties cause to applicant institutions were considered. The Committee also reckoned with the possible public interest, the Committee revised its earlier stand to reject all cases of non-submission of delayed submission of NOCs and ***decided to reopen and process all such rejected cases by accepting NOCs even now irrespective of their date of issue."***

The SRC in its 308th meeting held on 28th to 30th March 2016 considered the matter and decided as under:

1. **This is reopening of a case of delayed submission of NOC.**
2. **LUC, BCC and EC not given.**
3. **Cause Inspection.**
4. **Ask VT to obtain all relevant documents.**

According to the time-limit extended by the Supreme Court, 2 May 2016 is the last date for issue of Formal Recognition w.e.f. 2016-17. All concerned should be advised of this position so that they can take advantage of the extended time-limit even if necessary by foregoing normal 'notice periods'.

As per decision of SRC, inspection intimation letter was sent to the institution on 04.04.2016.

In 314th SRC meeting held on 27th – 28th May, Committee decided to cause inspection of RPRO cases. These are around 1885 fulfilling norms of 2014 Regulations. Simultaneously 2016-17 applications and these 1885 old institution of B.Ed (2 units)/M.Ed/B.P.Ed inspection got delay.

The inspection has not been conducted so far.

The SRC in its 326th meeting held on 4th & 5th January, 2017 considered the matter and decided as under:

1. **Inspection ordered earlier has not been done.**
2. **Cause inspection quickly now.**
3. **Ask VT to collect all relevant documents.**

Inspection of the institution was noticed through online on 10.02.2017 and inspection of the institution was fixed between 20.02.2017 to 12.03.2017. Inspection of the institution was cancelled by the system in online mode due to non acceptance of visit by one or both the VT members.

Again inspection was rescheduled through online mode on 24.02.2017 and inspection of the institution was fixed between 06.03.2017 to 26.03.2017.

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		Now, an e-mail received by VT member on 04.04.2017 and stating as follows; ***".....I requested to you that I had contact SRM B.Ed College, Subedar Street, Prakasam (AP) Managing Director's Mobile Number 09912613038 and 0949402499. But they are not interested for two year B.Ed course and they are not ready for inspection.*** ***So I send report for further action."*** **NOTE:** **The institution has not submitted any representation.** **The Committee considered the above matter and decided as under:-** **1. The VT has reported that the institution is not interested in pursuing the application;and, therefore, they do not want the inspection.** **2. Ask the applicant to confirm that they are not interested in the course and that they want to withdraw the application.**
9.	SRCAPP2016 30060 D.El.Ed 2 Units Royal College of Education, Ranga Reddy, Telangana	**Neo Royal Educational Society, Hyderabad Mandal & City, Hyderabad District – 500004, Telangana** applied for grant of recognition to **Royal College of Education Plot No.1/2, Damergidda Village & Chevella Taluk, Ranga Reddy City & District – 501503, Telangana** for offering **D.El.Ed** course for two years duration for the academic year 2017-18 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on **30.05.2016**. The institution has submitted the hard copy of the application on **13.06.2016.** As per Regulations, a letter to State Government for recommendation was sent on 27.08.2016 followed by Reminder I on 12.10.2016 and Reminder II on 11.11.2016. No recommendation received from the State Government, the period of 90 days as per Regulations is over. Hence, the application is processed. As per public notice for 2017-18, there is banned for D.El.Ed course in the State of Telangana. The institution has submitted Minority Certificate dated 27.07.2015 in the name of Royal College of Education, Damergidda.

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

The application was processed and placed before the SRC in its 327th meeting held on 19th – 20th January, 2017. The Committee considered the matter and decided as under:

1. **NOC from affiliating body not given.**
2. **Land document is there. Title is clear. Land area is adequate.**
3. **LUC is not approved by competent authority.**
4. **Latest EC may be obtained. No encumbrance shown in the old EC.**
5. **BP is not approved by competent authority.**
6. **BCC is not in format; not approved by competent authority.**
7. **Minority Certificate is given to claim exemption from the 'ban'.**
8. **FDRs not given.**
9. **Cause inspection. Ask VT to collect all relevant documents.**

Accordingly, inspection intimation was sent to the institution and VT members through online on 21.01.2017. The inspection of the institution was conducted on 08.02.2017 and the VT report along with CD received on 14.02.2017.

The SRC in its 331st meeting held on 22nd February, 2017, considered the matter and decided as under:

1. **Land document is available with Title and enough extent of land.**
2. **NOC is not there.**
3. **VCD does not show the front elevation.**
4. **LUC is available.**
5. **EC is available.**
6. **BP is not approved.**
7. **BCC is not approved.**
8. **They have B.Ed. – 2 units.**
9. **Issue Show Cause Notice**

Accordingly, Show cause notice was issued to the institution through online on 06.03.2017.

The institution has not submitted the reply.

The SRC in its 334th meeting held on 30th and 31st March 2017, considered the matter and decided as under:

1. **No reply has been received to SCN issued on 6.3.17.**
2. **Give time till 10.4.2017.**
3. **Put up in the next meeting.**

Accordingly, as per the decision of SRC a letter to the institution was sent on 06.04.2017.

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		The institution has not replied till date. **The Committee considered the above matter and decided as under:-** **1. No reply to our SCN has been received even now.** **2. Let us wait till 24.4.17.** **3. Put up on 25.4.17.**
10.	SRCAPP14479 B.Ed Bharathiar University, Coimbatore, Tamilnadu	**Bharathiar University, Plot No.26, Maruthamalai Road, Vadavalli Village, Bharathiar University Post, Coimbatore Taluk & District – 641046, Tamil Nadu** applied for grant of recognition to **Bharathiar University, Plot No.38, Maruhamal Street, Somayampalayam Village, Bharathiyar University Post, Coimabatore Taluk & District – 641046, Tamil Nadu** for **B.Ed** course of **two** years duration for the academic year 2016-17 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE online on **29.06.2015**. The institution submitted hard copy of the application on **06.07.2015.** The application was processed as per NCTE (Recognition norms and Procedures) Regulations 2014 notified by NCTE on 01.12.2014, a letter was sent to State Government for recommendation on 16.07.2015, followed by Reminder-I on 05.12.2015, and Reminder-II on 15.12.2015. **Sub-clause (2) of clause 7 of Regulations, 2014 for processing of applications stipulates as under:-** ***"(2) The application shall be summarily rejected under one or more of the following circumstance-*** ***(a) Failure to furnish the application fee, as prescribed under rule 9 of the National Council for Teacher Education Rules, 1997 on or before the date of submission of online application;*** ***(b) Failure to submit print out of the applications made online along with the land documents as required under sub-regulation (4) of Regulation 5 within fifteen days of the submission of the online application".*** ***Sub-regulation (4) of Regulation 5 reads as under:*** ***"While submitting the application online a copy of the registered***

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

land document issued by the competent authority, indicating that the society or institution applying for the programme possesses land on the date of application, shall be attached along with the application."

Sub-clause (3) of clause 5 of Regulations, 2014 under Manner of making application and time limit stipulates as under:-

"(3) The application shall be submitted online electronically along with the processing fee and scanned copies of required documents such as no objection certificate issued by the concerned affiliating body. While submitting the application, it has to be ensured that the application is duly signed by the applicant on every page, including digital signature at appropriate place at the end of the application."

On careful perusal of the original file of the institution and other documents, the application of the institution is deficient as per Regulations, 2014 as under:-

- **The University has not submitted land documents.**
- **The University has not submitted NOC from affiliating body.**
- **The application is not duly signed by the applicant on every page as per sub-section (3) of section 5 of Regulations, 2014.**

The matter was placed before SRC for in its 291st meeting held on 20th & 21st August, 2015 and the committee considered and decided to summarily reject the application for the following:

- **The University has not submitted land documents.**

The SRC in its 293rd meeting held on 29th – 31st October, 2015, reconsidered the matter and decided to **Re open the case and process.**

The Scrutiny of application for causing inspection was prepared and placed before SRC in its 296th meeting held on 15th – 16th December, 2015 and the Committee considered the matter and decided as under:

1. **Ask VT to obtain relevant Land and Building documents.**
2. **Cause Composite Inspection.**

Accordingly, as per the decision of SRC, inspection intimation was sent to the institution on 07.01.2016.

The institution submitted written representation on 19.01.2016.

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

He SRC in its 300th meeting held on 29th to 31st January 2016, considered the matter and decided as under:-

- **Any postponement at this stage will push them to the bottom of the list which may expose them to the vulnerability of missing the 3rd March, 2016 date line. Ask them whether they still wish to press for the postponement.**

As per the decision of SRC, a letter was sent to the University on 16.03.2016.

Accordingly inspection was fixed between 18th to 26th March 2016, the same was the intimation to the University and VT members on 16.03.2016.

Meantime the University submitted written representation on 06.04.2016, regarding requesting for postponement of inspection.

The SRC in its 309th meeting held on 12th-14th April 2016, considered the matter and decided as under:-

"Time given up to September 2016, on the understanding that the Institution is facing the risk of losing one year viz 2016-17.

Accordingly, a the letter was sent to the institution on 20.05.2016, The institution has not submitted reply till date.

The university did not submit land document along with the application, The case was summarily rejected in 291st Meeting on the Points of agenda .i.e.

(I). The University has not submitted land documents.
(II). The University has not submitted NOC from affiliating body.
(III). The application is not duly signed by the applicant on every page as per sub-section (3) of section 5 of Regulations,2014.

The case was reconsidered by the SRC in 293rd Meeting and decided to reopen the case and process.

But the University did not submit any land document with the application as required under clause 7(2) (b) of Regulations, 2014.

The SRC in its 323rd meeting held on 16th-18th November 2016, considered the matter and decided as "***issue SCN for land documents".***

Accordingly, show cause notice issued to the University on 30.11.2016, for submission of land documents within 21 days, the University failed to submit.

SRC in its 329th meeting held on 06th and 07th February 2017, considered the matter and decided as under:-

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		1. **Issue of SCN for land document was not necessary since this is a State University.** 2. **They should be asked to send a copy of the Govt.'s letter allotting land to them.** 3. **They should also now fix a firm time for the VT inspection.** 4. **Give 15 March as the dateline for reply.** Accordingly, show cause notice was issued to the University on 10.02.2017. **The institution has not submitted reply so far.** **Note:-** The SRC in its 333rd meeting held on 24th March,2017, considered the matter and decided as under:- 1. **No reply has been received to our SCN.** 2. **Remind them.** 3. **Put up on 30.3.17.** **The university has not replied to our SCN so for.** **The Committee considered the above matter and decided as under:-** 1. **No reply to our SCN has been received even now.** 2. **Let us wait till 24.4.17.** 3. **Put up on 25.4.17.**
11.	SRCAPP14876 D.El.Ed 2 Units SRCAPP14791 B.Ed 2 Units Lakshmi Ranaga Sai College of Education, Prakasam, Andhra	**B.Ed(SRCAPP14791)** **Jyothi Educational Society, Plot No.9-133A, Kandulapuram Road, Cumbum Village, P.O, Taluk, City, Prakasam District-523333, Andhra Pradesh** applied for grant of recognition to **Lakshmi Ranaga Sai College of Education, Plot No. 37/3, Kandulapuram Village, Cumbum P.O, Taluk, City, Prakasam District-523333, Andhra Pradesh** for offering B.Ed course of Two years duration for the academic session 2016-17 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee , NCTE through online on 30/06/2015. The institution submitted hard copy of the application on 10/07/2015. The application was processed as per NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedures) Regulations, 2014 notified by NCTE on 01.12.2014. A letter for recommendation of State Govt. was sent on 17/07/2015 followed by Reminder-I on 13/10/2015 and Reminder – II on 07/12/2015.

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

	Pradesh	**D.El.Ed(SRCAPP14876)** **Jyothi Educational Society, Plot No.9-133A, Kandulapuram Road, Cumbum Village, P.O, Taluk, City, Prakasam District-523333, Andhra Pradesh** applied for grant of recognition to **Lakshmi Ranaga Sai College of Education, Plot No. 37/3, Kandulapuram Village, Cumbum P.O, Taluk, City, Prakasam District-523333, Andhra Pradesh** for offering B.Ed course of Two years duration for the academic session 2016-17 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee , NCTE through online on 30/06/2015. The institution submitted hard copy of the application on 10/07/2015. The application was processed as per NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedures) Regulations, 2014 notified by NCTE on 01.12.2014. A letter for recommendation of State Govt. was sent on 17/07/2015 followed by Reminder-I on 13/10/2015 and Reminder-II on 07/12/2015. The Sub Clause (7) of Clause 7 of Regulations, 2014 for processing of applications stipulates as under: ***"After consideration of the recommendation of the State Government or on its own merits, the Regional Committee concerned shall decided that institution shall be inspected by a team of experts called visiting team with a view to assess the level of preparedness of the institution to commence the course".*** The SRC in its 296th meeting held on 15th to 16th December, 2015 considered the matter, documents submitted by the institution for B.Ed (SRCAPP14791) and D.El.Ed (SRCAPP14876) courses along with hard copy of application and decided as under :- ***1. Bp & BCC is to be submitted*** ***2. Original FDRs to be submitted*** ***3. Ask VT to obtain relevant Land and Building documents*** ***4. Cause Composite Inspection*** As per the decision of SRC, composite inspection of the institution was conduct on **26.01.2016** and visiting Team Report is received by this office on **27.01.2016**. The SRC in its 300th meeting held on 29th to 31st January, 2016, considered t matter and decided as under: **1. Issue LOI for B.Ed (2 Units).** **2. FDRs in Joint account should be furnished.** **3. Only if these are given on or before 03.03.2016 can issue of Formal Recognition w.e.f. 2016-17 academic year be possible.**

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

1. **Issue LOI for D.El.Ed (2 Units).**
2. **FDRs in Joint account should be furnished.**
3. **Only if these are given on or before 03.03.2016 can issue of Formal Recognition w.e.f. 2016-17 academic year be possible.**

Accordingly, as per the decision of SRC, LOI was sent to the institution on 02.02.2016. The institution submitted written representation on 04.10.2016 and stating as under:

"I here with submit the requisition to submit the list of staff approved by the affiliating authorities concerned for the year 2017-18. We have got L.O.I for 2016-17. Due to Non availability of Qualified staff and Principal we could not submit the approvals. We have applied Both D.El.Ed & B.Ed Programmes by name Lakshmi Ranga Sai College of Elementary Education (D.El.Ed) and Lakshmi Ranga Sai College of Education (B.Ed) under Jyothi Educational Society.

Hence we request you please permit our society to submit all requirements along with staff approval Annexure I, II, III for getting Formal Recognition for 2017-18 academic year."

The SRC in its 326th meeting held on 04th & 05th January, 2017 considered the matter and decided as under:

1. **Their request for submitting documents for FR w.e.f 2017-18 is accepted.**
2. **Ask them to submit urgently if they have to come in the list before 3.3.2017**
   which is the dateline prescribed by the Supreme Court.

As per decision of SRC intimation letter was sent to the institution on 19.01.2017. The institution has not submitted reply even till date.

The SRC in its 332nd meeting held on 28th Feb – 04th March, 2017 considered the matter and decided as under:

1. **LOI for B.Ed (2 units) and LOI for D.El.Ed (2 units) were issued on 2.2.16.**
2. **They have not yet filed a substantive reply inspite of opportunities given.**
3. **They have now requested for some more time.**
4. **Give further time till 30.3.17.**
5. **Put up in the meeting on 31.3.17.**

Accordingly, as per decision of SRC a letter was sent to the institution on

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		07.03.2017. **The institution has not submitted reply till date.** **The Committee considered the above matter and decided as under:-** **1. No reply to LOI has been received.** **2. Let us wait till 24.4.17.** **3. Put up on 25.4.17.**
12.	SRCAPP2413 B.Ed 1 Unit Sri Venkateswara College of Education, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh	**Ponnur Educational Development Society, Plot No. 335-1, GBC Road, Ponnur Village & P.O, Ponnur Taluk & City, Prakasam District-522124, Andhra Pradesh** applied for grant of recognition to **Sri Venkateswara College of Education, Plot No. 335-1, G B C Road, Nidubrolu Village, Ponnur P.O, Taluk & City, Guntur District-522124, Andhra Pradesh** for offering **B.Ed** course of two years duration for the academic session 2016-17 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on 26/05/2015. The institution submitted hard copy of the application on 08/06/2015. The application was processed as per NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedures) Regulations, 2014 notified by NCTE on 01.12.2014. A letter for recommendation of State Govt. was sent on 22/06/2015, followed by Reminder I on 13/10/2015 and Reminder II on 19/11/2015. The Sub clause (7) of clause 7 of Regulations, 2014 for processing of applications stipulates as under: ***"After consideration of the recommendation of the State Government or on its own merits, the Regional Committee concerned shall decided that institution shall be inspected by a team of experts called visiting team with a view to assess the level of preparedness of the institution to commence the course".*** The SRC in its 295th meeting held on 28th-30th November & 01st December, 2015 considered the documents submitted by the institution along with hard copy of application and decided as under: **1. The applicant must submit copy of affiliation order from the concerned university for the existing liberal arts and science programames to establish that the Teacher Education Programme applied for will be offered in a composite institution.** **2. LUC, BP, BCC, EC & Original Affidavit not submitted.** **3. Approved BP & BCC with earmarking for the proposed TEPs and liberal arts & science programmes to be submitted.** **4. Ask VT to obtain all relevant land and building documents.**

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		5. Cause composite inspection. Accordingly, inspection of the institution was fixed between 10th-30th January, 2016 the same was intimated to the institution, and VT members on 16.01.2016. The inspection of the institution was conducted on 31.01.2016 and VT Report along with documents received in the office of SRC on 04.02.2016. The SRC in its 302nd Meeting held on 09th-11th February, 2016 considered the VT report and other relevant documents and decided as under: **1. The space is not adequate for the three programmes applied for.** **2. They have to choose and indicate any two programmes-with two units each.** Before issuance of letter the institution submitted written representation based on the website information on 10.02.2016. The matter was placed before SRC in its 302nd Meeting held on 09th-11th February, 2016 and the Committee considered the matter and decided as under: 1. **Issue LOI for B.A;B.Ed (2 units)** **2. FDRs in Joint account should be furnished.** **3. Only if these are given on or before 3.3.16 can issue of Formal Recognition w.e.f.2016-17 academic year be possible** **1. Issue LOI for D.El.Ed (2 units)** **2. FDRs in Joint account should be furnished.** **3. Only if these are given on or before 3.3.16 can issue of Formal Recognition w.e.f.2016-17 academic year be possible** The institution submitted a letter dated 05.05.2016 received in this office of SRC-NCTE on 06.05.2016 along with documents and stating as follows: ***"I am inform to you mamdam, we are having additional accommodation for B.Ed course. Total:8042.64 sq.mts of building plan and Building Completion Certificate is enclosed per your kind perusal.*** ***Hence, I request you Madam please kindly consider our request and grant of permission B.Ed course to Sri Venkateswara College of Education for the academic year 2016-17".*** The SRC in its 314th meeting held on 27th-28th May, 2016 considered the representation dated 05.05.2016 and decided as under: **1. Now that additional built-up area has been reported, consider B.Ed (2 units).** **2. Cause Inspection.**

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		As per the decision of SRC, VT fixed through on-line mode, inspection of the institution was conducted on 03.09.2016 and VT report along with documents & CD received on 10.09.2016. The SRC in its 328th meeting held on 31st January, 2017 considered the matter and decided as under: **1. They have already been given D.El.Ed (2 units) and B.A, B.Ed (2 units).** **2. The built-up area available (8042 sq.mts.) is adequate to accommodate B,Ed (2 units) also.** **3. Issue LOI for B.Ed,(2 units).** Accordingly, as per decision of SRC LOI was sent on 02.02.2017. The institution has not submitted reply till date. **NOTE:** **SRCAPP2441/D.El.Ed granted recognition on 02.05.2016 for (2 units) and SRCAPP2433/BA.B.Ed granted recognition on 02.05.2016 for (2 units).** **Remarks:** • **The application for B.Ed is for 1 unit, affidavit submitted along with application if for 1 unit.** • **The VT report states SRCAPP2413 – B.Ed proposed for 2 units and institution submitted affidavit for 2 units.** **The Committee considered the above matter and decided as under:-** **1. No reply to LOI has been received.** **2. Give time till 24.4.17.** **3. Put up on 26.4.17.**
13.	SRCAPP2016 30100 M.Ed 1 Unit	**Central University of Tamilnadu, Neelakudi Village, Kangalancherry Street, Nannilam Taluk, Thiruvarur District-610101, Tamilnadu** applied for grant of recognition to **Central University of Tamilnadu, Neelakudi Village, Kangalancherry Street, Nannilam Taluk, Thiruvarur District-610101, Tamilnadu** for offering **M.Ed** course of two years duration for the academic year 2017-18 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on **31.05.2016**.The institution has submitted the hard copy of the application on

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

	Central University of Tamilnadu. Thiruvarur, Tamilnadu	**06.06.2016.** As per Regulations, a letter to State Government for recommendation was sent on 04.07.2016, followed by Reminder I on 01.10.2016 and Reminder II on 02.11.2016. No recommendation received from the State Govt. The period of 90 days as per Regulations is over. Hence, the application is processed. The SRC in its 326th meeting held during 4th to 5th January, 2017 considered the scrutiny of the application and decided as under; 1. **They have B.Ed.(2 units). They want M.Ed.(1 unit).** 2. **NOC is not required.** 3. **LUC / EC – not required.** 4. **BP is not certified by the University Engineer.** 5. **BCC is not in format. Duly approved by CPWD Engineer.** 6. **Cause composite inspection of B.Ed.(2 units) & M.Ed.(1 unit).** 7. **Ask VT to collect all relevant documents.** Accordingly, inspection intimation was sent to the institution through online module. VT members names were generated through online VT module for inspection during the period 19.03.2017 to 08.04.2017. An e-mail dated 4.3.2017 one V.T. Member i.e. Dr. Savitha Singh, Associate Professor, Firozabad stating as follows; ***"I have given acceptance for the inspection of the Central University of Tamil Nadu for M.Ed 5 days ago. Application ID SRCAPP201630100.*** ***But, I will not be able to attend the inspection because yesterday I met with an accident and I have got a fracture in my left leg. The doctor has advised me to have proper bed rest".*** On 06.04.2011 an e-mail received from Dr. R. Karpaga Kumaravel, Former Vice-chancellor, Madurai Kamaraj University stating that ***"With reference to your email dated 30.1.17 in which it has been stated that "due to technical problem in the online Visiting Team Dash Board the inspection scheduled by SRC is showing 'CANCELLED BY SYSTEM' and hence the inspection has been cancelled for the Central University of Tamil Nadu. Thiruvarur. This matter was discussed in detail by our Vice Chancellor with the Registrar and the Head of the Department of Education (Myself). It was finally resolved to concentrate and consolidate the B.Sc., B.Ed.,***

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		Programme for which NCTE recognition has been already obtained and to make it as model programme at the National Level for other Universities to follow. As the Central University of Tamil Nadu (CUTN) is not in a position to appoint additional regular faculty members as required by NCTE norms for the additional Teacher Education Programme this year within the stipulated time, we hereby convey the withdrawal of our application and request you that no inspection is warranted. In the course of time, we will go for the expansion of the department gradually, after the compliance of the NCTE norms like additional regular faculty appointments". An e-mail dated 6.4.2017 received from another V.T. Member i..e Dr. Nripendra Kumar stating that ***"with your direction I am ready for the inspection of Central University Tamil Nadu(M.Ed course) when I contact there then he told me that they are not interested for inspection of M.Ed course which was applied by him. They also told me that, on the behalf of University Dr. R. Karpaga Kumarvel has already send the letter for the withdrawal of the application.*** ***In this situation I request you please given me direction for further work.*** **The Committee considered the above matter and decided as under:-** **1. We cannot take such major decisions based on a letter from the Dean.** **2. The authorized person on behalf of the University is always the Registrar for such correspondence.** **3. Check with the Registrar whether they wish to withdraw the application.**
14.	SRCAPP2016 30054 D.El.Ed 2 Units Aizza College of	**Madrasa I Aizza, Mulkalla Village & Road, Mancherial Taluk & City, Adilabad District-504209, Telangana** applied for grant of recognition to **Aizza College of Education, Mulkalla Village & Road, Mancherial Taluk & City, Adilabad District-504209, Telangana** for offering **D.El.Ed** course for two years duration for the academic year 2017-18 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on **28.05.2016**.The institution submitted the hard copy of the application on

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

	Education, Adilabad, Telangana	**30.05.2016.** As per Regulations, a letter to State Government for recommendation was sent on 04.07.2016, followed by Reminder-I on 01.10.2016 and Reminder-II on 02.11.2016. No recommendation received from the State Government after the period of 90 days as per Regulations is over; hence, the application is processed. As per public notice for 2017-18 D.El.Ed course ban in the State of Telangana. The institution submitted minority certificate dated on 05.07.2000. The application has been scrutinized through online along with hard copy of the application and placed before the SRC in its 325th meeting held on 19th – 20th December, 2016. The Committee considered the matter and decided as under: **1. Title is clear. 1.20 acre. But, the location of the different sy. nos shown are in different places. The sy. no. 192/2-1 is not mentioned in the EC at all.** **2. They have Technology & Engineering courses running; no liberal education courses. In other words, this will be a standalone course.** **3. EC is duly approved. But, the sy.nos do not tally with the sy.nos in the title deed.** **4. LUC is in the name of the College Engineering and Technical. There is no demarcation in favour of the College of Education.** **5. BP is duly approved. Details like sy.no & built up area are not given.** **6. BCC-not approved by competent authority is totally hand written without Seal, etc. No earmarked area for College of Education.** **7. FDRs-not given.** **8. Issue Show Cause Notice accordingly.** Accordingly, Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution through online mode on 21.12.2016. The SRC in its 329th meeting held on 06th – 07th February, 2017 considered the matter and decided as under: **1. NOC not given.** **2. Sy. No.(192/1/2) in title deed, in EC , and BCC do tally.** **3. LUC is in order.** **4. Built-up area of 4965 sq.mt. earmarked for D.El.Ed./B.Ed. in BP.** **5. BCC also corresponds.**

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

6. **FDRs not given.**
7. **Reject the application for want of NOC.**
8. **Return FDRs, if any.**
9. **Close the file.**

Accordingly, Rejection Order was issued to the institution through online mode on 10.02.2017.

An email received on 21.02.2017 from the Advocate Shri K.Ramakanth Reddy forwarding a copy of W.P. No. 5945 of 2017 filed by Madarsa-I-Aizza Educational Society. Accordingly, a letter was sent on 23.02.2017 to the Advocate Shri. K. Ramakanth Reddy along with the brief of the institution.

Now, the institution has submitted written representation on 28.03.2017 along with the Hon'ble High court order in W.P.No. 5945 of 2017. The letter stated as under:

> ***"We have applied for establishment of new college of education for offering D.El.Ed course for the academic year 2017-18 on 30.05.2016 (copy enclosed) and also applied for NOC from State Government (copy enclosed). We have also submitted all queries/attached documents online and hardcopy from time to time as required by NCTE.***
>
> ***We got the order from NCTE rejecting the application for want of NOC. (copy enclosed).***
>
> ***Then we approached the High Court and the High Court has issued order that our application be reconsidered without insisting of NOC (high Court order copy enclosed).***
>
> ***In view of above fact, we request you to reconsider our application for D.El.Ed course for the academic year 2017-2018."***

The Court Order stated as under:

Order:

> **"Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that in identical circumstances in WPMP No. 1980 of 2016 in W.P. No. 1565 of 2016, this court passed an order on 21.01.2016 directing respondents 1 and 2 to reconsider the application of the 2nd petitioner therein, in the light of the notice issued by it on 27.02.2015, where under the restrictions with regard to recognition**

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		of the teacher training institutions/programmes including additional intake/increase in seats in the existing recognized programmes, were not made applicable in the case of Minority Educational Institutions established under Article 30 of the Constitution and it was made applicable to Telangana State also. **There is no dispute that the 2nd petitioner is a Minority Institution.** **Following the aforementioned order, the 1st and 2nd respondents are directed to reconsider the application of the petitioners without insisting for NOC."** **The Committee considered the above matter and asked SRO to put up the matter tomorrow.**
15.	SRCAPP2016 30043 BA.B.Ed BSc.B.Ed 1 Unit Amrita Vishwa Vidyapeetham, Coimbatore, Tamilnadu	**Mata Amritanandamayi Math, Bogadi Village, # 114, 7th Cross, Bogadi II Stage, Mysuru Town and City, Mysore District-570026, Karnataka** has applied for grant of recognition to **Amrita Vishwa VIdyapeetham, Ettimadai Amrita Nagar Street, Coimbaure Taluk and Mandal, Ettimadai Town Coimbatore District-641112, Tamilnadu** for offering **B.A.B.Ed, B.Sc.B.Ed** course for four years integrated programme for the academic year 2017-18 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on **26.05.2016**. The institution has submitted the hard copy of the application on **08.06.2016.** As per Regulations, a letter to State Government for recommendation was sent on 22.06.2016 followed by Reminder I on 01.10.2016 and Reminder II on 02.11.2016. No recommendation received from the State Government, the period of 90 days as per Regulations is over. Hence, the application is processed. As per public notice for 2017-18, there is no ban for B.A.B.Ed., B.Sc.B.Ed course in the State of Karnataka. The SRC in its 328th meeting, held on 31st January, 2017, considered the scrutiny of the application and decided as under:- 1. "The University is in Coimbatore. They want to start the College in Mysuru.

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

2. The College is in Mysuru will have to be affiliated to the Mysore University and not their own University in Coimbatore.
3. They should give NOC of the University in Mysore.
4. Issue Notice accordingly."

As per the decision of SRC, a show cause notice was issued to the institution on 01.02.2017 through e-mail. The institution has submitted reply to the show cause notice through on 13.02.2017 and hard copy submitted on 15.02.2017.

The SRC in its 331st meeting held during 22nd February, 2017 considered the notice reply and decided as under:-

1. **"Their reply is satisfactory.**
2. **Cause inspection"**

Accordingly,VT members names were generated through online VT module for inspection during the period06.03.2017 to 26.03.2017. Inspection of the institution was conducted on 30th – 31st March, 2017 and the VT report along with documents received on 04.04.2017.

The Details of VT Report are as under:

Name and address of the institution (as per initial application)	Amrita Vishwa Vidyapeetham, Ettimadai Village, Amrita Nagar Street, Coimbature Taluk and Mandal, Ettimadai Town, Coimbatore District-641112, Tamilnadu
Name and address of the Society	Mata Amritanandamayi Math, Bogadi Village, # 114, 7th Cross, Bogadi II Stage, Mysuru Town and City, Mysore District-570026, Karnataka
Date of Inspection	30th – 31st March, 2017
Address of the institution as per VT Report (New Location)	Amrita Vishwa Vidyapeetham, Ettimadai Village, Amrita Nagar Street, Coimbature Taluk and Mandal, Ettimadai Town, Coimbatore District-641112, Tamilnadu

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

Details of courses as per the VT Report		
Sl. No.	Name of the Course	Intake
01.	B.A.B.Ed	1 Unit
02	B.Sc.B.Ed	1 Unit

As per scrutiny of documents received with VTR		
REGISTRATION BYE-LAW CERTIFICATE	Date of Regn. and in the name of	25.01.1988 Mata Amritanandamayi Mission
DETAILS OF LAND DOCUMENTS:-	Registered certified copy of the Land document: Submitted/Not submitted (Whether in English or Regional language) (Whether certified/notarized English translation submitted)	Land document certified by the sub registrar, is submitted
	Date of Registration of Land	28.08.2003
	Land registered in the name of	M/s. Maatha Amrithanandamya Math Trust
	Type of title deed i.e sale deed/lease deed(govt./pvt/gift deed)	Sale Deed
	Survey No/Plot No/Khasara No.	114
	Extent of Land in each Sy.No./Plot No./Khasara No.	5 acres (out of total 6 acres 06 guntas)
AFFIDAVIT	Submitted	Submitted

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		Sy.No	114
		Location	Bogadi II Stage, Mysuru, Karnataka
		Built up area	Not mentioned
		Extent	20234.365 sq.mtrs (5 acres)
	NOTARIZED COPY OF LAND USE CERTIFICATE SUBMITTED/NOT SUBMITTED	Name of the Society/Trust/Institution	**Photocopy of the translated version of Proceedings of the Government of Karnataka is submitted.** **Original Land Use Certificate issued by Revenue Department is not submitted.**
		Survey/Plot/Khasara No. and location	
		Extent of diverted land	
		Purpose of diversion	
		Date of issue	
		Name & designation of the issuing authority	
	NOTARIZED COPY OF ENCUMBRANCE CERTIFICATE SUBMITTED/NOT SUBMITTED	Name of the Society/Trust/Institution	Maatha Amrithanandamya Math
		Survey/Plot/Khasara No. and location	114 Bhogadi Village
		Search for the period	01.01.2004 – 24.03.2017
		Extent of land	5.00 acres
		Any mortgage as per EC	Nil
		Date of issue	28.03.2017
		Name & designation of the issuing authority	Senior Sub Registrar, Mysore North
	BLUE PRINT/NOTARIZED COPY OF BUILDING PLAN SUBMITTED/NOT SUBMITTED	Name and address of Society/Trust/Institution	The institution has submitted photocopy of 2 building plans in the name of 1) Existing Amrita School

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

			of Arts & Science 2) Proposed B.Ed College for Mata Amritanandamayi Math
		Plot area/land area	**Not mentioned**
		Total built-up area	-----
		Date of approval	**Not mentioned**
		Name and designation of approving authority	Secretary, Bhogadi Gram Panchyath, Mysuru Taluk
			Original blue print of the building plan with details of classrooms, labs, M.P.Hall Library etc. approved by the competent authority is not submitted.
	NOTARIZED COPY OF THE BUILDING COMPLETION CERTIFICATE SUBMITTED/NOT SUBMITTED	Name and address of Society/Trust/Institution	Mata Amritanandamayi Math**(Not approved)**
		Survey/Plot/Khasara Nos. and location	114 7th Cross, Bogadi II Stage, Ward 22, Mysore City Corporation
		Built up area for the proposed course and/or for existing course	G.F – 775.39 sq.m F.F – 775.38 sq.m S.F – 775.38 sq.m T.F – 775.38 sq.m **Total - 3101.53 sq.mtrs**
		Type of Roofing	RCC
		Purpose for which building is being used/proposed to be	Education

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		used	
		Date of issue	09.03.2017
		Name & designation of the issuing authority	Charted Engineer & Approved Valuer and Secretary, Bhogadi Gram Panchyathi, Mysore Taluk
			Building Completion Certificate not approved by the Govt. Engineer
	MINORITY CERTIFICATE:-	-------	
		FDRs Details	
		FDRs of Rs. 6,68,845/-	**FDRs of Rs.11,24,432/- lakh**
	Course		
	FDR No.	A/c No:67011044563	A/c No:67011044234
	Whether in single or joint A/c	Joint A/c	Joint A/c
	Date of issue	19.04.2016	19.04.2016
	Date of Maturity	19.04.2021	19.04.2021
	Name of issuing Bank	State Bank of Travancore	State Bank of Travancore
		FDRs of Rs. 7.lakh	**FDRs of Rs. 5 lakh**
	FDR No.	A/c No:67396609831	A/c No:67396609047
	Whether in single or joint A/c	-----	-----
	Date of issue	23.03.2017	23.03.2017

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

Date of Maturity	23.03.2018		23.03.2018
Name of issuing Bank	State Bank of Travancore		State Bank of Travancore
Website of the institution	www.amrita.edu/school/education		
Fees Paid	paid		
Faculty list	Faculty list approved/not approved		---
	Whether approved on each page or not		
	Designation of the approving authority	-----	
	Date of approval		

Comments of VT Members

01.	Total Built-up area	15345.40 sq.mtrs
02.	Furniture	Adequate
03.	Multipurpose Hall	186 sq.mtrs (2002 sq.ft)
04.	Labs/Resources Rooms	Adequate
05.	Whether the library is sharing with other courses	Yes
06.	Seating capacity in the library	84
07.	No. of books in the library and Journals	18406 / 63+3

Remarks of SRO:-

1. **Original Land Use Certificate issued by Revenue Department is not submitted.**
2. **The institution has submitted photocopy of 2 building plans in the name of 1) Existing Amrita School of Arts & Science 2) Proposed B.Ed College for Mata Amritanandamayi Math**
3. **The institution has not submitted Original blue print of the building plan. Details of classrooms, labs, M.P.Hall Library etc. approved by the competent authority is not submitted.**
4. **Building Completion Certificate is not approved by the Govt.**

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		Engineer 5. As per Online application the no.of units for B.A.B.Ed / B.Sc.B.Ed mentioned as 1 unit. But as per VT report the no.of units mentioned as B.Sc.B.Ed - 1 unit and B.A.B.Ed - 1 unit. Note:- APS01995 / B.Ed:-The recognition was granted on 30.11.2004 to Amrita Shikshana Mahavidyalaya, No. 114, 7th Cross, Bogadi 2nd Stage, Mysore-570006, Karnataka for B.Ed course with an annual intake of 100 students from the academic session 2004-05. The revised order was issued to the institution for two units on 16.05.2015 and the corrigendum was issued for 1 unit on 05.04.2016. As per VT report the institution is also running BBM, BCA, B.Com, B.Sc Visual Media, MCA, M.Com, MJMC, M.Sc Computer Science. The Committee considered the VT report, VCD and all relevant documentary evidences and decided as under:- 1. Title is clear. 2. UGC has clarified that Deemed Universities can have off-campus centres. 3. LUC is not given. But, Karnataka Govt. order is given. 4. EC is in order. 5. Blue-print of BP is not given. Built-up area details are not there. 6. BCC is not approved by competent authority. 7. FDRs have been given only for one course (1 unit). It is also not in joint account. 8. Issue Show Cause Notice accordingly.

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

16.	SRCAPP2016 30137 D.P.SE 2 Units APS02739 D.El.Ed-50 Oasis Diploma in Pre School Education, Warangal, Telangana	**Oasis Education Society, Warangal Village, Deshaipet Road, Warangal Taluk & City, Warangal District-506002, Telangana** had applied for grant of recognition to **Oasis Diploma in Pre School Education, Deshaipet Road, Oasis Colony, Warangal Taluk, Deshaipet Road City, Warangal District-506002, Telangana** for offering **D.P.S.E** course for two years duration for the academic year 2017-18 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on **28.06.2016**.The institution submitted the hard copy of the application on **28.06.2016.** As per Regulations, a letter to State Government for recommendation was sent on 12.07.2016, followed by Reminder-I on 01.10.2016 and Reminder-II on 02.11.2016. No recommendation received from the State Government after the period of 90 days as per Regulations is over; hence, the application is processed. As per public notice for 2017-18 there is no ban for D.P.S.E course in the State of Telangana. The application has been scrutinized through online along with hard copy of application and placed before the SRC in its 325th meeting held on 19th – 20th December, 2016. The Committee considered the matter and decided as under: **1.1. There are 3 titles deeds. Each shows different land areas for sy.no. 287. There is also confusion caused by a Municipal building occupying 15552 sqft of plinth area.** **1.2. LUC issued by Tahsildar shows 3022 sqm. Is with the Oasis Education society. Land required is only 3000 sq.mts.** **2. EC is in order.** **3. LUC is in order.** **4. BP-not approved by competent authority. Built up area approved is 4043 sqmts,** **5. BCC-not approved by competent authority.** **6. FDRs-not given** **7. Issue Show Cause Notice accordingly.** Accordingly, Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution through online mode on 21.12.2016. The institution has not submitted its reply. The SRC in its 329th meeting held on 06th – 07th February, 2017, considered the matter and decided as under: 1. **NOC (issued by Director of School Education) is given.** 2. **Reply to SCN not received.** 3. **According to the computer programme in force, non-submission of reply to SCN should lead to rejection of the case. It will be unfortunate if this case, which fulfils most of the requirements for VT inspection has to be rejected because of the technical difficulty in the computer programme.**

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

4. Remind them for an early reply.

A letter to the institution was sent on 15.02.2017 conveying the 329th SRC decision.

Now, the institution has submitted its reply on 27.02.2017.

The SRC in its 332nd meeting held on 28.02.2017 to 03.03.2017 considered the matter and decided as under:

1. **Their reply to the Show Cause Notice is satisfactory.**
2. **Cause VT inspection.**
3. **The FDR already given (3+5 lakhs) is for the D.El.Ed. course. For DPSE(2 units) they should give FDRs(7+5 lakhs) separately for each unit. The D.El.Ed. F.D.Rs also should be increased to 7+5 lakhs.**
4. **In view of the time-limit prescribed by the Supreme Court for issue of FRs w.e.f. 2017-18, nothing can be done after 3.3.17. But, if the Supreme Court extends the time-limit, there will be a chance for this case to make it for the 2017-18 course. Request them, therefore, to accept short-notice for the VT inspection.**

Accordingly, inspection intimation was sent to the institution and VT members through online on 09.03.2017. The inspection of the institution was conducted on 25th and 26th March 2017 and the VT report along with CD received on 31.03.2017.

The documents received along with VT report is processed as under:

Name and address of the institution (as per application)	Oasis Dipoma in Pre School Education, Deshaipet Road, Oasis Colony, Warangal Taluk, Deshaipet Road City, Warangal District-506002, Telangana.
Name and address of the Society	Oasis Education Society, Warangal Village, Deshaipet Road, Warangal Taluk & City, Warangal District-506002, Telangana
Date of Inspection	25th& 26th March 2017
Address of the institution as per VT Report	# 11-23-2360, Oasis colony, Deshaipet Road, Warangal, Telangana-506002

Details of courses as per the VT Report

Sl. No	Name of the Proposed Course	Intake	Sl.No.	Name of the Existing Course	Intake
01	D.P.S.E	2 units	01	APSO2739 (D.El.Ed)	50

As per scrutiny of documents received with VTR

REGISTRATION BYE-LAW CERTIFICATE	Date of Regn. and in the name of	**Oasis Education Society 05.06.1986**

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

Details of Land Documents:	
Registered certified copy of the Land documents: Submitted / Not submitted (whether in English or Regional language) (whether certified/notarized English translation submitted)	**Photo copy submitted in English version**
Date of registration of land	(1) 22.03.2016 (2) 08.10.2008 (3) 08.10.2008
Land registered in the name of	(1) Oasis Education Society (2) Oasis Education Society (3) Oasis Education Society
Type of title deed i.e. sale deed/lease deed (Govt. /Pvt.)/gift deed	(1) Gift Settlement Deed (2) Gift Settlement Deed (3) Gift Settlement Deed
Survey No/ Plot No/ Khasara No.	(1) Sy. No.287 (2) Sy. No.287 (3) Sy. No.287
Extent of land in each Sy. No./ Plot No./ Khasara No.	(1) 219.55 Sq.yds or 183.54 Sq.Mts (2) 975 Sq.yds or 814.00 Sq.Mts (3) 2420 Sq.yds or 2023.36 Sq,Mts
AFFIDAVIT:-	**Not Submitted**
Sy.No	
Location	
Land is on own/lease basis	
Built up area	
Extent	
Blue print/Notarized copy of Building Plan submitted/ Not submitted :-	**Photo Copy Submitted**
Name and address of Society/Trust/Institution	Oasis Educational Society H.No.11-23-2360,Oasis colony, Deshaipet Road, Warangal, Andhra Pradesh-506002
Whether Building Plan is for the proposed institution/ course or also for some other TEI/course	**Not Mentioned**
Plot area/land area	3022.14 Sq.mts
Total built-up area	Ground Floor: 637.87 Sq.mts First Floor: 657.99 Sq.mts Second Floor: 712.52 Sq.mts Third Floor: 712.52 Sq.mts Fourth Floor: 225.67 Sq.mts **Total: 2200.33 Sq.mts**
Built up area for the proposed and	**Not Mentioned**

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

existing teacher education courses	
Date of approval	19.04.2011
Name and designation of approving authority	Commissioner
Notarized copy of Land Use Certificate submitted /Not submitted	**Photo copy Submitted**
Name of the Society/ Trust/ Institution	Oasis Education Society
Survey/Plot/Khasara No. and location	Sy.No.287 at Deshaipet Village
Extent of diverted land	**3022.14 Sq.yds**
Purpose of diversion	Educational Purpose
Date of issue	26.03.2016
Name and designation of approving authority	Tahasildar
Notarized copy of the Building Completion Certificate submitted /not submitted	**Photo copy Submitted**
Name and address of Society / Trust / Institution	Oasis Educational Society H.No.11-23-2357,Deshaipet Road, Warangal
Survey/Plot/ Khasara Nos. and location	Sy.No.287 at Deshaipet Road, Warangal
Total Built up area for the proposed course and/or for existing course	GF- 957.70 Sq.mts FF – 924.13 Sq.mts SF – 957.70 Sq.mts TF – 957.70 Sq.mts FF – 246.50 Sq.mts **Total - 4043.73 Sq.mts**
Type of Roofing	**RCC**
Purpose for which building is being used/proposed to be used	Educational Purpose
Date of issue	21.02.2016
Name and designation of approving authority	G.Manipal Reddy, Dy. Executive Engineer
Notarized copy of Encumbrance Certificate submitted/ Not submitted	**Photo copy Submitted**
Name of the Society/Trust/Institution	(1) Oasis Education Society (2) Oasis Education Society (3) Oasis Education Society
Survey/Plot/Khasara Nos. and location	(1) Sy.No.287 at Desaipeta Village (2) Sy.No.287 at Desaipeta Village (3) Sy.No.287 at Desaipeta Village
Search for the period	(1) 01.10.2007 to 06.03.2017 (2) 01.01.1989 to 06.03.2017 (3) 01.01.1989 to 06.03.2017
Extent of land	**(1) 219.55 Sq.yds**

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

	(2) 219.55 Sq.yds **(3) 219.55 Sq.yds**
Any mortgage as per EC	Nil
Date of issue	(1) 13.03.2017 (2) 13.03.2017 (3) 13.03.2017
Name and designation of issuing authority	MD.Masiuddin of Sub-Registrar
NOC From Affiliating body Submitted/Not Submitted	**The Director, SCERT Dated 31.05.2016**
Date of application for **NAAC accreditation** (wherever necessary) Application No. submitted to NAAC	**Not Applicable**

FDR's Details		
	5.00 Lakhs Endowment Fund	**7.00 Lakhs Reserve Fund**
Submitted in Original	**Photo copy submitted**	**Photo copy submitted**
FDR/ Ac number	36714524188	36714504445
Name of the Bank	State Bank of India	State Bank of India
Whether in Single or Joint A/c	Joint A/c	Joint A/c
Duration of FDR	60 Months	60 Months
Date of Issue	24.03.2017	24.03.2017
Date of Maturity	24.06.2022	24.03.2022
Website of the institution	www.zhmcte.com	

Comments of VT Members		
01.	Total Built-up area	**4043.73**
02.	Furniture	Adequate
03.	Multipurpose Hall	**(1) 216.16 Sq.mts** **(2) 186.27 Sq.mts** **(3) 186.27 Sq.mts**
04.	Labs/Resources Rooms	Adequate
05.	Whether the library is sharing with other courses	Yes
06.	Seating capacity in the library	100
07.	No. of books in the library and Journals	1011 Books, 12 Journals

Remarks:

1. **The institution has not submitted Affidavit.**
2. **Photocopy of FDR Submitted – original not submitted & it is not in joint A/c.**

The Committee considered the VT report, VCD and all relevant documentary evidences and decided as under:-

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		1. Title is clear. Land area is adequate. **2. Affidavit not given.** **3. LUC/EC are in order.** **4. BP is given. Built-up area shown is 4043.73 sq.mts.** **5.1 BCC is given. Built-up area is same as in BP.** **5.2 Built-up area required is 3000 [DPSE(1 unit)+D.El.Ed.(1Unit)2500]+[DPSE(1 unit)500]** **6. Built-up area is adequate.** **7. FDRs are requied, in originals in joint account, @7+5 lakhs for each unit of each course.** **8. Issue LOI for DPSE(2 units).**
17.	SRCAPP2016 30067 BA.B.Ed BSc.B.Ed 1 Unit Usha Latchumanan College of Education, Pondicherry	The institution has submitted a letter dated 24.11.2015 requesting for procedures for online application filling- up & 4 years integrated course. Accordingly, a letter was sent to the institution on 19.02.2016. The institution submitted written representation on 13.04.2016 along with NOC dated 17.11.2015 from Pondicherry University and DD of Rs. 1,50,000/-. The institution had applied online application for B.A.B.Ed/B.Sc.B.Ed Four years Integrated Programme for the session 2017-18. (As per the public notice dated 09.03.2016-for the session 2017-18).**The institution had submitted hard copy of incomplete online application i.e prior to generation of application code no.** The institution submitted its written representation dated 04.05.2016 received on 07.05.2016 seeking recognition order. The incomplete application received was forwarded to technical team, NCTE Hqrs through e-mail dated 10.05.2016 for doing the needful. The reply mail received from Hqrs on 10.05.2016 with following message: ***I have checked and found that this applicant submitted two application one application is on draft mode and other application completed all fields but not complete payment mode button. So kindly tell the applicant to submit all details in application number I and***

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

application number 2 submit payment details after this process successfully done the application id number will be generated accordingly.

The same message was forwarded to institution on 10.05.2016.

The institution submitted written representation on 27.05.2016 and stated as follows;

"...we would like to inform you that the Hon'ble High Court Madras order in W.P.No.18301 of 2016 dated 26.05.2016 to consider the application for the year 2016-17 for above said purpose and pass appropriate order in the SRC meeting held on 27.05.2016 and communicate the decision to college and Pondicherry University accordingly.

The above W.P.No.18301 of 2016 dated 26.05.2016 is disposed and the same may be placed in the SRC meeting held on 27.05.2016. The order copy follows."

Note: The Court order in W.P.No.18301 of 2016 dated 26.05.2016 was not received.

The SRC in its 314th meeting held on 27th to 28th May, 2016 and the committee considered the matter and decided as under;

1. ***The Court Order has not yet been received. We have only our Lawyer's Text Message and the applicant's petition dt. 26-05-2016.***

2. ***Their application dt. 30.04.2016 is still in a draft form and is incomplete. No on-line application has been received which is a mandatory requirement under the Regulations. That being so, strictly speaking, there is no valid application at all. No hard copy has also been received. In any case, it is an application filed in response to the NCTE Notification inviting applications for 2017-18. In view of the Supreme Court's order prohibiting issue of Formal Recognition to anyone for the academic year 2016-17 after the (extended) time-limit of 2 May, 2016, it will not be possible for us to consider this case for 2016-17. Their case can be considered even for 2017-18, only if they make a proper application before 31.05.2016 and complete all***

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

formalities.

Ask our lawyer to apprise the Court of this factual legal position and of our consequential legal difficulty in complying with the order given. The Court may be requested kindly to review the order and direct the applicant to make a proper application before 31.05.2016 for consideration w.e.f. 2017-18.

The institution submitted its written representation on 28.05.2016 requesting for return of D.D. No. 750075 dt. 14.03.2016 for Rs.1.5 lakh. Accordingly, the DD No. 750075 dt. 14.03.2016 was returned to the institution on 01.06.2016.

A letter dated 30.05.2016 received from the Advocate P.R. Gopinathan on 02.06.2016 regarding W.P. No. 18301 of 2016 filed by Usha Patchumanan College of Education.

A court order dated 26.05.2016 received on 06.06.2016 from the Hon'ble High Court of Madras in W.P.No. 18301 of 2016 & W.M.P.No.16008 of 2016 filed by Usha Latchumanan College of Education, Puducherry.

The Hon'ble High court order stated as follows:

> ***"....though the petitioner has prayed for larger relief, this court, in the light of the above facts and circumstances, directs the 1st respondent to consider and dispose of the petitioner's representation dated 09.05.2016 on merits and in accordance with law and pass orders on or before 08.06.2016 and inform the decision taken, to the petitioner.***
>
> ***The writ petition is disposed of with the above direction. No costs. Consequently, the connected miscellaneous petition is closed."***

As per Hon'ble High court direction **The SMG Anjalai Ammal Educational Society, Thirukkanur Village, T.V. Malai Road, Villianur Taluk & Mandal, Thirukkanur City, Pondicherry District-605501, Pondicherry** had applied for grant of recognition to **Usha Latchumanan College of Education, Thirukkanur Village, T.V. Malai Road, Villianur Taluk & Mandal, Thirukkanur City, Pondicherry District-605501, Pondicherry** for offering **B.A.B.Ed/B.Sc.B.Ed** integrated course for four years duration for the academic year 2017-18 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on **30.05.2016**. The institution submitted the hard copy of the application on **16.06.2016.**

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		The application was processed as per NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedures) Regulations, 2014 notified by NCTE on 01.12.2014. A letter to State Government for recommendation was sent on 27.06.2016, followed by reminder on 01.10.2016. A letter dated 28.07.2016 received from the NCTE-Hqrs on 28.07.2016 & 08.08.2016 regarding status of letter from Shri. Veerappan, Former State Vice-President for early permission from, SRC Bangalore for two units of 4 years integrated course from 2016-17. A letter dated 27.07.2016 received from Government of Puducherry, Chief secretariat, Puducherry-605001 on 01.08.2016 stated as under: ***"...a decision was taken earlier (vide reference second cited) by this administration that no further programme of the following four Teacher Education courses are required to be entertained for the academic year 2017-18.*** ***1) Bachelor of Elementary (B.El.Ed).*** ***2) Bachelor of Education(B.Ed) through ODL Mode.*** ***3) Integrated B.Ed-M.Ed programme of 3 years duration.*** ***4) B.Ed (part-time) programme of 2 years duration.*** ***Accordingly, the applicant of M/S. SMG Anjalai Ammal Educational Society, Thirukkanur Village, T.V. Malai Road, Thirukkanur, Puducherry seeking grant of recognition to Usha Latchumanan College of Education, Thirukkanur, Puducherry, for conducting B.A.B.Ed/B.Sc.B.Ed courses, forwarded with a request to furnish the recommendation of the State Government- is not agreed to.*** NCTE-Hqrs in its letter dated 29.08.2016 received on 06.09.2016 enclosed a written representation dated 18.06.2016 along with a court order in W.P. No. 18301 of 2016 & W.M.P. No. 16008 of 2016 with a requesting to take necessary action. The institution submitted a letter dated 27.10.2016, received on 01.11.2016 along with a copy of the Government of Puducherry letter dated 26.05.2016. The State Government vide this letter informed that it has already conveyed vide its letter dated 26.03.2015 not to grant recognition for 2017-2018 for the

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

four TEIs as indicated above.

It was observed from the file that,

1. **There is contradiction in letter of the State govt. i.e vide letter dated 26.05.2016. It has mentioned not to grant recognition for B.El.Ed, B.Ed(ODL), B.Ed-M.Ed integrated and B.Ed(Part-time), whereas vide letter dated 27.07.2015 the State Govt. while quoting about its letter dated 26.05.2016 has conveyed not to grant recognition for B.A.B.Ed/B.Sc.B.Ed to the institution.**
2. ***The institution submitted application online on 30.05.2016 and hard copy* received on 16.06.2016, which is after 15 days from the date of online submission of application. However, NCTE vide letter No.F.49-4/2014/NCTE/N&S dated 22.08.2016 conveyed the direction that 15th July, 2016 shall be the last date for receipt of hard copy irrespective of online submission.**
3. **As per the Public Notice issued on 09.03.2016 for inviting applications for 2017-2018, there is a ban for D.El.Ed, B.Ed and B.P.Ed courses only.**
4. **As per application, the institution is a non-minority institution.**

SRC in its 324th meeting held during 7th to 8th December, 2016 considered the matter and decided as under:-

1. **The U.T. Admn's reply to our letter is in 2 parts. The first part is about 4 courses not cited by us. We cannot, therefore, take that into account. The second part of their reply is specifically about this case, this applicant and, the 2 courses proposed by them. We have, therefore, to take them into consideration as their objection.**
2. **In terms of the Supreme Court order, in the L.B.S. B.Ed College case, RCs are not bound by the observations of the State Govt / U.T. Admn. But, RCs are expected to pass a speaking order why they accept or not accept the objection of the State Govt. / U.T. Admn.**
3. **Please request the Puducherry govt. to disclose the reason for their objection to our considering this application.**

As per the decision of SRC, a letter was addressed to the Under Secretary to Govt., Higher and Technical Education, Government of Puducherry on 22.12.2016 requesting to disclose the reason for objection to SRC for considering the application.

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

Since reply had not been received from the State Government, the matter was placed before

SRC in its 328th meeting held on 31st January, 2016 and the Committee decided as under :-

1. **The Puducherry Govt.'s objection is in general terms. It is with reference to 4 other courses not cited by us.**
2. **They have not given reasons for extending the objection to B.A.B.Ed./B.Sc.B.Ed. also.**
3. **They have not cared to respond to our letter requesting for clarification.**
4. **We, therefore, treat the objection as unacceptable.**
5. **Process the case and put up.**

As per the decision of SRC, the application was processed and placed before SRC in its 329th meeting held on 06th to 07th February, 2017 the committee considered and matter and decided as under:-

1. **Title is clear. Land area of 5246 sq.mts. is adequate.**
2. **LUC is in order.**
3. **EC is not given.**
4. **BP- not legible. Not approved by competent authority. Built-up area separately shown is 4639 sq.mts.**
5. **BCC is in order. Built-up area shown is 4639 sq.mts.**
6. **FDRs not given.**
7. **NOCs of Govt. and University have been received.**
8. **Cause inspection.**
9. **Ask VT to collect all relevant documents.**

A letter was received from the Mr.F.P.Verbina Jayaraj, Undersecretary to Govt (Higher & Technical Education) dated 07.02.2017, received by this office on 14.02.2017.

On 10.02.2017 letter was received by this office on 14.02.2017 regarding requesting for one unit of B.Ed (2 years) program and proposed one unit of 4 years I.C. (B.A B.Ed and B.Sc, B.Ed Program).

As per the decision of SRC and as per Regulations 2014 inspection of the institution was scheduled through online mode. VT Members names were generated through On-line VT module for inspection during the period on 06.03.2017 to 26.03.2017

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

The institution has submitted representation on 14.03.2017.

Hard copy of Visiting Team report was received on 28.03.2017 and online copy not submitted.

The SRC in its 334th meeting held on 30th to 31st March, 2017 the committee considered the matter and decided as under:-

1. **LUC is not legible. Original is required.**
2. **Original EC is enquired. Details are not clear in the EC given.**
3. **BP is in order. Only, original is required.**
4. **BCC is in order. Built-up area of 4157 sq.mts. is inadequate.**

5.1 The application is for B.A.B.Ed.(1 unit) and B.Sc.B.Ed.(1 unit), But, VT Inspection report refers only to one unit. Let us go by what the VT has reported. If they represent, we can reconsider w.r.t. adequacy of built-up area.

5.2 Built-up area required i.e.,...
B.Ed.(2 units)..... 2000 sq.mts.
4yr. integ. Course(1 unit)....1500 sq.mts.
(They must indicate their choice of course).

6. **FDRs given are copies of the FDRs given for B.Ed. This is objectionable. FDRs are required@7+5 lakhs, in original, in joint account, for each unit of each course.**
7. **CD not given.**
8. **Issue SCN accordingly.**

Before issuance of SCN, based on the website information of the SRC decision, the institution has submitted a reply on 05.04.2017 and stating as under:-

Sl. No	Deficiency Pointed out in 334th SRC	Reply of the institution	Details of the documents submitted

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		1	LUC is not legible. Original is required.	The Govt. of Pondicherry member secretary PPA has issued a letter in respect of LUC reads as "**with reference to the subject and your letter cited above, it is to inform that the Educational institutions are permitted in Agricultural area as provided in clause 9 (V)- part II of the Puducherry Building Bye – Laws & Zoning Regulations 2012. Hence land use conversion from Agriculture use to institutional use is not required for the educational institutions.**' (vide letter No.1698/PPA/Z(VCP)2014/1186 dt.13.03.2014) copy is also enclosed for your record and copy of the letter No.2617/TOV/2009	• **LUC not submitted**
		2	Original EC is required. Details are not clear in the EC given.	English version is enclosed with original EC.	Two copies of original EC in Regional language & English version also submitted. **(1) Encumbrance certificate**

Name of the institution	SMG Anjalai Ammal Educational Society
Survey No	Sy No – 206/2
Extent	-
Search for the period	01.01.1985 to 01.02.2017
Issued dated	06.02.2017
Approved authority	Sub registrar

2) Encumbrance certificate

Name of the institution	Usha w/o Latchumanan
Survey No	349/1
Extent	Not clear
Search for the period	01.01.1983 to 02.03.2017
Issued dated	08.03.2017
Approved authority	Sub registrar

3) Encumbrance certificate in English version

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

			Name of the institution	SMG Anjalai Ammal Educational Society
			Survey No Extent	Sy No 349/1, 28 cents R.SNo.349 (1) extend of 31 cents
			Approved authority	-
3	BP is in order. Only, original is required.	BP is in order, now original as produced for verification and return	**The institution has submitted colour copy of Building plan.**	
			Name of the institution	SMG Anjalai Ammal Educational Society college of education
			Survey No	R.S No – 206/2 CAD No – 4202/2
			Extent	-
			Built up area	Not legible
			Issued dated	-
			Approved authority	Member secretary Puducherry planning authority
4	BCC is in order. Built-up area of 4157 sq.mts. is inadequate.	Built -up area is 4157 Sq.mt. available	• **The institution already submitted BCC at page No. 305, fresh BCC not submitted.**	
5	The application is for B.A.B.Ed.(1 unit) and B.Sc.B.Ed.(1 unit), But, VT Inspection report refers only to one unit. Let us go by what the VT has reported. If they represent, we can reconsider w.r.t. adequacy of built-up area.	i) For 2 year B.Ed programme (2 units) - **1500+500=2000 Sq.mts** ii) For 4 year BA.B.Ed (I.C) programme (2 units) **1500+500=2000 Sq.mts** so total built up area for above (both) course = **4000 Sq.mts**		

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		Built-up area required i.e.,... B.Ed.(2 units)..... 2000 sq.mts. 4yr. integ. Course(1 unit)....1500 sq.mts. (They must indicate their choice of course).	Allocation of Built up area **Choice a) 2 yr B.Ed programme (already recognition accorded)** Programme B.Ed (2 yr) one unit (existing) = **1500 sq.mts** Additional one unit of 2 yr B.Ed (existing) = **500 sq.mt** **Total Area = 2000 sq.mt** **b) 4 yr integrated programme (proposed)** BA.B.Ed & B.Sc B.Ed (both considered as one programme. as has been B.Ed & M.Ed considered as one program (NCTE norms page 118 – table) Built up area for one unit B.A,B.Ed = **1500 sq.mts** Additional one unit of B.A B.Ed = **500 sq.mts** Total area = **2000 sq.mts** **Grant Total Area I a) + I b) 2000+2000 = 4000 sq.mt** **(OR)** **Choice II 2 yr B.Ed programme 2 units = 2000 (already existing)** One unit of BA.B.Ed (Proposed) - **1500 sq.mts** One unit of BSc.B.Ed (Proposed) -**500 sq.mts** (if both courses under one programme) =**2000 Sq.mt** Grand Total area = **4000 Sq.mts** Under these circumstances your good self may consider 2 units of 2 yr B.Ed programme (Area required	As stated by the institution.

6	FDRs given are copies of the FDRs given for B.Ed. This is objectionable. FDRs are required@7+5	FDRs- are produced originals for	**Original FDRs submitted.**		
				3 Lakhs	**4 Lakhs**
			FDR / A/c Number	**136400PD0 0000054**	**0596817**

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		lakhs, in original, in joint account, for each unit of each course.	verification & return	Whether in single or joint A/c	joint A/c	joint A/c
				Date of issue	30.10.2014	23.06.2015
				Date of Maturity	22.06.2019	23.06.2020
				Name of issuing Bank	Punjab national Bank	Indian Bank
				• Original FDR Rs.5 lakhs for a period of 5 years in joint account is submitted, the FDRs have already expired.		
		7 CD not given.	CD in respect of inspection held by VT on 19.03.2017 is enclosed for your record.	• The institution has submitted CD.		

Remarks :-

- **LUC submitted earliest at page no 60 (fresh LUC not submitted).**
- **Two copies of original Encumbrance certificate submitted in Regional language and English version (Photocopy).**
- **The institution has submitted Colour Photocopy of Building plan, built up area details not legible.**
- **The institution already submitted BCC at page No. 305, fresh BCC not submitted.**
- **The Original FDRs Rs. 5 Lakhs the due date is expired, the institution has submitted Rs.3 Lakhs & Rs.4 Lakh in Joint account.**

The Committee considered the above matter and asked SRO to put up the matter tomorrow.

18.	SRCAPP2016 30157 M.P.Ed 1 Unit Mother Teresa College of Physical Education, Pudukottai, Tamilnadu	**Mother Teresa Educational Charitable Trust, Veerapatti Village, Mettusalai Street, Illuppur Taluk, Pudukkottai City & District-622102, Tamil Nadu** applied for grant of recognition to **Mother Terasa College of Physical Education, Veerapatti Village, Mettusalai, Illuppur Taluk, Veerapatti City, Pudukottai District-622102, Tamil Nadu** for offering **M.P.Ed course of two years duration for the academic year 2017-18 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993** to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on **30.06.2016**.The institution has submitted the hard copy of the application on **13.07.2016.** As per Regulations, a letter to State Government for recommendation was sent on 27.08.2016, followed by Reminder I on 12.10.2016 and Reminder II on 11.11.2016. No recommendation received from the State Govt. The period of 90 days as per Regulations is over. Hence, the application is processed.

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

As per public notice for 2017-18, there is no ban for B.P.Ed course in the State of Tamil Nadu.

As per the direction, the application has been scrutinized online along with hard copy of the application and documents were placed before SRC in its 327th meeting held during 19th to 20th January, 2017 and the Committee considered the matter and decided as under:-

1. **NOC not given.**
2. **Photocopy of title deed is given. Title is clear. We need a photocopy certified by the Sub-Registrar. Land area is adequate.**
3. **LUC is in order.**
4. **EC is in order.**
5. **BP is approved. Built-up area shown is 3364.31 sq.mts.**
6. **BCC is not approved by competent authority. Built up area shown is 3010 sq.mts.**
7. **FDRs not given.**
8. **Cause composite inspection.**
9. **Ask VT to collect all relevant documents.**

As per the decision of SRC, inspection of the institution for M.P.Ed courses were scheduled through online mode during 01.02.2017 to 21.02.2017. Two VT members for each course have been given their acceptance for the visit.

Hard copy of Visiting Team report was received on 22.02.2017, The SRC in its 331st meeting held on 22nd, February, 2017 directly considered the VT Report and decided as under:-

1. **They have B.P.Ed. operating since 2008 (1 unit)**
2. **NOC is given.**
3. **Land area is inadequate: available is 6.3 acres as against a requirement of 8 acres.**
4. **Built-up area required is 2700 sq.mts; available is 3010 sq.mts.**
5. **FDRs in original are required for verification.**
6. **Issue SCN for rejection.**

A show cause notice was not issued to the institution meantime based on the website information of the SRC decision, the institution has submitted a reply on 07.03.2017 (hard copy) along with LUC, Affidavit & original FDRs.

The SRC in its 333rd meeting held on 24th March, 2017 the committee considered the reply and documents and decided as under:-

1. **Their reply relating to land area and FDRs are seen.**

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

2. **FDRs @7+5 lakhs per programme, per unit, are required.**
3. **The NOC given is only for B.P.Ed., not for M.P.Ed.**
4. **Issue Show Cause Notice for rejection.**

Before issuance of SCN, based on the website information of the SRC decision, the institution has submitted representation through e-mail on 04.04.2017. And hard copy received on 04.04.2017 stating as under:-

".... With reference to the subject cited above, in continuation of our letter dated 23.03.2017 submitted to SRC – NCTE on 24.03.2017 we hereby submitting the following for the deficiencies mentioned in the Decision of 333rd Meeting of SRC-NCTE held on 24 March- 2017 against Sl.No.2, and 3 for your kind perusal."

Sl. No	Deficiency Pointed out in the SCN	Reply of the institution	Details of the documents submitted
1.	FDRs @7+5 lakhs per programme, per unit, are required.	*" Original FDR's for Rs.5 Lakhs and 7 lakhs bearing No.036804000000152 and 036804000000151 dated 17.02.2017 towards Endowment Fund and Reserve Fund respectively in the Joint name of the Trust and SRC-NCTE for M.P.Ed is submitted to SRC NCTE on 07.03.2017 for verification* *Original FDR's for Rs.3 Lakhs and 5 Lakhs bearing NO.511400189 and 511400190 dated 31.05.2014 towards Endowment Fund and Reserve Fund respectively in the Joint name of the Trust and SRC-NCTE for B.P.Ed is*	• Original FDRs of Rs. 5 lakhs and Rs. 7 lakhs in joint A/c for M.P.Ed course already submitted. • Also submitted FDRs of Rs.5 lakhs and Rs.3 lakhs parting to B.P.Ed for verification.

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

				ENCLOSED for verification."	
		2.	The NOC given is only for B.P.Ed., not for M.P.Ed.	"Copy of the NOC Issued by the Government of Tamil Nadu for starting M.P.Ed course at Mother Terasa College of Physical Education from the academic year 2017-18 is enclosed Copy of the Staff Approval for issued by the Tamil Nadu Physical Education and Sports University is Submitted to SRC NCTE on 24.03.2014 – Copy of the Documents is Enclosed."	The institution has submitted Photocopy of the No Objection Certificate for M.P.Ed course dated 30.03.2017, issued by Govt of Tami Nadu.

Remarks:-

- **The institution has not submitted NOC from the affiliating body.**

The Committee considered the above matter and decided as under:-

1. **The NOC is from the State Govt. and not from the affiliating body.**
2. **Reject the application.**
3. **Return FDRs, if any.**
4. **Close the file.**

19.	SRCAPP2016 30149 BA.B.Ed BSc.B.Ed 1 Unit Samatha Degree College,	**Modern Educational Society, Nagar Kurnool, Mahbubnagar, Telangana** had applied for grant of recognition to **Samatha Degree College, Uyyalawada Village, Hyderabad Road, Nagarkurnool Taluk, Uyyalawada City, Mahbubnagar District – 509209 Telangana** for offering **B.Sc.B.Ed.B.A.B.Ed integrated** course for four years duration for the academic year 2017-18 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on **30.06.2016**.The institution has submitted the hard copy of the application on **05.07.2016.** As per Regulations, a letter to State Government for recommendation was sent

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

	Mahbubnagar, Telangana	on 27.08.2016, followed by Reminder I on 12.10.2016 and Reminder II on 11.11.2016. No recommendation received from the State Government, the period of 90 days as per Regulations is over. Hence, the application is processed. As per public notice for 2017-18, there is no ban for B.Sc.B.Ed.B.A.B.Ed course in the State of Telangana. The SRC in its 327th meeting held on 19th – 20th January, 2017 considered the matter and decided as under: **1. NOC is given.** **2. They must indicate whether they want B.A.B.Ed. (2 units) or B.Sc.B.Ed.(2 units) or B.A. B.Ed. (1 unit) + B.Sc. B.Ed. (1 unit).** **3. Land document is in order. Land area is adequate.** **4. LUC & EC are in order.** **5. BP is not approved by competent authority. Built up area shown is 3072 sq.mts. which is inadequate.** **6. BCC is in order. Built-up area shown is 3072 sq.mts. This is inadequate for the 3 courses in reference.** **7. FDRs not given.** **8. Cause inspection.** **9. Ask VT to collect all relevant documents.** Accordingly, VT was scheduled through online between 01.02.2017 to 21.02.2017. An e-mail received from the VT member (Dr.D.P. Singh) on 28.03.2017. It stated as under: ***"After coordination with Dr.Matabar Mishra Ji through their corrected phone no. 9450754578, both VT members has been contacted to the Management representative of SAMATH DEGREE COLLEGE, UYYALA WADA, HYDERABAD ROAD, NAGARKURNOOL which inspection due to some official reasons. Therefore the proposed inspection not conducted by us. This is for your kind information and further action taken by SRC, NCTE."*** **The Committee considered the above matter and decided as under:-** **1. The VT has reported that the Management has not co-operted with the inspection. Inspite of making several phone-calls, they did not**

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		agree to submit for the inspection. **2. This conduct of the Management is not acceptable at all.** **3. Issue Show Cause Notice for rejection.**
20.	SRCAPP3266 B.P.Ed 1 Unit SRCAPP3263 D.El.Ed 1 Unit M.J.Samuel College of Physical Education, West Godavari, Andhra Pradesh	**SRCAPP3266/B.P.Ed** **Santhinekethan Educational Society, Plot No. 29, Lakshmaneswaram Street & Village, Narsapur Post, Taluk and City, West Godavari District – 534275, Andhra Pradesh** applied for grant of recognition **M.J. Samuel College of Physical Education, Plot No. 29, Rajevnagar Street, Lakshmaneswaram Village, Narsapur Post, Taluk & City, west Godavari District – 534275, Andhra Pradesh** for offering **B.P.Ed** course of two years duration for the academic year 2016-17 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on **30.05.2015** The institution submitted hard copy of the application on **08.06.2015**. The application was processed as per NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedures) Regulations, 2014 notified by NCTE on 01.12.2014. A letter to State Government for recommendation was sent on 24.06.2015 followed by Reminder I 25.08.2015, a letter for furnishing information in support of composite institutions was sent on 25.08.2015. Reminder II was sent on 10.12.2015. **SRCAPP3263/D.El.Ed** **Santhinekethan Educational Society, Plot No. 29, Lakshmaneswaram Street & Village, Narsapur Post, Taluk and City, West Godavari District – 534275, Andhra Pradesh** had applied for grant of recognition to **M.J. Samuel College of Elementary Education, Plot No. 29, Rajevnagar Street, Lakshmaneswaram Village, Narsapur Post, Taluk & City, west Godavari District – 534275, Andhra Pradesh** for offering **D.El.Ed** course of two years duration for the academic session 2016-17 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee , NCTE through online on **30.05.2015**. The institution has submitted hard copy of the application on 08.06.2015. The application was processed as per NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedures) Regulations, 2014 notified by NCTE on 01.12.2014. A letter to State Government for recommendation was sent on 17.06.2015

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

followed by Reminder-I and a letter for furnishing information in support of composite institutions was sent on 01.09.2015. Reminder II was sent to the institution on 10.12.2015.

The Scrutiny of Application for causing inspection was prepared and placed before the SRC in its 296th meeting held on 15th - 16th December, 2015 and the committee considered the matter and decided as under:

1) **Land Usage Certificate and Building Completion Certificate to be submitted**
2) **Blue Print of the Building Plan to be submitted**
3) **Affidavit to be submitted**
4) **Original Fixed Deposit Receipts to be submitted**
5) **Ask VT to obtain relevant Land and Building documents**
6) **Cause Composite Inspection**

Accordingly, inspection intimation was sent to the institution and VT members on 12.01.2016.

The inspection has not been conducted till date.

The SRC in its 331st meeting held on 22nd February, 2017 considered the matter and decided as under:

1. **In both these cases, VT inspections, ordered in 2016, have not yet been carried out.**
2. **Check with the VTs to ascertain the reasons for this delay; and, check the current status from both the VTs and the institutions.**

NOTE:

The VT members were contacted over the phone and one VT member not responded and another VT member phone is switched off. The institution also not responding.

The SRC in its 334th meeting held on 30th & 31st March, 2017 considered the matter and decided as under:

1. **In both cases, VT inspections have been delayed.**
2. **RD has not been able to get through on phone either to VT members or to the institution.**
3. **The institution also does not appear to be serious about its applications. They have not submitted most of the documents.**
4. **Report in the next meeting on 11.4.17.**

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		The Committee considered the above matter and decided as under:- **1. No progress in the matter. Try again.** **2. Try to contact VT members by phone or e-mail.** **3. Try to contact the Management also.** **4. Put up on 19.4.17.**
21.	SRCAPP2231 B.Ed 2 Units Jnanabharathi College of Education, Chitradurga, Karnataka	**Jnanabharathi Education Society, Plot No.136/6, NH-4 service Road, Yaradakatte Village, Hiriyur Taluk & City, Hiriyur Post office, Chitradurga District-577599 Karnataka** had applied for grant of recognition to **Jnanabharathi College of Education, Plot No.136/6, NH-4 Street, Yaradakatte Village, Hiriyur Taluk & City, Hiriyur Post office, Chitradurga District-577599 Karnataka** for offering **B.Ed** course of two years duration for the academic section 2016-17 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee , NCTE through online on **20.04.2015** The institution submitted the hard copy of the application on **06.05.2015**. The institution submitted No Objection Certificate dated 05.05.2015 issued by the Registrar, Davanagere University, Davanagere-577002, Karnataka along with the hard copy of the application. The application was processed as per NCTE(Recognition Norms and Procedures) Regulations, 2014 notified by NCTE on 01.12.2014.A letter for recommendation of State Govt. was sent on 16.05.2015 followed by reminder I on 04.07.2015 and reminder II on 20.10.2016. Sub-clause (2) of clause 7 of Regulations, 2014 for processing of applications stipulates as under:- "(2) The application shall be summarily rejected under one or more of the following circumstance- *a) Failure to furnish the application fee, as prescribed under rule 9 of the National Council for Teacher Education Rules, 1997 on or before the date of submission of online application;* *b) Failure to submit print out of the applications made online along with the land documents as required under sub-regulation (4) of Regulation 5 within fifteen days of the submission of the online application. "*

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

Sub-regulation (4) of Regulation 5 reads as under:-

"While submitting the application online a copy of the registered land document issued by the competent authority, indicating that the society or institution applying for the programme possesses land on the date of application, shall be attached along with the application."

Sub- clause (3) of clause 5 of Regulations, 2014 : (Manner of making application and time limit) stipulates as under:-

"(3) The application shall be submitted online electronically alongwith the processing fee and scanned copies of required documents such as no objection certificate issued by the concerned affiliating body. *While submitting the application, it has to be ensured that the application is duly signed by the applicant on every page, including digital signature at appropriate place at the end of the application."*

On careful perusal of the original file of the institution and other documents, the application of the institution was found deficient as per Regulations, 2014 as under:-

- *Failure to submit Print out of the application made online within 15 days of the submission of the online application.(online application dated 20.4.2015 hard copy received on 06.05.2015).*

The Southern Regional Committee in its 291st meeting held during 20th& 21st August,2015 considered the matter, and decided to **Summarily reject** the application as per clause 7 2(b) of Regulations, 2014 on the following ground:

- Failure to submit Print out of the application made online within 15 days of the submission of the online application.

As per the decision of SRC, a rejection order issued to the institution on **26.10.2015.**

Aggrieved by the Rejection order of SRC, the institution filed an appeal before the appellate authority, NCTE Hqrs.

On 19.01.2016, a letter was received by this office from the NCTE Hqrs regarding acceptance of hard copy of application up to 15.07.2015 which is as under:-

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		"I am directed to refer to this office letter of even no. dated 15th July, 2015 conveying therein orders of Chairperson in paragraph 4 of the letter that 15th July, 2015 will be the last date for submission of hardcopy of the application for the academic session 2015-16. Irrespective of the date of online submission. In this regard attention is invited to a subsequent letter dated 07th September, 2015, wherein under the last line of paragraph I, the position as it exists in clause 7 of the Regulation 2014 has been indicated. It is hereby clarified that inclusion of this line was an inadvertant mistake therefore was not necessary and may be ignored. As such the directions of the Chairperson NCTE, as conveyed in this office vide above mentioned letter dated 15th July,2014, extending the date of acceptance of the hardcopy of the applications for 2016-17, up to 15th July, 2015 is reiterated for compliance. *This issues with the approval of the Chairperson NCTE."* Accordingly, the application was processed and the SRC in its 304th meeting held during 19th to 20th considered the matter and decided as under:- "1. This is a reopened 'delayed' submission case. 2. They have not provided any information requiring support from other Teacher Education Courses or liberal Arts/Science courses. 3. Issue SCN for ' stand alone' status." In the mean time, based on the website information, the institution submitted reply to the show cause notice on 28.03.2016 enclosing a copy of the latter from Davanagere University that their application for new degree course is under process. The SRC in its 308th meeting held during 28th to 30th March 2016 considered the show cause notice reply and decided as under:- "1. A non-existent course can not provide the composite status required. 2. Their reply is rejected. 3. The application for B.Ed is also rejected." The appellate authority vide order F.No.89-323/2015 Appeal/3rd Meeting-

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

2016/25994 dated 18.04.2016 remanded the case as under:-

> *"Appeal committee noted from the refusal order that the appellant institution submitted online application for B.Ed course on 20.04.2015 and hard copy thereof was submitted on 06.05.2015. Appeal committee further noted that NCTE (HQ) had issued directions addressed to all Regional Committee offices stating that 15.07.2015 shall be the last date for submission of hard copy of application along with N.O.C. irrespective of the date of online application. Noting that the appellant institution submitted hard copy of application much before the cut-off date, Appeal committee decided to remand back the case to S.R.C.for further processing of the application.*
>
> *After perusal of the Memoranda of Appeal, affidavit, documents on record, Appeal committee concluded to remand back the case to S.R.C Bangalore, for further processing of the application.*
>
> *The council hereby remands back the case of Jnanabharathi College of Education, Chitradurga, Karnataka to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above."*

SRC in its 312th meeting held during 28th to 20th April 2016 considered the matter and decided as under :-

> *"1.Their representations against the rejection order is seen*
> *2. Issue a SCN for rejection and give them an opportunity to challenge the action proposed."*

On 29.04.2016,based on website information, the institution submitted reply to the points of SCN as under :-

> *"From the above cited subject we are furnishing information regarding requiring support from other teacher education or liberal arts /science courses. We have submitted application to open a degree courses in Davangere University under the same Society. Due to this our new college will not come under the 'stand alone' institution ."*

The institution submitted a copy of Letter dated 29.04.2016 from the Principal Secretary, Department of Higher Education, Government of Karnataka addressed to the Registrar, Davangere University directing the University to affiliate Jnana Bharathi First Grade College for offering B.A and B.Com courses from the academic session 2015-16.

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

The SRC in its 313th meeting held during 02nd& 3rd May, 2016 considered the reply and decided as under:-

"1. The reply given refers only to a letter from the Govt. to the University for affiliation. What is required is proof of affiliation granted.
2. Issue SCN accordingly."

On 02.05.2016, based on website information, the institution submitted reply as under:-

"From the above cited subject we are furnishing information regarding requiring support from other Teacher Education or liberal Arts/ Science courses. We have submitted application to open a degree courses in Davangere University under the same society and the order copy affiliating body is also attached with this. Due to this our new college will not comes under the stand alone Institution."

The institution submitted the following documents:

1. Order copy of Degree College.
2. Order copy from affiliating body (Davangere University).

The SRC in its 317th meeting held during 28th to 30th June,2016 considered the matter and decided as under:-

1. They have furnished documents in Support of the claim against stand-alone status.
2. Process.

As per the decision of SRC, the application was processed.

The SRC in its 323rd meeting held during 16th – 18th November, 2016 considered the matter and decided as under:-

1. "Title is there.
2. LUC and EC are in order.
3. BP and BCC are in order.
4. Built-up-area is adequate.
5. Cause Inspection.
6. Ask VT to collect all relevant documents.
7. Ask VT to check in particular contiguity of the liberal educational courses providing composite status."

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

Accordingly, inspection intimation was sent to the institution on 24.11.2016 & 29.11.2016. VT members names were generated through online VT module for inspection during the period 26.11.2016 to 16.12.2016. Inspection of the institution was conducted on 07.12.2016 and the VT report along with documents received on 16.12.2016.

The SRC in its 328th meeting held on 31st January, 2017 considered the VT report and decided as under:-

1. **"Title is there.**
2. **LUC / EC are in order.**
3. **BP/BCC are in order.**
4. **Original FDRs given.**
5. **Issue LOI for B.Ed (2 units)."**

Accordingly, as per the decision of SRC a letter of intent was issued to the institution on 03.02.2017.

The institution has submitted a letter on 03.04.2017 is as under:-

"I am writing this letter to request on extension in submitting staff approval list.

I will not be able to submit the staff approval list with in the original frame time. The reason why I could not send is University Registrar, and their staff busy in University examinations, Valuation and Result announcement. Considering my problem, kindly grant be an extension of three or four days for submitting the staff approval list. "

The Committee considered the above matter and decided as under:-

1. **They have sought more time to give LOI reply because the University(that is to approve the Faculty list) is busy with examination work.**
2. **Give time till 29.4.17.**

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		3. Put up on 1.5.17.
22.	SRCAPP2947 B.Ed 2 Units Bhagiratha College of Education, Chitradurga, Karnataka	**Sri Upaveera Jagdguru Vidya Samsthe, Plot No. 45/2AP2-P1, Brahma Vidyanagara Street, Madhure Village and Post Office, Hosadurga Taluk & City, Chithradurga District-577527, Karnataka** had applied for grant of recognition to **Bhagiratha College of Education, Plot No. 45/2AP2-P1, Madhure Village and Post Office, Hosadurga Taluk & City, Chithradurga District-577527, Karnataka** for offering **B.Ed** course of **two years** duration for the academic Section **2016-17** under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on **30.05.2015** The institution submitted the hard copy of the application on **30.05.2015.** The application was processed as per NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedures) Regulations, 2014 notified by NCTE on 01.12.2014.A letter for recommendation of State Govt. was sent on 09.06.2015 followed by reminder I on 04.07.2015. Sub-Clause (2) of Clause 7 of Regulations, 2014 for processing of applications stipulates as under:- "(2) The application shall be summarily rejected under one or more of the following circumstance- *a) Failure to furnish the application fee, as prescribed under rule 9 of the National Council for Teacher Education Rules, 1997 on or before the date of submission of online application;* *b) Failure to submit print out of the applications made online along with the land documents as required under sub-regulation (4) of Regulation 5 within fifteen days of the submission of the online application. "* *Sub-regulation (4) of Regulation 5 reads as under:-* *"While submitting the application online a copy of the registered land document issued by the competent authority, indicating that the society or institution applying for the programme possesses land on the date of application, shall be attached along with the application."* On careful perusal of the original file of the institution and other documents, the application of the institution was found deficient as per Regulations, 2014 as under:- • *The institution has submitted a copy of the registered land documents which is in the name of an individual (Shri shri shri*

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		Purushothamanand Puri Mahaswamiji).and not in the name of Society /Trust/Institution. The SRC in its 291st meeting held during 20th to 21st August, 2016 considered the matter, and after careful perusal of the original application for B.Ed course for the session 2016-17 submitted on-line on 30.05.2015 and hard copy on 30.05.2015, decided to Summarily reject the application as per Clause 7 2(b) of Regulations 2014. The land documents as required under sub-regulation (4) of Regulation 5 is not submitted. The institution submitted a copy of the registered land documents which is in the name of an individual (Shri shri shri Purushothamanand Puri Mahaswamiji) and not in the name of Society /Trust/Institution. As per decision of SRC, a rejection order was issued to the Institution on 15.10.2015 Aggrieved by the Rejection order of SRC, the institution filed an appeal before the appellate authority, NCTE Hqrs. The appellate authority vide order No. F.No.89-301/2015 F.No. 89301 Appeal/3rd Meeting-2016, 170940 dated 18.04.2016 remanded the case to SRC as under:- "The Committee noting that the correction Land sale deed, which is in favour of President, Bhageeratha Peetha, was got executed on the same day i.e. 09.01.2007 on which date the earlier submitted sale deed was executed in the name of an individual, concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the S.R.C with a direction to process the application further on receipt of the Correction Deed from the appellant. The appellant is directed to submit the correction Deed to the S.R.C within 15 days of receipt of the orders on the appeal. After perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the committee concluded that the appeal deserves to be remanded to SRC with a direction to process the application further on receipt of the correction Deed from the appellant. The appellant is directed to submit the correction deed to the SRC within 15 days of receipt of the orders on the

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

appeal.

The Council hereby remands back the case of Bhagiratha College of Education, Hosadurga, Chitradurga District, Karnataka to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above."

The matter was placed before the SRC, in its 312th meeting held on 28th& 29th April, 2016. The Committee considered the matter and decided as under:

1. Built up area, as per the BCC, is inadequate for the existing and proposed courses
2. Latest EC is not submitted
3. FDRs have to be given in joint account and in original
4. Cause Composite Inspection
5. Ask VT to collect all documents during the inspection
6. According to the time-limit extended by the Supreme Court, 2 May 2016 is the last date for issue of Formal Recognition w.e.f. 2016-17. All concerned should be advised of this position so that they can take advantage of the extended time-limit even if necessary by foregoing normal 'notice periods'.

The inspection intimation was sent to the institution on 27.09.2016.

The inspection of the institution was conducted on 08.10.2016 and the VT report received in the office on 13.10.2016 along with documents.

The SRC, in its 323rd meeting held during 16th to 18th November, 2016 considered the matter and decided as under:-

1. Title is not in the name of either the Society or Institution.
2. LUC and EC are given.
3. BP is in order.
4. BCC is in order.
5. Built-up area is adequate.
6. The B.Ed application is not supported by any other course to provide composite status.
7. Issue SCN accordingly.

Besides the above, the institution is also required to submit the latest Encumbrance Certificate.

On 23.11.2016, NOC is received from Proceedings of the Government of Karnataka vide order no: ED 319 UNE 2015 Bangalore, dated 09.11.2016.

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

As per the decision of SRC, a Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution on 28.11.2016.

The Show Cause Notice reply was not received by this office even after completion of 9 days from the date of issue of notice reply to the institution. Accordingly the non-submission of show cause notice reply was placed before SRC 327th meeting.

The SRC, in its 327th meeting held during 19th to 20th January, 2017 considered the matter and decided as under:-

> " The main objection in this case was about 'stand alone' status. The fact of their running a D.El.Ed. course was not reported.
>
> Now that the new information has come up, put up again before the SRC for reconsideration in the next meeting on 31.01.2017.

In the meantime, the institution has submitted Show Cause notice Reply on 17.01.2017.

The SRC in its 328th meeting held during 31st January, 2017 considered the show cause notice reply and decided as under:-

1. **"There is support from a running D.El.Ed course.**
2. **The deficiency relating to land document is rectified.**
3. **Issue LOI for B.Ed (2 units)."**

Accordingly, as per the decision of SRC a letter of Intent was issued to the institution on 03.02.2017.

But, the institution has not submitted LOI reply till date.

The Committee considered the above matter and decided as under:-

1. **LOI reply not yet received.**
2. **Give further time till 24.4.17.**
3. **Put up on 25.4.17.**

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

23.	SRCAPP2016 30111 BA.B.Ed BSc.B.Ed 2 Units Alwardas Institute of Education, Visakhapatnam Andhra Pradesh	**Sri. Venkateswara Vidya Peeth Regd, Visakhapatnam Village, MVP Colony, Visakhapatnam Taluk, City & District-530017,Andhra Pradesh** had applied for grant of recognition to **Alwardas Institute of Education and Training, Vepagunta Village, RRV Puram, Gopalapatnam Taluk, Vepagunta City, Visakhapatnam District-530029, Andhra Pradesh** for offering **B.A.B.Ed.B.Sc.B.Ed** integrated course for four years duration for the academic year 2017-18 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on **03.06.2016**.The institution submitted the hard copy of the application on **10.06.2016.** As per Regulations, a letter to State Government for recommendation was sent on 22.06.2016, followed by Reminder I on 01.10.2016 and Reminder II on 02.11.2016. No recommendation received from the State Government, the period of 90 days as per Regulations is over; hence, the application is processed. As per public notice for 2017-18, there is no ban for B.A.B.Ed.B.Sc.B.Ed integrated course in the State of Andhra Pradesh. The application has been scrutinized through online along with hard copy of application and placed before the SRC in its 325th meeting held on 19th – 20th December, 2016. The Committee considered the matter and decided as under: ***1. Title is clear. Sy.No. 124. Area 3.50 acre. Land area is adequate for D.El.Ed (2 units) and BA B.Ed / B.Sc B.Ed (2 units).*** ***2. EC and LUC are in order.*** ***3. BP is not legible.*** ***4. BCC is approved. The built-up area shown is inadequate.*** ***5. FDRs – not given.*** ***6. NOC is given.*** ***7. Fire safety certificate is given.*** ***8. The built-up area details are different in the BCC, Fire safety certificate and, in the on-line submission.*** ***9. Issue Show Cause Notice accordingly.*** Accordingly, Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution through online mode on 21.12.2016. A letter was sent to S.V.L.N. Swamy Devastanam on 18.01.2017 regarding obtaining a copy of Govt. Owner permitting you to sell the land. The institution has not submitted reply. The SRC in its 329th meeting held on 06th & 07th February, 2017. The Committee considered the matter and decided as under: 1. ***NOC (issued by Director of School Education) is given.*** 2. ***Reply to SCN not received.*** 3. ***According to the computer programme in force, non-submission of reply to SCN should lead to rejection of the case. It will be***

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		unfortunate if this case, which fulfils all requirements for VT inspection has to be rejected because of the technical difficulty in the computer programme. ***4. Remind them for an early reply.*** A letter to the institution was sent on 15.02.2017 conveying the decision of 329th SRC meeting. The institution has not submitted reply till date. The SRC in its 332nd meeting held on 28th February – 03rd March, 2017, considered the matter and decided as under: ***1. They have not yet replied to the SCN issued online on 21.12.2016 and to the letter issued separately on 15.2.2017.*** ***2. Give them some more time.*** ***3. Put up on 3.3.2017.*** The institution has not submitted SCN reply The SRC in its 334th meeting held on 30th and 31st March 2017 considered the matter and decided as under: ***The request for extension of time for VT inspection is accepted subject to the clear understanding that they will lose the opportunity of being considered for FR w.e.f. 2017-18 because of the 2nd May (extended) time – limit prescribed by the Supreme Court.*** **Note:** **Agenda for non submission of SCN reply was placed but SRC has taken above said decision.** **The Committee considered the above matter and decided as under:-** **1. No reply to SCN has at all been received.** **2. In this case, the processes cannot be completed before 2.5.17. In other words, they can be given more time for reply and for VT inspection, thereafter, if possible.** **3. Give time till 31.5.17.**
24.	SRCAPP2016 30210 D.P.SE-AI	**Govt. DIET, Mahabubnagar, Mahabubnagar Village, Mettugadda Street, Mahabubnagar Taluk, City & District-509001, Telangana** applied for grant of recognition to **Govt. DIET, Mahabubnagar, Mahabubnagar Village & Street, Mahabubnagar Taluk & City, Mahabubnagar District-509001, Telangana** for

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

	1 Unit Govt. DIET, Mahabubnagar, Telangana	offering **D.P.S.E-AI** course for two years duration for the academic year 2017-18 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on **01.07.2016**. The institution submitted the hard copy of the application on **08.07.2016.** As per Regulations, a letter to State Government for recommendation was sent on 27.08.2016 followed by Reminder I on 12.10.2016 and Reminder II on 11.11.2016. No recommendation received from the State Government, the period of 90 days as per Regulations is over. Hence, the application is processed. As per public notice for 2017-18, there is no ban for D.P.S.E-AI course in the State of Telangana. The application has been scrutinized and placed before the SRC in its 327th meeting held on 19th – 20th January, 2017. The Committee considered the matter and decided as under: **1. They have asked for DPSE-AI without having a basic unit. They must clarify.** **2. NOC is given.** **3. Land document is in order. Title is clear. Land area is adequate.** **4. LUC/EC – not required. Govt. land.** **5. BP is given. Built-up area shown is 3319 sq.mts.** **6. BCC is given. Built-up area is only 2331 sq.mts.** **7. Asbestos roofing is there.** **8. Issue SCN accordingly.** Accordingly, Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution on 21.01.2017. The institution has submitted the SCN reply on 08.02.2017. The SRC in its 332nd meeting held on 28th February – 03rd March, 2017 considered the matter and decided as under: **1. Their reply to SCN is seen. They have said nothing about removal of the asbestos sheets.** **2. Ask them to clarify.** Accordingly, as per decision of SRC, a letter was sent to the institution on 21.03.2017. Now, the institution submitted its written representation on 28.03.2017. It stated as under:

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		"In compliance with the Regional Director, NCTE, SRC, Bangalore letter under reference 4th cited, I submit that the commissioner and Director of School Education, TS. Hyderabad has already been requested for according permission for the removal of the asbestos sheets/roofing vide this office letter under reference 2nd cited. Soon after receipt of permission of orders the asbestos sheets/roofing will be removed. The same as already been informed to the Regional Director, NCTE, SRC, Bangalore vide this office letter under reference 3rd cited. A copy of the same is enclosed herewith for kind perusal. ***I therefore request the Regional Director, NCTE, SRC, Bangalore kindly accord sanction D.P.S.E basic unit in Govt. DIET. Mahabubnagar from the academic year 2017-18 and I assure that we will follow the instructions of NCTE from time to time.*** ***This is submitted for favour of information."*** ***Note:*** ***A letter dated 07.03.2017 addressed to the Principal, Govt. DIET, Mahabubnagar to Govt. of Telangana, Education Dept is enclosed.*** **The Committee considered the above matter and decided as under:-** **1. No doubt the DIET has taken up the matter with the Commissioner of School education for removal of the asbestos sheets; but, actual removal has not occurred. We cannot give conditional orders.** **2. They also do not have adequate built-up area since they already have TTC(i.e., D.El.Ed.) courses with a total intake of 352 (120+120+112) which in terms of the 2014 Regulations will come to 7 units.** **3. They have also not given the Affidavit to come under the 2014 Regulations.** **4. Issue SCN accordingly.**
25.	SRCAPP2016 30055	**Anwarul Uloom Educational Association, New Mallepally Village, Hyderabad Taluk & City, Hyderabad District-500001, Telangana** applied for grant of recognition

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

	D.El.Ed 2 Units Nawab Shah Alam Khan College of Education, Rangareddy, Telangana	to **Nawab Shah Alam Khan College of Education, Yennepally Village, Vikarabad Taluk, Yennepally City, Rangareddy District-501101, Telangana** for offering **D.El.Ed** course for two years duration for the academic year 2017-18 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on **28.05.2016**.The institution submitted the hard copy of the application on **30.05.2016.** As per Regulations, a letter to State Government for recommendation was sent on 04.07.2016, followed by Reminder-I on 01.10.2016 and Reminder-II on 02.11.2016. No recommendation received from the State Government after the period of 90 days as per Regulations was over; hence, the application was processed. As per public notice for 2017-18 D.El.Ed course is ban in the State of Telangana. The institution submitted a copy of letter sent by Director SCERT, (I/c) forwarding the inspection report for grant of minority status, however, no minority certificate has been submitted. The application has been scrutinized through online along with hard copy of application. The SRC in its 325th meeting held on 19th – 20th December, 2016 considered the matter and decided as under: **1. Minority certificate not given.** **2. NOC of affiliating body not given.** **3. Title is there. Land area (6 acres) is adequate. Sy.nos. 25/1 & 27/1.** **4. EC is in order.** **5. LUC is in order.** **6. BP shows only Sy.no. 27/1. Approved by competent authority. Built-up area is not shown.** **7. BCC is approved. Built-up area shown is 3079 sqmts. Inadequate for B.Ed (2 units) + D.El.Ed (2 units).** **8. FDRs not given.** **9. Issue Show Cause Notice accordingly.** Accordingly, Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution through online on 21.12.2016. The institution has submitted reply to Show Cause Notice on 10.01.2017. The SRC in its 328th meeting held on 31st January, 2017 considered the matter and decided as under: 1. NOC is not given. The argument that SCERT has not responded in time is not relevant to us. 2. Others objections have been settled. 3. Reject.

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

Accordingly, Rejection Order was issued to the institution through online on 02.02.2017.

An email received on 21.02.2017 from the Advocate Shri K.Ramakanth Reddy forwarding the W.P. No. 5948 of 2017 filed by Anwar-Uloom Educational Association. Accordingly, a letter was sent on 23.02.2017 to the Advocate Shri. K. Ramakanth Reddy along with the brief of the institution.

Now, the institution has submitted written representation on 28.03.2017 along with the court order. The letter stated as under:

> ***"We have applied for establishment of new course in our Nawab Shah Alam Khan College of Education for offering D.El.Ed course for the academic year 2017-18 on 30.05.2016(copy enclosed) and also applied for NOC from State Government (copy enclosed). We have also submitted all quires/attached documents online and hard copy from time to time as required by NCTE.***
>
> ***We got the order from NCTE rejecting the application for wan of NOC. (copy enclosed) Then we approached the High Court and the High Court has issued order that our application be reconsidered without insisting of NOC (High Court order copy enclosed).***
>
> ***In the view of above fact, we request you to reconsider our application for D.El.Ed course for the academic year 2017-2018."***

The Court Order stated as under:

Order:

> **"Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that in identical circumstances in WPMP No. 1987 of 2016 in W.P. No. 1565 of 2016, this court passed an order on 21.01.2016 directing respondents 1 and 2 to reconsider the application of the 2nd petitioner therein, in the light of the notice issued by it on27.02.2015, where under the restrictions with regard to recognition of the teacher training institutions/programmes including additional intake/increase in seats in the existing recognized programmes, were not made applicable in the case in the case of Minority Educational Institutions established under Article 30 of the Constitution and it was made applicable to Telangana State also.**
>
> **There is no dispute that the 2nd petitioner is a Minority Institution.**
>
> **Following the aforementioned order, the 1st and 2nd respondents are directed to reconsider the application of the petitioners without insisting for NOC."**

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

The SRC in its 334th meeting held on 30th – 31st March, 2017, considered the matter and deiced as under:

1. **The Court order is seen.**
1.1 **In compliance of the court order, subject to the outcome in the 'appeal' that we propose to file, cause inspection.**
1.2 **Ask VT to check in particular the built-up area.**
2. **Our stand has been that Minority Certificate gives them the right to apply even when courses are 'banned'; the NOC from the affiliating body is for giving eligibility to apply. If the affiliating body is not able to approve the curriculum or hold examinations for the course what will be the point in starting the course? This distinction between right to apply and eligibility to apply we have uniformly been applying to all cases. Departing from that position in one case alone will be unfair to all the earlier cases subjected to this Principle. We must file an appeal.**
3. **Ask the Lawyer to file and obtain 'stay' of the court order in reference.**

Accordingly, as per the decision of SRC, a letter to the Advocate Shri.K. Ramakanth Reddy was sent on 06.04.2017 for file an appeal and obtain stay.

In response to this office letter, an e-mail received from the Advocate Shri. K. Ramakanth Reddy on 11.04.2017. It stated as under:

> ***"In 334th meeting held on 30th – 31st March, 2017, the SRC has taken decision to file an appeal etc. In this regard, I would like you to immediately place the following before the SRC in its immediate next meeting.***
>
> ***The Hon'ble Court has only directed the SRC-NCTE to consider the case of the Petitioner in W.P.No. 5948 of 2017 in the light of the Public Notice dated 27.02.2015 and did not give any other direction.***
>
> ***Therefore, keeping in view the Public Notice dated 27.02.2015 the SRC should consider and pass a detailed order whether the case of the Petitioner can be accepted or not. The order to be passed by SRC should not be one line order or short order, it should be detailed order.***
>
> ***Therefore, there is no need to file an appeal and the matter may be considered as explained above."***

The Committee considered the above court matter and decided as under:-

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		1. The Lawyer's reply is seen. It is not correct for him to sy that there is no need to file an appeal. **1.2 He has not fully grasped the order of the Court. The Court has asked us not to insist on an NOC. This order is not acceptable. Its acceptance will create lots of complications not only for SRC but for all RCs and even for NCTE(HQ).** **1.3 It is significant that the NCTE(HQ) itself has also approached the Supreme Court.** **2. In the result, and for the reasons given above, ask the Lawyer to file an appeal. If he has conscientious objections, let him give it to some other panel-Lawyer.**
26.	APS02330 B.Ed Sri Parshwanath College of Education for Women, Davangere, Karanataka	Sri. Parshwanath College of Education for Women Ajjhally, P.O. Channagiri, Davangere-577213, Karnataka was granted recognition on 09.12.2014 with an annual intake of 100 students. Further, this office received a letter dated 18.09.2009 from NCTE Hqrs. forwarding the complaint received from the students of B.Ed and D.Ed course of Parshwanth College of Education regarding harassment by the management. The SRC in its 187th meeting held on 29-30 December 2009 considered the matter and decided to call for remarks from the College for further action. Accordingly, a letter seeking remarks was sent on 25.02.2010. The SRC in its 189th meeting held on 25-26 February 2010 considered the remarks and decided to cause inspection under section 17 of NCTE Act. Accordingly, inspection was carried out on 09.06.2010. The SRC in its 193rd meeting held on 21-22 June 2010 considered the VT report and decided to withdraw recognition. Accordingly, a withdrawal order was issued to the institution on 03.08.2010 since the management is running D.Ed and B.Ed courses in the same building/ premises. The institution approached the Hon'ble High Court of Karnataka in W.P.2113

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

of 2010 and the Hon'ble court passed judgment on 19.01.2011, which states as under:-

"The petitioner is permitted to file objection/ explanation within a period of 3 weeks and if so done, the respondent-NCTE is directed to consider the same and pass orders, in accordance with law."

As per the court directions, the institution submitted its written representation on 10.02.2011.

The SRC in its 201st meeting held on 22-23 February 2011 considered the reply of the institution, VT report and all the relevant documentary evidences and it was decided to issue Final Show cause Notice for the following:

1. The total built-up-area earmarked for both B.Ed. & D.Ed. courses is only 9976 sq.ft against the requirement of total 32,000 sq.ft
2. Website address is not available.
3. Library can accommodate only 10 students and has only 1500 books related to Education which is less than the required as per NCTE norms.
4. Equipment provided in the Science lab. is insufficient for two courses. The storage and display facilities are not available in the Science lab.
5. Equipment in ET lab. are inadequate
6. Class rooms and lab. Facilities are inadequate for organizing two teacher education programmes (B.Ed. and D.Ed.)
7. The staff appointed for teaching B.Ed. methodology are not qualified as per NCTE norms.

Accordingly, Final Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution on 25.03.2011.The institution submitted its written representation on 05.05.2011.

The SRC in its 205th meeting held on 18th and 19th May 2011 considered the VT Report, VCD, reply of the institution dt. 05.05.2011 for APS02330-B.Ed & APS02200-D.Ed courses and all the relevant documentary evidences and decided to serve another Final Show cause Notice under Section 17 of NCTE Act for the following:-

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

- The specific points in the Final show cause notice dt. 25.03.2011 remains unanswered even now.

Accordingly, another Final show cause notice was issued to the institution on 27.06.2011. The institution submitted its written representation to the notice on 27.07.2011, 28.7.2011 & 29.7.2011.

The institution had not submitted point wise reply. The extract of the reply submitted is, as given below:-

"In view of the incontrovertible fact which is well within your ken that notice after notice is being in succinctly issued and also the further equally incontrovertible fact that not only everyone of the said notices has been duly replied to by us and, on the well-founded grounds which have been raised in the Memorandum of Writ Petition in W.P. Nos. 16680-82/2011 (ed) they have even questioned the propriety, bonafides, legality and authority of the "Final Show cause Notice" cited at reference No. (ii) supra, and also the fact that notwithstanding such a petition have been heard by the Hon'ble High Court and notice thereof ordered to you and to the National Council for Teacher Education, New Delhi on 03.05.2011 we have even submitted the written representation on 05.05.2011 which fact is also notice by you in the 18th and 19th May 2011 on Subject No.134, they have constrained to state that there is no useful purpose which might be served by our repeating and reiterating the firm stand which has already been taken by us in the replies which have hither to been submitted by us response to the repeated notice that have been already issued to us. Therefore, they hereby state that what has already been exhaustively stated in the earlier replies would hold good as reply to the notice cited at reference No. (I) as well. However what is additionally to be stated and is "Final Showcase Notice" notice dated 27.06.2011 has made an unjust inroad into and interference with the pendency to which has been well within your Knowledge. Therefore, without any kind of prejudice to our Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 read with Article 215 of the Constitution of India, bringing to the notice of the said court the chronology of events which have succeeded at your end during the pendency of the aforementioned writ petitions and requesting the Hon'ble High Court to initiate appropriate action under the said provision, suomotto we state that any further adverse action if any that might be resorted to against us under or in pursuance of the "Final Showcase Notice" under reference would be virtually to be a perpetuation of the gross criminal contempt of the Hon'ble High Court

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		advisedly, they , therefore, refrain from commenting on the merits and contents of this reply, stating further that there is neither justification nor warrant nor even any basis for proceeding against us as has been threatened in the notice under reference. *Since the matter is directly and substantially in issue before the Hon'ble High Court, any precipitating adverse action against us they therefore, refrain from commenting on the merits and contents of the Final Show cause Notice dated 27.06.2011 in this reply, stating further that there is neither justification nor warrant nor even any basis for proceeding against us as has been threatened in the notice under reference.* *Since the matter is directly and substantially in issue before the Hon'ble High Court, any precipitating adverse action against us would be a further into the high seized of the matter, in the aforementioned petitions."* The SRC in its 210th meeting held on 22 and 23 August, 2011 has taken note of the reply of the institution dated 27.07.2011 to the Final show cause notice, issued to the institution and considered the VT report and other relevant documents and in view of the specific deficiencies pointed out in the final show cause notice, are found to be substantive and still remaining unrefuted/unanswered, it was decided that the recognition of the institution be withdrawn, on the following grounds:- • The total built-up-area earmarked for both B.Ed. & D.Ed. courses is only 9976 sq.ft against the requirement of total 32,000 sq.ft • Website address is not available. • Library can accommodate only 10 students and has only 1500 books related to Education which is less than the required as per NCTE norms. • Equipment provided in the Science lab. is insufficient for two courses. The storage and display facilities are not available in the Science lab. • Equipment in ET lab. are inadequate • Class rooms and lab. Facilities are inadequate for organizing two teacher education programmes (B.Ed. and D.Ed.) • The staff appointed for teaching B.Ed. methodology are not qualified as per NCTE norms. It was also decided that a legal opinion be obtained on the alleged criminal contempt of Court, as being threatened by the institution.

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

As per the decision of SRC, a letter was addressed to the Advocate seeking for legal opinion before the issue of withdrawal order on 13.9.2011.

The file was received from the office of the advocate without legal opinion and without covering letter of the Advocate. Hence, a reminder letter was addressed seeking for legal opinion on 7.9.2012.

A Legal opinion was received from Sri. Ashok Haranahalli on 12.9.2012.

The legal opinion provided is as under:-

"In conclusion of the forgoing discussion, I opine that, the NCTE, SRC may not be liable to contempt for any orders if it is in accordance with the law. The direction in W.P.No.21133/2010 disposed of vide order dated 19.1.2011 inevidently directs the SRC, NCTE to consider the objections/explanations of the institute and to pass orders thereon. The solitary ground noted by the Hon'ble Court in its order is that the institute was not given a chance to put forth its contentions hence, the impugned order suffers from vice of violation of principles of natural justice.

The pendency of the W.P.No.16680-82/2011 before the Hon'ble High Court of Karnataka, Bangalore, challenging the final show cause notice dated 25.3.2011 may not be a bar, as it appears that no interim relief of stay of final show cause notice is allowed by the court. In absence of stay, the NCTE-SRC has the authority to pass orders in accordance with the law."

The SRC in its 233rd meeting held on 26th – 28th September, 2012 considered the matter and decided to confirm the withdrawal of recognition of B.Ed and D.Ed college of the said institutions decision taken in 210th meeting held on 22-23rd August, 2011, for the following reasons:-

- The total built-up-area earmarked for both B.Ed. & D.Ed. courses is only 9976 sq.ft against the requirement of total 32,000 sq.ft. The required built up area as per NCTE norms 2009 is 1500 sq.mtrs + 1500

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		sq.mts = 3000 sq.mtrs. The Built up area of 9976 sq.ft is very much less than the requirement. • Website address is not available. • Library can accommodate only 10 students and has only 1500 books related to Education which is less than the required as per NCTE norms. As per the regulations 2009 the number of books and titles for B.Ed & D.Ed courses are: For B.Ed course There shall be a library-cum-reading room with seating capacity for at least fifty percent students equipped with minimum 1000 (one thousand) titles and 3000 (three thousand) books including text and reference books relevant to the course of study, educational encyclopedias, year books, electronic publications (CD- ROMs) and minimum five journals of education and subscription to five others in related disciplines. The library holdings shall be augmented with addition of two hundred titles annually including books and journals published and recommended by NCTE. The library shall have photocopying facility and computer with internet facility for the use of faculty and student- teachers. Except in the case of textbooks and reference books there shall not more than there multiple copies of each titles. For D.Ed course A minimum of one thousand books on relevant subjects should be available during the first year of establishment of the institution and one hundred standard books be added every year. The collection of books should include children's encyclopedias, dictionaries, reference books, books on professional education, teachers' handbooks, books on and for children (including comics, stories, picture books/albums, and poems) and the books published and recommended by NCTE. The institution should subscribe to the journals published by NCTE at least three other journals in the field of Education. • The institution was given recognition on 30.11.2004 & 09-12-2004 for D.Ed and B.Ed respectively. The institution has completed 7 years & 10 months & still the institution has not added books to Library as per norms. • Equipment provided in the Science lab. is insufficient for two courses. The storage and display facilities are not available in the Science lab. • Equipment in ET lab. are inadequate

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

- Class rooms and lab. Facilities are inadequate for organizing two teacher education programmes (B.Ed. and D.Ed.)
- The staffs appointed for teaching B.Ed. methodology are not qualified as per NCTE norms.

The institution threatened and alleged criminal contempt of court against the withdrawal of recognition of the said college/s. Further, the committee decided to issue withdrawal orders to the institution.

As per the decision taken by SRC in its 210th meeting held on 22nd and 23rd August, 2011 and confirmation of the decision by SRC in its 233rd meeting held on 26th -28th September, 2011, Withdrawal orders were issued for D.Ed. course (APS02200) and for B.Ed. course (APS02330) vide order No.APS02330-2200/B.Ed.-D.Ed./KA/2012-13/47368 dated 21.11.2012.

Further, a letter dated 28.11.2012 was received on 3.1.2013 from Sri. Ashok Haranahalli's office along with a copy of Writ petition No.16680-82(Edu) filed by Sri. Venkat Women's Educational Rural and Urban Integrated Development Society® and others in the Hon'ble High Court of Karnataka.
The letter states that

"The above petition was filed seeking for quashing the show cause Notice dated 25.3.2011 as the same is unsustainable in law.

The above matter came up for consideration before the Hon'ble High Court today (i.e., on 28.11.2012) for preliminary hearing in 'B' group. After hearing the matter, the Hon'ble Court disposed of the writ petition with an observation that the petitioner have to give reply to the show cause notice and after consider the same and pass orders in accordance with law within 15 days. With the above observation, the writ petition was disposed of.

I have applied for the certified copy of the order, and the same will be sent to you on its receipt."

Since Withdrawal orders have already been issued to the D.Ed. course (APS02200) as well as B.Ed. course (APS02330) and action is already taken on the applications, in the meanwhile, this office received a Court order dated 1.2.2013 in W.P.Nos.51/2013 & 1050-1051/2013(EDN-REG-P) between 1. Sri Venkat Women's Educational Rural and Urban Integrated Development Society (Regd.) Ajjihalli Post, Chennagiri, Davanagere Dt. and 2. Parshwanath

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

College of Education for women, Davanagere and Parshwanath TTI. The extract of the order is as under:-

> *"3.The practice adopted by the petitioners in approaching the Court every now and then seeking interim orders for running the courses, seeking admission and permission for the students to appear for the exams is deprecated. However, learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners-institution will provide basic infrastructure such as building. He had also demonstrated that building plan is already approved and land has been sanctioned. The same goes to show that the petitioners have complied with the basic and primary objection raised by the respondent-authorities. So far as providing library, laboratory and competent teaching faculty are concerned, it is submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioners that they shall be met within another six months period and own building will be established if one year time is granted.*
>
> *In view of the above, the impugned orders passed by the 2nd respondent authority withdrawing recognition of the courses run by the petitioners-institution, shall stand postponed by another one year. The petitioners have to establish their own buildings within one year and provide the infrastructure such as library, laboratory and competent teaching staff within one year and provide the infrastructure such as library, laboratory and competent teaching staff within a period of six months from today. However, it is made clear that, if the said deficiencies are not complied with by the petitioners-institution within the stipulated period, the orders at Annexures-'A' and 'B' dated 21.11.2012 shall survive.*
>
> *With the aforesaid observations, writ petitions are disposed accordingly."*

The SRC in its 240th meeting held during 9th to 11th March, 2013 considered the Hon'ble High Court of Karnataka order dt. 01.02.2013 and noted the matter. Further, the Committee advised Southern Regional Office to resubmit this case by end of Nov-2013 to check whether the institution has comply with the High Court order that the institution has to submit its reply to the show cause notice already issued, failing which our derecognizing order will have to be reactivated by Feb-2014.

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		Further, a letter dated 10th April, 2013 was received from the Under Secretary to Government , Higher Education Department(Universities), Karnataka Government Secretariat, Higher Education Department, M.S. Building, Bangalore on 22.4.2013 regarding the Court order dated 1.2.2013 wherein the court has postponed by one year the withdrawal of recognition of the courses run by the petitioner institution by the NCTE, Bangalore and had requested to reexamine the case and furnish a report to the Government immediately. Hence, a letter was addressed to the Under Secretary to Government, Higher Education Department, Government of Karnataka, Bangalore on 10.5.2013 regarding the decision of the Committee with a copy marked to the institution for information. On 12.8.2013, a letter was received from NCTE Hqrs, with a request to file an appeal against this order before the Division Bench, a copy of the same is enclosed for your perusal and reference. He stated that the matter relates to the withdrawal of recognition for conducting of B.Ed. and D.Ed. courses. SRC, NCTE has withdrawn the recognition vide its order dated 3.8.2010 and the institution is before the Court by way of one writ petition and another. Moreover, the present judgment is against the NCTE regulation as the Hon'ble Court granted so called provisional recognition for a period of one year. As per judgment dated 31.1.2011 in Civil Appeal No.1125-1128, in Hon'ble Supreme Court of India held that the provision contained in Section 14 and the regulation framed for grant of recognition are mandatory and an institution is not entitled to get recognition unless it fulfills the conditions specifically in various clauses of the Regulations. The SRC in its 252nd meeting held during 13-14 September, 2013 has noted the Hon'ble High Court order dated 27/06/2013 wherein the Court marked that withdrawal order of the petitioner-institution by NCTE shall stand postponed by another one year directing the petitioner-institutions have to establish their own building within one year and provide the infrastructure such as library, laboratory and competent teaching staff within a period of six months from to-day. However, it is made clear that, if the said deficiencies are not complied with by the petitioners-institution within the stipulated period, the orders at Annexure-A, and 'B' dated 21.11.2012 shall survive. The institution did not submit any request/reply/documents regarding providing infrastructural and instructional facilities.

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

Hence, as per the decision taken by the SRC in its 240th meeting, the above matter was placed before the SRC in its 257th meeting held during 20-22 December, 2013 wherein the Committee decided as under:-

> *"According to the High Court order, the institution had to remove the deficiencies within 6 months and establish the building within one year. In the meanwhile, we were required to postpone enforcement of our withdrawal order accordingly. Issue order for extension of recognition for B.Ed. and D.Ed. for the academic year 2013-14. Resubmit the case on 1st March, 2014."*

Note: Since the Court order dated 1.2.2013 states that if the said deficiencies are not complied with by the petitioners institution within stipulated period, the orders at Annexure-A and B dated 21.11.2012 shall survive.

The SRC in its 268th meeting held on 04th -05th June, 2014 considered the matter, decided that as per the Hon'ble High Court order dated 27/06/2013, the one year extension of recognition given as per the High Court direction has lapsed. Our earlier order about withdrawal of recognition will be automatically revived. The Institution will be deemed to have been closed with effect from 2014-15. Accordingly, the institution, the University and SCERT should be informed.

Accordingly, a letter was issued to the institution SCERT and to the University on 07.08.2014.

The institution has submitted its written representation on 01.12.2014 stating as follows:

> "…..I humble request you to permit to run Sri Parshwanatha College of Education, Ajjihalli post, Channagiri taluk, Davanagere District for another two years in rented building. In the meanwhile the construction will be completed in our premises. This college is situated in rural area and the students were coming from backward classes. There are three government degree colleges in this Taluk. Kindly permit us to run Sri Parshwanatha College of Education, Ajjihalli Post, Channagiri taluk, Davanagere district for the academic year 2014-15, 2015-16. Kindly do the needful at your end."

As directed a daft agenda was prepared, In the meantime, NCTE Hqrs forwarded an office memorandum dated 08.12.2014, received on 08.12.2014,

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

requesting to send the original records along with comments of the institution.

Accordingly, original file of the institution along with comments was sent to NCTE Hqrs on 08.12.2014.

Aggrieved by the withdrawal order course vide order No.APS02330-2200/B.Ed.-D.Ed./KA/2012-13/47368 dated 21.11.2012 the institution preferred an appeal with NCTE Hqrs and the Appellate Authority in its order dated 05.05.2015 stated as follows:

> *"...Considering the grounds of withdrawal and submission made by the appellant the committee is of the view even if the appellant has voluntarily closed D.El.Ed course, the built-up area of 9976 sq.ft. is not adequate for B.Ed Course clause8 (13) of NCTE Regulations 2009 & clause 8(ii) of NCTE Regulations, 2014 prescribed that the built up area shall have to be increased by existing institutions to conform to the revised norms. The submission of the appellant that faculty of D.El.Ed. College is used for B.Ed is also not convincing as faculty is to be approved by the affiliating university keeping in view the academic qualifications prescribed for faculty of a particular course. The Hon'ble court order put the onus on appellant to establish their own building within one year and provide necessary infrastructure and competent teaching staff within a period of six months. The court order also said that if deficiencies are not complied with by petitioner institution within stipulated period, the orders dated 21.11.2012 shall survive. Appeal Committee is of the view that since appellant institution has failed to establish its own building and also failed to furnish evidence of required faculty duly approved & appointed by Competent Authority, the withdrawal order dated 21.11.2012 issued by SRC shall survive to be effective from 2014-2015. In the above circumstances, the Committee concluded to confirm the withdrawal order dated 21.11.2012 issued by SRC to be effective form the academic session 2014-15.*
>
> *NOW THEREFORE, the council hereby confirms the order appealed against".*

The SRC in its 290th meeting held during 10th& 11th July, 2015 considered the written representation of the institution (Appeal Confirm Case) and noted the matter.

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

On 19.10.2016 a letter received by this office from the Shri. B.V. Sabarad advocate, is as under:-

> "Please refer to the letter dated 03.10.2016 asking me to appear in th cases before the High Court of Karnataka, Bangalore. I have appeared i the case by filling vakalat on 17.10.2016. The case is listed for hearin today. I will be seeking time for filing statement of objections on behal of NCTE.
>
> I have studied the writ petition and Brief in respect of APS02330 / B.E sent by you for preparing the objections statement/ counter which i available in the file. In the Brief you have referred to the institution filin an appeal to NCTE Hqrs and also about sending file of the institution t the headquarters on 08.12.2014. I invite your attention to the prayer mad in the writ petition wherein a direction is sought to Respondent No. 1 i.e NCTE, New Delhi to dispose of appeal No. APPL2157/7.11.2014 vid Annexure-D and also to direct the Respondent No. 2 to consider th representation dated 28.11.2014 and permit to admit the students for th academic year 2014-15 vide Annexure-E.
>
> To prepare counter to the writ petition, the status of the appeal filed befor NCTE, New Delhi, is necessary to be stated. It is also necessary to stat the status of the representation dated 28.11.2014 submitted to you. Henc I request you to do the needful at the earliest by sending informatio about the aforementioned issues."

Accordingly, a letter was addressed to advocate along with brief of the institution on 26.10.2016.

The SRC in in receipt of letter from Shri. Basavaraj V.Sabarad, Advocate on 05.11.2016 along with counter affidavit in respect W.P.No.60268 of 2014 filed by Venkat Women's Educational Rural and Urban Integrated Development Society.

Accordingly, a letter was addressed to advocate along with counter affidavit and copy of Annexure-R1, R-2 & R3 on 05.11.2016.

A letter along with court order was received by this office from the advocate, Shri. Basavaraj V. Sabarad on 08.03.2017.

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		Theorder is as under:- **"These petitions are filed by the petitioners seeking for a direction to the respondents No. 1 and 2 to dispose of the appeal No. APPL2157 dated 07.11.2014 vide Annexure-D and for a direction to the respondent No. 2 to consider the representation dated 28.11.2014 and permit to admit students for the academic year 2014-15 vide Annexure-E.** **2. The learned Counsel for respondents No.1 and 2 has filed statement of objections along with Annexures-R1 to R6 which discloses that NCTE is not under any legal obligation to consider the representation dated 28.11.2014 at Annexure-E. In view of the order of this Court dated 01.02.2013 in writ petition Nos. 1050-1051/2013, the appeal stated to have been filed by the petitioner is also not maintainable. It is submitted that the appeal filed by the petitioners has been disposed of by the Respondent No. 1 vide F.No.89/358/2014/Appeal/2nd Meeting/2015 No. 89/2014-15 dated 05.05.2015 and the copy of the said order was already sent to the petitioner.** **3. In view of the disposal of the appeal as per Annexure-R6, the writ petitions do not survive for consideration.** **4. Writ petitions stand dismissed as having become infructious.** **Petitioners are at liberty to challenge the order passed by the Respondent No. 1 at Annexure-R6, if aggrieved. "** **The Committee considered the above court matter and decided as under:-** **1. The Court has dismissed the writ petition without any further directions.** **2. Earlier, the Appellate authority had dismissed the appeal.** **3. Now, there is nothing further for us to do.** **4. Our order for withdrawal of recognition stands revived.** **5. Enter in the Register accordingly.** **6. Inform the affiliating university.**

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		7. **Close the file.**
27.	APS09425 D.El.Ed 1 Unit Gandhian College of Elementary Education, Rangareddy, Telangana	**Sri Andal Educational Cultural Society, D.No.3-58, Venkateshwara Colony, Hayathnagar, Rangareddy District, Telangana** had submitted application for **D.Ed** course of two year duration with an annual intake of 50 students at **Gandhian College of Elementary Education, # 5-67, Kuntloor, Amberpet Road, Hayathnagar, Rangareddy District-500028, Telangana** and was granted recognition on **29.05.2009.** On 25.03.2015, a letter dated 16.03.2015 was received from the Director of School Education, Telangana, Hyderabad regarding grant of renewal of temporary provisional affiliation for the year 2014-15 in respect of certain private Diploma in Elementary Teacher Education institutions including Gandhian College of Elementary Education, Hayathnagar, Ranga Reddy District-500028, in Telangana State. The SRC in its 289th Meeting held on 23rd June 2015, considered the letter dated 16.03.2015 from Director of School Education, Govt. of Telangana, Hyderabad, in respect of certain private Diploma in Elementary Teacher Education (43 colleges) not fulfilling the deficiencies and decided to issue show cause notice for the following: • **1+5 approved staff list is submitted.** As per the decision of SRC, show cause notice was issued to the institution on 16.09.2015. The institution submitted its written representation on 10.11.2015 along with staff list. The SRC in its 294th meeting held on 14-16th Nov, 2015 considered the representation and decided as under: • ***Ask for fresh approved staff list as per 2014 regulations.*** As per website information, the institution submitted written representation on 28.11.2015 along with 1+7 staff list. The SRC in its 295th meeting held on 28th to 30th November and 1st December

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

2015 considered the matter and decided as follows:

- **The staff list is in order. It is accepted. Close the case. Inform the affiliating body.**

Accordingly, as per the decision of SRC, a letter was sent to the Director, SCERT, Telangana on 04.02.2016.

The institution submitted written representation on 26.02.2016 along with the fee of Rs. 1,50,000/- DD No.258316 dated 26.02.2016 for shifting along with relevant documents and stated as follows:

In connection to the above subject cited, I, Gunna Rajendra Reddy Correspondent of Sri Andal Educational Cultural Society would like to bring few lines for your kind consideration.

Our Society sponsored one D.Ed college namely Gandhian College of Elementary Education at H.No. 5-67, Peddamberpet Road, Kuntloor Village, Hayathnagar Mandal, Rangareddy Dist, Telangana.

NCTE has granted permission vide bearing No13318 dated 29.05.2009 code APSO9425 and till date we are running the college in same premises.
Now we constructed new building in same premises (Same Survey No.108 Part) and we want to shift in that new building.

So please consider the above request and kindly do needful."

The documents were processed and placed before SRC in its 315th meeting held on 17th to 18th June 2016. The committee considered the matter and decided as under:

1. Title is not in doubt because the proposed shift is to a new building in the same premises.
2. Inspection Fee has been paid in full.
3. BP is not approved by competent authority and BCC is in order.
4. Original FDRs and latest Faculty list have to be given.
5. Cause Inspection for shifting of D.El.Ed (1 unit).
6. Ask VT to collect all relevant documents.

Accordingly as per the decision of SRC, the inspection intimation was sent to institution on 13.07.2016. The inspection of the institution was conducted on 17.08.2016 and the VT report received on 23.08.2016 along with documents and CD.

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		The documents were processed and placed before SRC in its 321st meeting held on 28th – 30th September 2016. The Committee considered the matter and decided as under: **1. CD is not opening .Obtain fresh CD.** **2. BP is not legible.** **3. Original FDRs not given.** **4. Original faculty list is not given** **5. There is no Principal also** **6. Issue SCN accordingly.** Before issuance of Show Cause Notice, as per the website information, the institution submitted reply on 04.10.2016. The SRC in its 322nd meeting held on 20th -21st October, 2016 considered the matte and decided as under: **1. CD now given also does not open.** **2. BP- not approved by competent authority.** **3. Faculty list is not in original. Not in format.** **4. Built up area is adequate.** **5. They have not cared to remedy the deficiencies even after a SCN.** **6. Withdraw recognition w.e.f from 2016-17.** Accordingly, withdrawal order was issued to the institution on 05.12.2016. Now, a court order dated 15.03.2017 received on 27.03.2017 from the Hon'ble High Court of Hyderabad in W.P.No.9144 of 2017 filed by Gandhian College of Education run by Sri Andal Educational Cultural Society, Kuntlur Village, Hayathnagar Mandal, Ranga Reddy District, Telangana. The Court Order Stated as under: ***"Notice before admission.*** ***Sri K.Ramakanth Reddy takes notice for R3 and Sri A.Abhishek Reddy takes notice for R4 and R5 and seek time to file counter.*** ***Post after two weeks."*** ***Remarks:*** • ***R3 is SRC – NCTE.***

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		The Committee considered the above court matter and decided as under:- **1. This case is at a very preliminary stage of notice before admission.** **2. Send the relevant details to the Lawyer to oppose admission when the case is called again.**
28.	APS06049 B.Ed 2 Units St. Aloysius Institute of Education, Mangalore, Karnataka	**Mangalore Jesuit Educational Society, Mangalore, Karnataka** had submitted an application to the SRC, NCTE for grant of recognition to St. Aloysius Institute of Education, P.B.No.720, Kudumal Ranga Rao Road, Kodiyalbail, Mangalore-560003, Karnataka and was granted recognition on 30.03.2007 for B.Ed. course of one year duration with an annual intake of 100 students with a condition to shift to its own premises/building within three years from the date of recognition (if started in rented premises). The Secretary, MJES vide letter dated 8.8.2012 received by this office on 10.08.2012 has requested permission for shifting of the B.Ed. course to a new building. The Secretary has submitted a Demand Draft No. 002525 dated 7.8.2012 of Central Bank of India for Rs.50,000/- . The SRC in its 232nd meeting held on 29th to 31st August 2012 has considered the reply of the institution dt.08.08.2012 and all other relevant documents and decided to cause composite inspection for shifting of premises under NCTE Act, to examine whether the institution fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for the proposed programme, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the institution, as per the norms. As per direction of SRC the inspection of the institution is carried on 19.10.2012. The SRC in its 237th meeting held on 5th – 6th January 2013 considered the VT report, VCD and all the relevant documentary evidences and it was decided to serve Show cause Notice under NCTE Act for the following: 1) As per Sale Deed the name of the Society is Karnataka Jesuit Educational Society whereas as per recognition order , the Society name is Mangalore Jesuit Educational Society. 2) As per land documents, only 2145 sq.mtrs land area is available on the Sy. Nos mentioned in all documents.

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		3) Sale Deed, Affidavit and LUC the Society name is Karnataka Jesuit Educational Society where as in other documents like BCC, Building Plan, LUC, is in the name of Mangalore Jesuit Educational Society. It needs to be clarify. 4) Multi-Purpose hall is 1530 sq.ft as against NCTE Norms of area of 2000 sq.ft. 5) BCC is not approved by Competent Government Authority. 6) Original FDRs of Rs. 5.00 lakhs and Rs. 3.00 lakhs is not submitted. 7) In the Non-Encumbrance Certificate name of the institution/Society is not mentioned. 8) Staff profile(s) are not submitted in the prescribed format in original. Staff list is not approved by the affiliating body. 9) Principal is not having Ph.D and hence not qualified as per NCTE Norms. 10) The Lecturer(s) in fine arts is not qualified as per NCTE Norms and Standards as they are not having Master Degree in Fine Arts. Accordingly, a Show Cause notice was issued on 06.03.2013. The institution had submitted a written representation on 01.04.2013 The Southern Regional Committee in its 245th Meeting held on 19th – 21st May, 2013 considered the reply of the institution and decided to reject the proposal of the institution for shifting vide their letter dated 08.08.2012. Further the Committee considered the written reply of the institution vide their letter dt. 01.04.2013 on the above matter and also the relevant documents of the institution and decided to withdraw recognition for the following reasons:- 1) As per Sale Deed the name of the Society is Karnataka Jesuit Educational Society whereas as per recognition order, the Society name is Mangalore Jesuit Educational Society. As per NCTE regulations, 2009, the land and building should be registered in the name of the Society/Trust/institution. 2) In the reply, to the M.P.hall having 1530 sq.ft instead of 2000 sq.ft. as per regulations, the institution has submitted a photocopy of the building plan of St. Aloysius Higher Primary school at Sy. No/ 210/P2 RS.No.269. From the documents submitted, it is observed that B.Ed College is offered in a building where many other courses are offered. As per NCTE regulations 2009, the Teacher Education Course shall not be allowed to have any other institution, within its demarcated area or building and shall not have any other courses in the building. 3) Building completion certificate approved by Government Engineer in

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		the prescribed format is not submitted. 4) Staff list approved by the Mangalore University is submitted by the College. The year & date of approval is not mentioned in the Mangalore University staff list. As per the staff available, only One Principal and 6 lecturers are available. As per NCTE regulations, 2009, One Principal and 7 lecturers should be appointed as per NCTE regulations, 2009 for offering B.Ed. course. 5) Lecturer in Fine Arts is not approved by Mangalore University. Based on the above points the committee decided to withdraw the recognition of the B.Ed course run by the St. Aloysius Institute of Education, P B No. 720, Kudumal Ranga Rao Road, Kodiyalbail, Mangalore-560 003, Karnataka from the academic year 2013-14, in order to enable the ongoing batch of students in B.Ed course, if any, to complete their course. But it is made clear that the institution is debarred from making any further admission subsequent to the date of issue of this order. The Affiliating body / Examining board / body be informed accordingly. Further decided to return Endowment funds and Reserve fund deposited with SRC NCTE, Bangalore, if any. As per the decision of SRC, the recognition of the institution was withdrawn vide order no. F.No.APS06049/B.Ed/KA.2013-14/52656 dated 10.07.2013. In the Meantime the institution had filed an appeal under Section 18 of NCTE Act, before the appellate Authority, NCTE, New Delhi against the With drawl order of SRC. The appellate authority vide order No.F.No.89- 555/2013/Appeal/16th Meeting-2013 dated 13.11.2013 has made the following observations :- "The Council noted that St. Aloysius Institute of Education, Dakshina Kannada, Karnataka was granted recognition for conducting B.Ed. course in the year 2007 with the condition to shift to Its own premises/building within three years from the date of recognition. The Mangalore Jesuit Educational Society which is the appellant society submitted a proposal for shifting of the premises in 2012. SRC on receiving the proposal caused a composite inspection on 19-10-2012.

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

Based on the deficiencies reported by the Visiting Team, deficiency letter and Show Cause Notice were issued to the institution. Finally, it was observed that title of the land documents of the proposed land & building, are not in favour of the applicant society. The appellant has admitted that the multipurpose hall is being constructed and is likely to ready in September, 2013 whereas inspection was conducted in December, 2012 and withdrawal order was issued in July, 2013. The appointment of principal and 6 faculties were approved by Mangalore University on 29-08-2013 which is also subsequent to the refusal orders. The appellant apprised the Council that it had applied to NCTE for relaxation of the land & building norms for the intuition. The Council concluded that the grounds on which SRC has withdrawn the recognition are justified and accordingly the withdrawal order dated 10-07-2013 is confirmed.

After perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, the documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council concluded that the SRC was justified in refusing recognition and therefore, the appeal deserved to be rejected and the order of the SRC is confirmed.

The Council hereby confirms the Order appealed against "

The above decision of the appellate authority was placed before SRC in its 257th Meeting held on 20th – 22nd December 2013 and the committee noted the matter.

On, 27.12.2013, the Secretary, Mangalore Jesuit Education Society, St. Aloysius College, Mangalore – 575003 has submitted a copy of the interim order of the Hon'ble High Court of Karnataka, Bangalore dated 18.12.2013 in W.P.No. 56434/2013 filed by St.Aloysius Institute of Education, Mangalore. The respondents are as under;

1. NCTE Headquarters
2. SRC,NCTE
3. The Secretary, Department of Education and Literacy, MHRD
4. Department of Higher Education , State of Karnataka
5. Mangalore University represented by Registrar
6. Karnataka Jesuit Educational Society.

The interim order of the Hon'ble High Court of Karnataka in W.P.No.

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

56434/2013 dated 18.12.2013 is as under;

> 'It is stated that the recognition of the petitioner institution is withdrawn primarily on the ground that it has not met the land and building norms which are required to be complied with in respect of its institution. The learned senior advocate Shri.Madhusudhan .R.Naik would submit that there is no impediment to comply with such norms in the manner required by NCTE, if reasonable time is granted. But, in the meanwhile, if the recognition is withdrawn and the institution grinds to a halt, it would affect hundreds of students and their career and therefore has sought for an interim relief.
>
> Accordingly, pending disposal of this writ petition, interim order is granted as prayed for;'

The above court order dated 18.12.2013 was placed before 258th meeting of SRC held during 3-5 January, 2014 wherein the Committee decided that

> "1. The institution has had 7 years time to take appropriate action. They cannot say, they have not had time to follow the norms/standards.
> 2. According to the NCTE regulations, no more time can be given, for removal of deficiencies after VT inspection.
> 3. The deficiencies are many and serious.
> 4. Let us file an appeal and obtain 'stay'."

A letter was addressed to the then Advocate, Shri.P.Dinesh Kumar on 17.01.2014. A copy of the decision of 258th meeting of SRC held during 3-5 January, 2014 is enclosed for obtaining stay in the matter and also for vacation of interim stay granted to the institution.

The institution file an appeal before Appellate Authority and the original file was sent to the NCTE New Delhi on 03.03.2014.But this file has not reached by this office till date.

The SRC, NCTE was in receipt of a letter from Shri B.P.Pandy, NCTE-Hqrs on 07.10.2014 with a request to furnish the present status of the case regarding vacating of the stay granted by the Hon'ble High Court vide its interim order dated 18.12.2013, for onward transmission of the Minority of HRD.

A letter was addressed to the advocate Shri.P Dinesh Kumar on 19.08.2014 in respect of the status of the case and a letter was also addressed to Shri

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		B.P.Pandey, Under Secretary, NCTE, New Delhi. A reminder letter was addressed to the then advocate, Shri P.Dinesh Kumar on 17.10.2014. The institution in its letter dated 29.05.2015 requested the present status of the college enclosing interim court order in W.P.No. 56434 of 2013 dated 18.12.2013. On 28.01.2015, the institution submitted an affidavit affirming adherence to Regulations, 2014. On 29.05.2015, the institution submitted a written representation along with a copy of the Court order dated 18.12.2013. A revised order was issued to the institution on 31.05.2015 for offering B.Ed course of two years duration from the academic session 2015-16 subject to the result of W.P. No. 56434 of 2013. On 05.06.2015, a letter was addressed to Shri R.C.Chopra, Section Officer, NCTE, New Delhi, with a request to send the original records of the institution. In the mean time on 14.07.2015, the institution submitted a request for one basic unit of 50 students. A corrigendum to this effect was issued to the institution on 21.07.2015. On 16.08.2016, an e-mail received from the advocate, Shri.Pramod Kathavi seeking necessary information for filing the statement of objections. Accordingly, a letter was addressed to the advocate on 18.08.2016. A court order received from the Hon'ble High Court of Karnataka, Bangalore dated 02.01.2017 on 09.01.2017 is as under:- "A writ petition No. 56434/2016 filed by St. Aloysius Institute of Education, Light House Hill Road, Mangalore-575003, Dakshina Kannada, under Article 226 & 227 of the Constitution of India, has been registered by this court." After hearing, the court made the following: ORDER:

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		"Respondent Nos 1 and 2 are directed to inspect the petitioner institution. Call this matter after two months." The SRC in its 328th meeting held during 31st January, 2017 considered the court order and decided as under :- 1. "Process the documents. 2. There after, in compliance of the Court order, cause inspection. 3. Ask our lawyer to report status of the old case." On 28.02.2017 an e-mail was received from Mr. Pradeep Lakshmana is as under:- "In continuation of letter under Ref.(2 & 3) I write to inform you that the above case was posted to-day. There was a direction by the Hon'ble Court to NCTE to conduct inspection of the premises of the Petititoner's college and to submit report but till today we have not received report from you. The Court has granted 2 weeks time to submit the report of inspection, the Hon'ble Court, while adjourning the case, orally observed that if the report is not submitted within 2 weeks the court will initiate contempt proceedings against the NCTE." The SRC in its 332nd meeting held during 28th February-03rd March, 2017 considered the matter and decided as under:- **1. "The original file was sent to NCTE (HQ) for an appeal case. That file is not readily available. It is not clear whether the file was received back or not. Office may trace the file.** **2. In the meantime, without waiting for recovery of the file, in quick compliance of the Court order, get the Visiting Team inspection organized.** **3. Ask VT to collect all the documents.** **4. Put up when the Visiting Team Inspection report is received."** Accordingly,VT members names were generated through online VT module for inspection during the period11.03.2017 to 31.03.2017. Inspection of the institution was conducted on 25th , 26th and 27th March, 2017and the VT report along with documents received on 30.03.2017.

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

The Details of VT Report are as under:

Name and address of the institution (as per initial application)	St. Aloysius Institute of Education, P.B.No.720, Kudumal Ranga Rao Road, Kodiyalbail, Mangalore-560003, Karnataka
Name and address of the Society	Mangalore Jesuit Educational Society, Mangalore, Karnataka
Date of Inspection	25th , 26th and 27th March, 2017
Address of the institution as per VT Report (New Location)	St. Aloysius Institute of Education, P.B.No.720, Kudumal Ranga Rao Road, Kodiyalbail, Mangalore-560003, Karnataka

Details of courses as per the VT Report

Sl. No.	Name of the Course	Intake
01.	B.Ed	1 Unit

As per scrutiny of documents received with VTR

REGISTRATION BYE-LAW CERTIFICATE	Date of Regn. and in the name of	02.07.1973 The Mangalore Jesuit Educational Society, St. Aloysius College, Mangalore
DETAILS OF LAND DOCUMENTS:-	Registered certified copy of the Land document: Submitted/Not submitted (Whether in English or Regional language) (Whether certified/nota	The land document certified by the sub-registrar is submitted

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

			rized English translation submitted)	
			Date of Registration of Land	12.05.2014
			Land registered in the name of	Mangalore Jesuit Educational Society
			Type of title deed i.e sale deed/lease deed(govt./pvt/gift deed)	Gift Deed
			Survey No/Plot No/Khasara No.	R.S No.271-A, 273-B, 273-B, 273-C, 272 As per RTC – Sy No: 212-A, 215-B1, 215-B2, 215-C, 213-2-p2
			Extent of Land in each Sy.No./Plot No./Khasara No.	Ac 0.39 cents, - 1578.27 sq.mtrs Ac 0.03 cents, - 121.40 sq.mtrs Ac 0.05 cents, - 202.34 sq.mtrs Ac 0.06 cents, - 242.81 sq.mtrs Ac 0.13 cents- 526.09 sq.mtrs **Total : Ac 0.66 cents – 2670.92 sq.mtrs**
		AFFIDAVIT:-	Submitted	**Not submitted**
			Sy.No	
			Location	
			Built up area	
			Extent	
		NOTARIZED COPY OF LAND USE CERTIFICATE SUBMITTED/NOT SUBMITTED	Name of the Society/Trust /Institution	**Karnataka Jesuits Education Society**
			Survey/Plot/Khasara No.	Sy No: 212-A, 215-B1, 215-B2, 215-C,

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

			and location	
			Extent of diverted land	Ac 0.39 cents, -1578.27 sq.mtrs Ac 0.03 cents, - 121.40 sq.mtrs Ac 0.05 cents, - 202.34 sq.mtrs Ac 0.06 cents, - 242.81 sq.mtrs **Total area: 0. 53 cents–2144.82 sq.mtrs**
			Purpose of diversion	Non-Residential purpose/ Educational purpose
			Date of issue	28.10.2010
			Name & designation of the issuing authority	Tahasildar, Mangalore Taluk **As per land use certificate the land is in the name of Karnataka Jesuits Education Society, but not in the name of The Mangalore Jesuit Educational Society, St.Aloysius College, Mangalore** **Sy.No. 213-2-p2 with extent Ac. 0.13 cents is mentioned in the land document, but, sy.no.213-2p2 extent Ac.0.13 cents is not mentionedin the land use certificate.**
		NOTARIZED COPY OF ENCUMBRANCE CERTIFICATE SUBMITTED/NOT SUBMITTED	Name of the Society/Trust /Institution	Vice President, Mangalore Jesuit Educational Society
			Survey/Plot/Khasara No. and location	R.S No.271-A, 273-B, 273-B, 273-C, 272 As per RTC – Sy No: 212-A, 215-B1, 215-B2, 215-C, 213-2-p2
			Search for the period	01.04.2007 – 20.03.2017
			Extent of land	Ac 0.39 cents, Ac 0.03 cents, Ac

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

			0.05 cents, Ac 0.06 cents, Ac 0.13 cents
		Any mortgage as per EC	Mangalore Jesuit Educational Society, represented by President, Rev. Fr. Denzil Lobo S.J
		Date of issue	-------
		Name & designation of the issuing authority	Sub registrar, Mangalore
	BLUE PRINT/NOTARIZED COPY OF BUILDING PLAN SUBMITTED/NOT SUBMITTED	Name and address of Society/Trust /Institution	St. Aloysius College, Mangalore City Corporation
		Plot area/land area	26226.38 sq.mtrs
		Total built-up area	Area of B.Ed Block – 2799.66 sq.mtrs Area of Toilet Block (Swimming pool) - 429.09 sq.mtrs Area of Plant room (Swimming pool) – 50.66 sq.mtrs **Total built up area – 3721.57 sq.mtrs**
		Date of approval	-------
		Name and designation of approving authority	City planning officer, Mangalore Mahanagara Palike City Planning Members, Mangalore Development Authority, Mangalore
			Original blue print of the building plan not submitted and

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

			Multi purpose hall not reflected in the building plan
	NOTARIZED COPY OF THE BUILDING COMPLETION CERTIFICATE SUBMITTED/NOT SUBMITTED	Name and address of Society/Trust /Institution	St. Aloysius Institute of Education
		Survey/Plot/Khasara Nos. and location	Khatha No: 9889, Ward No. 14 Court Ward, Kodialbail, Mangalore City Corporation
		Built up area for the proposed course and/or for existing course	Basement – 2328.34 sq.ft G.F – 5622.85 sq.ft F.F – 5811.97 sq.ft S.F – 5275.82 sq.ft T.F – 5548.14 sq.ft F.F – 5548.14 sq.ft **Total built up area –30135.28 sq.ft**
		Type of Roofing	RCC
		Purpose for which building is being used/proposed to be used	Education (B.Ed programme)
		Date of issue	-------
		Name & designation of the issuing authority	Consulting Archetect & Civil Engineer, Design Palace and Sub Commissioner, (Administration)Mahanagara Palike, Mangalore
			Photocopy of the building completion certificate submitted is not approved by

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

			the Govt. Engineer and date of inspection is not mentioned in the building completion certificate.
MINORITY CERTIFICATE:-	Submitted		
FDRs Details			
	Rs. 4,63,448/- lakh Endowment Fund	**Rs.7,72,440/- lakh Reserve Fund**	
Course	**B.Ed**	**B.Ed**	
FDR No.	114377	114376	
Whether in single or joint A/c	Joint A/c	Joint A/c	
Date of issue	07.07.2012	07.07.2012	
Date of Maturity	07.07.2013	07.07.2013	
Name of issuing Bank	Central Bank	Central Bank	
	Photocopy of the FDRs of Rs. 4,63,448/ - and Rs. 7,72,440/- submitted is only kept for 1 year and also revalidated FDRs not submitted.		
Website of the institution	www.staloysiusb-ed.org		
Fees Paid	paid		
Faculty list	Faculty list approved/not approved	**Faculty list not approved by the affiliating body and not submitted in the prescribed format.**	
	Whether approved on each page or not		
	Designation of the approving authority		
	Date of approval		
Comments of VT Members			
01.	Total Built-up area	301023.5 sq.ft	

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

02.	Furniture	Adequate
03.	Multipurpose Hall	2222 sq.ft
04.	Labs/Resources Rooms	Adequate
05.	Whether the library is sharing with other courses	**No**
06.	Seating capacity in the library	90
07.	No. of books in the library and Journals	5221 / 9

Remarks of SRO:-

1. **Affidavit is not submitted.**
2. **As per land use certificate the land is in the name of Karnataka Jesuits Education Society, but not in the name of The Mangalore Jesuit Educational Society, St.Aloysius College, Mangalore**
3. **The Sy.No. 213-2-p2 with extent Ac. 0.13 cents is mentioned in the land document, but, sy.no.213-2p2 extent Ac.0.13 cents is not mentioned in the land use certificate.**
4. **Original blue print of the building plan not submitted and Multi purpose hall not reflected in the building plan.**
5. **Photocopy of the building completion certificate submitted is not approved by the Govt. Engineer and date of inspection is not mentioned in the building completion certificate.**
6. **Photocopy of the FDRs of Rs. 4,63,448/ - and Rs. 7,72,440/- submitted is only kept for 1 year and also revalidated FDRs are not submitted.**
7. **Faculty list not approved in the prescribed format.**

Note:- As per MIS the institution is not running any other courses. (Stand alone) and not as per Regulations, 2014.

The Committee considered the VT report, VCD and all relevant documentary evidences and decided as under:-

1. **Title is clear.**
2. **Land area in title deed is adequate. But, only 0.53 acres of that is**

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		covered by the LUC Sy.No.213-2-P2 measuring 0.13 acre is not covered. They need at least 0.60 acre. They have ony 0.53 acre. They should explain. **3. EC is clear.** **4.1 BP- original Blue print is not given.** **4.2 BP does not show M.P. Hall.** **5.1 BCC is not approved by competent authority.** **5.2 Built-up area of 2787 sq.mts. is adequate.** **6.1 FDRs should be given, in original, in joint account, @7+5 lakhs for each unit of each course.** **6.2 FDRs given were valid only for 1 year. They expired long ago; not revalidated.** **7. Faculty list is not in the prescribed form and not approved by competent authority. Latest approved Faculty list is required.** **8. They have had more than 9 years to improve the norms and standards. They have done nothing.** **9.1 We had conducted the VT inspection in compliance of the Court order.** **9.2 Since the case is still under appeal, the VT Report along with our observations thereon should be sent to the Appellate authority.**
29.	APS02383 B.Ed 2 Units Sunitha Mahila Mandali's Gurukul College of Education, Mysore, Karnataka	**Sunitha Mahila Mandali's Gurukul College of Education, No.1241, Sri Ganesha Complex, Gurukulam 2nd stage, Near Trinetra Circle, Mysore-570002, Karnataka (APS02383)** was granted recognition on **8/11/2006** from the academic session 2006-07 with an annual intake of **100 students**. Based on complaint from Sri.Chikkanna, Governing Council Member, Gurukul B.Ed. College, Mysore regarding mis-management of the funds collected as fees from the students without providing basic amenities. An inspection of the institution was conducted and based on the report of the Visiting team, the Committee in its 193rd meeting held on 21-22 June, 2010 decided to withdraw recognition of the institution for B.Ed. course and Withdrawal order was issued to the institution vide order

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

No.F.SRO/NCTE/2010-2011/20051 dated 28.07.2010.

Aggrieved by the withdrawal order, the institution without preferring an appeal approached the Hon'ble High Court of Karnataka vide W.P.No.26012 of 2010 filed by Sunitha Mahila Mandali.

A notice was received from the Hon'ble Court in the above Writ petition on 09.09.2010. A letter was addressed to the Advocate on 14.09.2010 along with brief of the institution for defending the case. But, on 8.12.2010, the institution produced a copy of the stay order and requested RD, SRC, NCTE to give direction to CAC for admission of students.

On 15.12.2010, this office issued a letter to the Special Officer for admission of students in lieu of stay order of Hon'ble High Court of Karnataka. A letter was also addressed to the Advocate on 22.12.2010 duly signing the statement of objections and affidavit for filing before the Hon'ble Court. On January 5, 2011, another letter was addressed to the advocate enclosing the documents for filing statement of objections.

On 28.02.2012, a complaint was received from Sri. M.C. Chikkanna, Ex-Member of Corporation, Governing Council Member, Gurukul B.Ed. College, Mysore alleging that the institution is offering not only B.Ed. course, but also Nursing course and that whenever inspection team is arriving, suitable arrangements were made by putting different boards at the same place and requested to conduct an enquiry as to how the institution is running many courses at the same place without providing proper infrastructure.

On 14.09.2012, another complaint was received from Sri.M.C.Chikkanna, Member, College Governing Council stating that

" ***Gurukul B.Ed College is running by violating the rules and regulations laid down by the government ,NCTE and Mysore University. Gurukul B.Ed College has permission for admission of 100 students. The college does not have the class rooms to accommodate 100 students. Library, Labs and computers are not available. On the whole the college does not have basic infrastructure for running the college. But still the college is running the B.Ed course. This only shows that the management officials are corrupt and the college is running owing to political pressure.***

Admission to Gurukul B.Ed College can be obtained in two ways.

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

Students who pay the amount mentioned by the management authorities without asking for a receipt for the paid amount and questioning the misdeeds of the management will be admitted.

(1) Students get admitted by paying the amount fixed by the management but do not attend college. They come only for the exams. They are not supposed to question the lack of infrastructure and misdeeds of the management. Students are blackmailed that If questioned, their *internal assessment marks will be cut.*

The college does not have lecturers who are approved by the University. Lecturers are not appointed on the basis of qualification and interview. Lecturers are appointed on the recommendation of the secretary and president and the Managing Committee. Most of the lecturers are not qualified, instead of teaching the students they are collecting fees, admitting students to the college on commission basis .They are unfit to be called as lecturers. They can be called as brokers rather than lecturers. Until they get the approval of the University, they will not function as lecturers; instead they will function as brokers for the management. Students who question these irregularities will be intimated to the management and their internal assessment marks will be cut.

Sunitha Mahila Mandali Trust is running various courses in mysore and the these courses are run with the intention of making money only. In view of the illegal activities of the management, the government vide its office memorandum has cancelled the managing Committee and appointed an administrative officer.(copy of the memorandum is enclosed)

All the above said information is known to the authorities of Mysore University and college development council .Professor V.G. Talwar in the syndicate meeting of the University discussed the issue of Gurukul B.Ed College and decided to discontinue the affiliation of the college. I have enclosed the report in the newspaper regarding this. I am not wrong if is say that the college is existing in spite of all this means there exists corruption , political pressure and sexual favours. You are requested to take suitable action for the closure of this college which is running with illegal activities and irregularities and without the basic infrastructural requirements."

The Southern Regional Committee in its 241st Meeting held during 29th and 31st March 2013 and 1st April , 2013 considered the complaint letter dated. 14.09.2012 and decided to send the complaint to the Registrar & Vice Chancellor of Mysore University for their comments. As per the

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

decision of SRC, a letter was addressed to the Registrar and copy marked to the Vice-Chancellor on 01.06.2013.

In the meanwhile, on 19.3.2013, and 30.4.2013 a letter dated 26.2.2013 was received from the advocate, Ashok Haranahalli Associates stating that

"***The above writ petition is filed questioning the order dated 28.7.2010 passed by the 2nd respondent-SRC withdrawing the recognition granted to the petitioner-institution.***

The above court matter came up for consideration before the Hon'ble High Court today for preliminary hearing in 'B'group. After hearing the matter, the Hon'ble Court granted time to the petitioner-institution to complete the new building and to comply with the NCTE regulations. It was also observed that till the completion of the building, the petitioner institution shall not make any admission of students. With the above observation, the writ petition was disposed off.

I have applied for the certified copy of the final order, and the same will be sent to you on its receipt."

The Southern Regional Committee in its 246th meeting held during 02nd& 04th June, 2013 considered the Hon'ble High Court order and also letter from our advocate regarding "granting of time to the petitioner-institution to complete the new building and to comply with the NCTE regulations. It was also observed that till the completion of the building, the petitioner institution shall not make any admission of students".

The Committee decided and advised SRO to write to the lawyer to file an appeal immediately and to cite the Hon'ble Supreme Court orders while filing the appeal. The Hon'ble Supreme Court order says:

Keeping in view, Supreme Court vide their order in Civil Appeal No. 1125-1128/2011 in SLP No. 17165-68/2009 filed by NCTE Vs ors, which reads as under:

"An institution is not entitled to recognition unless it fulfills the conditions specified in various clauses of the Regulations. The Council is directed to ensure that in future no institution is granted recognition unless it fulfils the conditions laid down in the Act and the Regulations and the time schedule

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		fixed for processing the application by the Regional Committee and communication of the decision on the issue of recognition it strictly adhered to". Judgment passed by Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in SLP © No. 14020/2009 filed by Adarsh Shiksha Mahavidalaya & others Vs Subhash Rahaangdale & others is: *" In future, the High Court's shall not entertain prayer for interim relief by unrecognized institutions and the institutions which have not been granted affiliation by the examination body and or the students admitted by such institutions for permission to appear in the examination or for declaration of the result of examination. This would also apply to the recognized institutions if they admit students otherwise than in accordance with the procedure contained in Appendix-I of the Regulations".* As per the decision of SRC, a letter was addressed to the advocate, Shri. Ashok Haranahalli on 14.06.2015 with a request to file an appeal. On 09.07.2015, the institution submitted an affidavit expressing adherence to Regulations, 2014. A letter was addressed to the institution on 31.05.2015 stating that since recognition of your institution is withdrawn, revised order cannot be issued. On 10.08.2015, a letter was received from Ms. Jojiana Lakra, Section Officer regarding the recognition status of the institution As directed, a letter was addressed to the advocate, Shri. Pramod Kathavi on 16.09.2015, seeking information as to whether any writ appeal was filed by NCTE against the order dated 26.02.2013 in W.P.No.26012 of 2010 On 19.10.2015, another letter was received from Ms. Jojiana Lakra requesting to furnish the latest status of the institution On 31.10.2015, a letter dated 30.10.2015 was received from the advocate, Shri.Pramod Kathavi regarding the W.P.No. 34842-43/ 2015 filed by Sunitha Mahila Mandali.

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

On 17.11.2015, a reply was received from the advocate, as under :-

"We do not have any papers in relation to WP No.26012 /2010 . On enquiring about the said writ petition we have been appraised that writ appeal No.6506/2013 was filed by the institution (Sunitha Mahila Mandali) and another against the order dated 26.02.2013 passed in W.P.No.26012/2010.The said writ appeal filed by the institution was dismissed on 18.11.2014

It is brought to your kind notice that your letter dated 16.09.2015 provides the name of the institution as Gurukul College of Education which is incorrect. Kindly provide with the correct particulars of the case number and the name of the parties as it causes great inconvenience in obtaining the information that you require.

The institution filed W.P.No. 34842 of 2014 in the Hon'ble High Court of Karnataka at Bangalore aggrieved by non issuance of revised order by NCTE.

On 08.01.2016, a letter was received from Shri. Awadhesh Nayak, Under Secretary, NCTE seeking the latest status along with supporting documents in view of the letter from Shri.B.S.Yediyurappa, Member of Parliament

On 10.02.2016, this office received a Court order dated 13.01.2016 in W.P.No. 34842-34843 /2015 which is as under:-

"The petitioners are before this Court assailing the endorsement/communication dated 31.05.2015 as at Annexure -A to the petition .The petitioner are seeking to issue mandamus to direct the second respondent to reconsider the application dated 12.01.2015 as at Annexure-P to the petition.

2. The petitioners had secured recognition to run the second respondent – institution the petitioners in that light were required to provide all infrastructures as has been indicated as a condition while entertaining the application of the petitioners. Since the required infrastructure is not provided, the respondents had taken action against the petitioners. The Petitioners were before this Court in W.P.No. 26012/2010.This Court while disposing of the petition on 26.02.2013 had taken note that the petitioners had not made any progress with regard to providing infrastructure, yet, as a last opportunity, time was granted till August, 2013 and it was made clear that if the petitioners did

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

not complete the construction within the stipulated period, it is for the respondents to proceed against the petitioners .The construction had not been put up even within the time as had been granted by this Court. The petitioners however sought extension of time which has been granted, but was rejected by this Court

3. In that background, the petitioners had also filed an appeal in W.A.No. 6506 / 2013 seeking indulgence of this Court to permit the petitioners to putout construction within the time frame to be provided. The Division Bench of this court dismissed the appeal on 18.11.2014. In such circumstances, the infrastructure as required had not been provided by the petitioners. The respondents have issued the communication dated 31.05.2015 intimating that the recognition status of the petitioner–institution is withdrawn. The petitioners though aggrieved by such communication, keeping in view the sequence of events that have taken place earlier and when admittedly the construction had not been completed even as per the time as provided by this Court, no flaw could be found with the communication issued by the respondents.

4. Hence, the prayer as made in the instant petitions does not merit consideration .If at all the petitioners complete the construction and provided all infrastructure, it would be open for the petitioners to approach the respondents who would thereafter take note of the same and take a decision in accordance with law keeping in view the Regulations guiding that aspect of matter.
In terms of the above, the petitions stand disposed.

SRC in its 303rd meeting held on 15th February, 2016 considered the matter and decided as under:
"Since the applicant has not approached as per Court order, showing completion of construction and providing infrastructure .No action is required."

On 25.02.2016, the institution submitted a written representation along with relevant documents and a copy of the Court order dated 13.01.2016 which is as under :-

"As per the direction of the Hon'ble High Court of Karnataka, we wish to bring to your kind notice regarding infrastructure facility of our institution that KIADB has allotted 8.2 acres of land for education purpose of B.Ed

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		College of our Trust *So we are enclosing the following details for your kind perusal.* *1. Trust Deed* *2. Land documents: KIADB has allotted 8.22 acres for our Trust for B.Ed College purpose "* *We are submitting allotment letter, land documents and sketch* *3. Completion Certificate: The building completion certificate obtained from public works department in the year 2015 is herewith submitted for your perusal.* *We hereby request your goodself to kindly give the permission since all the infrastructure has been provided as per your norms and standards* *We hope our humble request will be taken into your consideration and the above will be done in our favour."* The SRC, in its 305th meeting held during 25th to 27th February, 2016 has considered the matter and decided as under:- • Cause Inspection – Collect fee. • Ask VT to collect all documents. On 23.03.2016, the institution submitted a request for postponement of inspection as under:- "I wish to bring following few lines to your kind consideration on the above subject, due to my medical emergency. I am not in position of getting the inspection done of our institution. I am here with attaching to kindly postpone the inspection for 2 weeks and oblige. I am her with attaching the medical certificate for your kind perusal. I hope my humble request will be taken in to consideration and the above will be done in favourable." The SRC in its 309th meeting held during 12th – 14th April, 2016 considered the

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

request of the institution and decided as under:-

1. Grant time.
2. Cause Inspection after two weeks.

On 27.05.2016, a letter No. F.46-30/2015/VIP/29312 dated 23.05.2016 from Shri. Awadhesh Nayak, Under Secretary, NCTE, New Delhiwas received regarding revoking of grant of recognition to Gurukul College of Education, Mysore, Karnataka and stated as under:-

"The address of the college mentioned in SRC's letter does not match with the address of the college mentioned in the letter of Shri, B.S.Yeddyurappa,Hon'ble Member of Parliament (Lok Sabha). It is therefore to check the address"

Accordingly, a letter was addressed to NCTE, New Delhi on 06.06.2016.

A letter was received from NCTE Hqrs on 18.07.2016 for knowing the status of inspection decided in 309th meeting held on 12th – 14th April, 2016.

As per the decision of SRC, the inspection of the institution was conducted on 10.09.2016 and VT report along with documents and CD received on 13.10.2016.
The SRC in its 324th meeting held during 07th to 08th December, 2016 conside the VT report and decided as under:-

1.Title to land is not clear-The 6 year clause in the lease agreement expired in 2014. Did the title-position change then? They should explain.
2.EC not given.
3. LUC is there.
4.BP is given. Details are incomplete.
5.BCC is not in format. Built-up area shown is adequate.
6.FDRs not given.
7.Latest Faculty list not given in the format; also, not approved.
8.Fee not paid.
9. Issue Show Cause Notice accordingly.

As per the decision of SRC, a Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution on 16.12.2016. The institution has submitted written representation on 03.01.2017 & 09.02.2017 and also additional documents submitted on

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		20.02.2017. The SRC in its 331st meeting held during 22nd February, 2017 considered the written representation and decided as under:- 1. "The built-up area required (i.e.2000 sq.mtrs). is not there 2.1. On the date of application they did not have title to the land. 2.2 To this date, the land (purchased in Jan 2017) is mortgaged. 3. Issue SCN for rejection." As per the decision of SRC a show cause notice was prepared. But not sent to institution. Based on the website information the institution has submitted written representation on 02.03.2017 . The SRC in its 333rd meeting held during 24th March 2017 considered the written representation and decided as under:- 1. "Built-up area is adequate. 2. Land area is adequate. 3. Title to land is clear. 4. BP & BCC are in order. 5. Land is mortgaged. 6. Issue SCN for rejection." Based on the website information the institution has submitted written representation on 27.03.2017 . The SRC in its 334th meeting held during 30th – 31st March 2017 considered the written representation and decided as under:- **1. "All requirements have not been met.** **2. Faculty list is not approved.** **3. They have to give FDRs @ 7 +5 lakhs in original, in Joint account for each unit of each course.** **4. Issue SCN accordingly."** Accordingly, as per the decision of SRC a show cause notice was issued to the institution on 07.04.2017.

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

The institution has submitted show cause notice reply on 05.04.2017 is as under:-

Sl No	Deficiencies pointed out in the SRC meeting	Reply of the institution	Details of the Document submitted
1	All requirements have not been met	"With reference to the above subject we are herewith submitting the point wise reply for your kind consideration: We would like to inform that you since our college recognition was withdraw by SRC the University also cancelled the approved staff list. Now we once again applied for staff approval to the University but the University authorities has refused to issue the staff approval stating that they required recognition letter from SRC NCTE. In this regard the University has issued a letter in reply to our request for staff approval the copy is herewith enclose for	
2	Faculty list is not approved		A letter from University of Mysore dated 04.04.2017 is submitted.

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

			your kind perusal. In this situation we humbly request you to kindly issue recognition order or issue LOI, then only it is possible to get staff approval from Mysore University. We have no other way to get the staff approval from the University. Hence we request you to kindly consider our request. We also undertake that the staff approval will be submitted within a week if the SRC Grant permission to our college, if we fails the SRC may take any action against our college including the withdrawal of recognition.		
		3	They have to give FDRs @ 7 +5 lakhs in original, in Joint account for each unit of each course	We have already submitted one set of original FDRs of Rs. 7+5 lakhs which is in Joint account now we are herewith submitting another once set of original FDRs 7+5 lakhs which is in joint account for your kind perusal.	FDRs of Rs. 5 lakh FDR No. / A/c No. 36736333936 Whether in single or joint A/c / Joint A/c Date of issue / 04.04.2017

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		We request you to kindly consider our matter and restore recognition or issue LOI as we have fulfilled all the requirements of SRC NCTE. **Kindly give permission to our college and oblige and also requesting your goodself to do the needful**	Date of Maturity	04.04.2022
			Name of issuing Bank	State Bank of India
			FDRs of Rs. 7 lakhs	
			FDR No.	A/c No.36736333299
			Whether in single or joint A/c	Joint A/c
			Date of issue	04.04.2017
			Date of Maturity	04.04.2020
			Name of issuing Bank	State Bank of India

Remarks:-

1. **Approved faculty list not submitted.**

The Committee considered the Show Cause Notice reply and decided as under:-

1. **All requirements have been met.**
2. **The case is ready for restorationof recognition subject to verification of the Faculty list.**
3. **Request the Univesity to approve the Faculty list without insisting on**

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		LOI or a recognition order. **4. Ask the college to submit the latest approved Faculty list without further delay.** **5. Put up on 26.4.17.**
30.	APS00272 B.Ed 2 Units APS02905 D.El.Ed 1 Unit Crescent College of Education, Karimnagar, Telangana	**Crescent College of Education, Karimnagar Revenue Division, #2-8-237, Mukarrampura, Karimnagar District-505001, Telagana** had submitted an application to the Southern Regional Committee of NCTE for grant of recognition to **Crescent College of Education, Karimnagar Revenue Division, #2-8-237, Mukarrampura, Karimnagar District-505001, Telangana** for **B.Ed** course one year from the academic session 2002-2003. The recognition was granted to the institution **on 27.05.2003** with an annual intake **100** students from the academic session **2002-2003** with a condition that the institution shall shift to its own premises within three years from the date of recognition (in case the course is started in rented premises). The SRC in its 178th meeting held on 13th-14th July, 2009 considered the list of institutions were accorded recognition in the rented premises and they had not yet shifted to the permanent building and it has decided to issue show cause notice under section 17 of NCTE Act and obtain shifting fees and other documents for causing inspection for shifting. Accordingly, a letter was issued to the institution on 01.09.2009. The institution has submitted its written representation along with DD of Rs.40.000/- bearing no.318575 dated 17.09.2009 on 01.10.2009. Inspection intimation was sent to institution on 25.01.2011. Accordingly, shifting inspection of the institution was carried out on 12.02.2011. As per VT remarks the management is also running D.Ed course in the same building. The SRC in its 206th meeting held on 09th -10th June, 2010 considered the VT report, VCD and all the relevant documentary evidences and it was decided to serve show cause notice. **As per VT report, the total area earmarked for B.Ed & D.Ed is 2630 sq.mts, which is grossly inadequate for running all the two courses; as per the NCTE norms, the total built-up area requirement is a minimum of 3000 sq.meters.** • **VCD is not available.** • **The land is on private lease deed in individual name, which is not in accordance with NCTE regulations. As on date, the institution has not shifted to own land.** • **Details of the other programmes run by the management in the same building be submitted.**

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		• **Bye-Laws of the Society are to be submitted by the management.** • **Approved building plan from Govt. authority is to be submitted.** • **The institute has not submitted the Land usage certificate from a competent Govt. approved authority.** • **Non-Encumbrance certificate from the competent authority is not submitted.** • **The Khasara No.2-8-323 as indicated in land documents is not matching with the Building Completion Certificate, affidavit and building plan. Building plan is for Sy.No. 2-8-275 and also not approved by the competent authority.** As per the decision of SRC, Show cause notice was issued to the institution on 07.07.2011. The institution has submitted its written representation on 08.08.2011 and 12.09.2011 and requested to provide a copy of the VTR to enable to reply to the show cause notice. The SRC in its 211th meeting held on 21st-23rd September, 2011 considered the request of the institution and it has decided to send the VT reports to the institution for providing explanation for the show cause notice and directed the management to reply within 30 days notice along with necessary certificates/documents in order to take a final decision in the matter; failing which action will be taken including the withdrawal of recognition, based on the records available, with no further notice. Accordingly, a letter was sent to the institution on 14.11.2011. The institution has not replied letter dated 14.11.2011. **Further there is no action taken in this file.** The institution has submitted its willingness affidavit on 06.02.2015 as per regulations 2014. **Accordingly, revised recognition order was issued to the institution on 11.05.2015 with a condition that the institution has not shifted to its own premises as stipulated in its Formal Recognition order dated 27.05.2003.** An e-mail dated 17.08.2015 was received from Satavahana University, Karimnagar, Telangana on 17.08.2015 (hardcopy received on 20.08.2015) regarding clarification for extending affiliation for the academic year 2015-16 along with a copy of letter issued to institution. The letter stated as follows: ***".....enclosing the letters addressed to the respective colleges wherein the observation of committee is mentioned. In this regard you are requested to go through the observations made by the committee and suggest us clarification to take further course of action with regard to extension of affiliation to the above mentioned 6 B.Ed colleges Since last date to furnish their list of colleges to the convener Ed.CTE is 20th August 2015".*** Accordingly, a letter was sent to Satavahana University on 18.08.2015.

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

The institution has submitted its written representation regarding permitting change of premises on 07.09.2015 for both B.Ed and D.Ed as per application of shifting of premises along with DD of Rs.1,50.000/- and relevant documents.

On 08.02.2016 a letter is received from the Director of School Education Government of Telangana Hyderabad vide letter No.Rc.No.99/A/TE/TSCERT/2014 dated 06.02.2016. Regarding the observations of the Affiliation committee in respect of private D.El.Ed / B.Ed colleges in the State of Telangana and decided to forward the following list of 76 colleges including **Crescent College of Education, Karimnagar Revenue Division, #2-8-237, Mukarrampura, Karimnagar District-505001, Telangana** to SRC, NCTE for taking further necessary action under section 17 of the Act.

Sl No	Deficiencies Observed	Number of colleges
1	Submitted Fake and Fabricated documents	35 (Existing) (Annexure 1A) 02 (New) (Annexure 1B)
2	Functioning in leased premises even after stipulated period	04 (Annexure II)
3	Shifting of College Premises without the permission of SRC NCTE	16 (Annexure III)
4	Submission of fake NOCs	15 (Annexure IV)
5	Not possessing land in the name of the society/Institution	04 (Annexure V)

The matter was placed before SRC in its 302nd Meeting held on 09th-11th February, 2016 considered the letter from the Director School Education Department, Telangana State and decided that ***"What with the 3 March 16 time-limit pressure on us, it is not possible to go into these complaints at this time. Process and put up after March 16".***

Again as per the decision of SRC, the matter was placed before SRC in its 309th Meeting held on 12th-14th April, 2016 and the committee considered in respect of (76 colleges) regarding not fulfilling the deficiencies and it has decided to issue show cause notice for the following to **Crescent College of Education, Karimnagar Reveenue Division, #2-8-237, Mukarrampura, Karimnagar District-505001, Telagana**

- **Functioning in leased premises even after the stipulated period.**

Accordingly, a show cause notice was issued to the institution on 13.05.2016. The institution has submitted its written representation on 30.05.2016.

The SRC in its 318th meeting held on 08th – 09th August, 2016 considered the matter and decided as under:

1. The complaint was that the college continued to function in leased premises beyond the time given. They have replied to show that they

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

have been pursuing action to shift. Ultimately now, a VT has also gone for inspection.
2. Upon receipt of the VT inspection report, process for further consideration.
3. Apprise the Director (School Education), Telangana of these developments.
4. Inform the affiliating authority viz., Director (SCERT).

Accordingly, a letter sent to Satavahana University regarding the decision of SRC 318th meeting on 15.09.2016.

The inspection of the institution was conducted on 01.10.2016 and the VT report along with documents and Original CD was received on 06.10.2016.

The details of VT Report are as under:

Name and address of the institution (as per initial application)	Crescent College of Education, Karimnagar Revenue Division, #2-8-237, Mukarrampura, Karimnagar District-505001, Telangana
Name and address of the Society	Crescent Educational and Welfare Society, 2-8-44/4-Faran Street, Peddapalli, Karimnagar
Date of Inspection for Shifting	01.10.2016
Address of the institution as per VT Report	Crescent College of Education, 2-8-44/4, Qudratnagar, Faran Street, Sy. No. 3/2, Peddapaly, Karimnagar.

Details of courses as per the VT Report

Sl. No.	Name of the Course	Intake
01.	B.Ed	100 (2 units)
02.	D.El.Ed	50 (1 unit)

As per scrutiny of documents received with VTR		
REGISTRATION BYE-LAW CERTIFICATE	Date of Regn. and in the name of	23.07.1997 Crescent Educational and Welfare Society
Details of Land Documents:		
Registered certified copy of the Land documents: Submitted / Not submitted		**Photocopy of English Version Submitted**
(whether in English or Regional language) (whether certified/notarized English translation submitted)		
Date of registration of land		a) 19.08.2015 b) 13.08.2015

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

Land registered in the name of	Crescent Educational and Welfare Society
Type of title deed i.e. sale deed/lease deed (Govt. /Pvt.)/gift deed	Gift Settlement Deed
Survey No/ Plot No/ Khasara No.	a) 3/2 b) 3/2
Extent of land in each Sy. No./ Plot No./ Khasara No.	a) 506.40 Sq.mts b) 3003.00 Sq.mts
AFFIDAVIT:-	Submitted
Sy.No	3/2
Location	2-8-44/4, Bandarikunta, Peddapalli Village, Karimnagar District, Telangana
Land is on own/lease basis	Ownership Basis
Built up area	Not Mentioned
Extent	3500 Sq.mts
Blue print/Notarized copy of Building Plan submitted/ Not submitted :-	Submitted
Name and address of Society/Trust/Institution	Crescent Educational and Welfare Society, 2-28-44/4, Bandarikunta, Peddapally, Karimnagar.
Whether Building Plan is for the proposed institution/ course or also for some other TEI/course	**Not Mentioned**
Plot area/land area	3500 Sq.mts
Total built-up area	GF – 1801.14 Sq.mts FF – 1801.14 Sq.mts **Total – 3602.28 Sq.mts**
Built up area for the proposed and existing teacher education courses	**Not Mentioned**
Date of approval	21.10.2015
Name and designation of approving authority	Commissioner
Notarized copy of Land Use Certificate submitted /Not submitted	Submitted
Name of the Society/ Trust/ Institution	Crescent Educational and Welfare Society
Survey/Plot/Khasara No. and location	3/2 at Qudratnagar
Extent of land	a) 30 guntas b) 05 guntas **Total – 35 guntas**
Purpose of land	Non-Agricultural Purpose
Date of issue	21.08.2015
Name and designation of approving authority	Tahsildar
Notarized copy of the Building	Submitted

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

Completion Certificate submitted /not submitted	
Name and address of Society/Trust/Institution	Crescent Educational and Welfare Society, 2-8-44/4, Qudratnagar, Faran Street, Peddapalli, Karimnagar.
Survey/Plot/ Khasara Nos. and location	3/2, 2-8-44/4, Qudratnagar, Faran Street, Peddapalli, Karimnagar.
Total Built up area	GF-1801.14 Sq.mtr FF-1801.14 Sq.mtr **Total – 3602.28 Sq.mts**
Type of Roofing	RCC
Purpose for which building is being used/proposed to be used	B.Ed & D.Ed Course
Date of issue	13.07.2015
Name and designation of approving authority	Town Planning Supervisor
Notarized copy of Encumbrance Certificate submitted/ Not submitted	Photocopy Submitted
Name of the Society/Trust/Institution	Crescent Educational and Welfare Society
Survey/Plot/Khasara Nos. and location	a) 3/2 at Peddapalle b) 3/2 at Peddapalle
Search for the period	a) 01.01.1987 to 30.09.2016 b) 01.01.1986 to 23.08.2015
Extent of land	a) 3630 Sq.yds b) 605 Sq.yds
Any mortgage as per EC	Nil
Date of issue	01.10.2016
Name and designation of issuing authority	M.Dilip Chandra Gopal, Joint Sub-Registrar
NOC From Affiliating body Submitted/Not Submitted	**Not Submitted**
FEES PAID:-	Rs. 1,50,000/- bearing no. 765984 dated 18.08.2015
FACULTY LIST:-	**The institution has not submitted approved Faculty List. Whereas, the institution has submitted Letter from Satavahana University regarding Approval of Teaching Staff.**
Faculty list approved/not approved	
Whether approved on each page or not	
No. of faculty as per norms of the course	
Designation of the approving authority	
Date of approval	

FDR's Details

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

	5.00 Lakhs Endowment Fund	**3.00 Lakhs and 4.00 Lakhs Reserved Fund**
Submitted in Original	Photocopy Submitted	Photocopy Submitted
FDR A/c number	312586	312587
Whether in single or joint A/c	**Single A/c**	**Single A/c**
Name of the Bank	Vijaya Bank	Vijaya Bank
Duration of FDR	60 years	60 years
Issuing date	05.09.2015	05.09.2015
Valid till	05.09.2020	05.09.2020
Website of the institution	www.crescentcollegekarminagar.com	

Comments of VT Members		
01.	Total Built-up area	**Not Mentioned**
02.	Furniture	The class room, multipurpose hall, laboratory, Resource Rooms, Library, Principal room, Staff rooms, etc. are provided with adequate furniture but need to be further improved.
03.	Multipurpose Hall	**Not Mentioned**
04.	Labs/Resources Rooms	Adequate but need to be improved
05.	Whether the library is sharing with other courses	No
06.	Seating capacity in the library	40
07.	No. of books in the library and Journals	4123 Books, 12 Journals

VT Remarks:

- **No furniture available for D.El.Ed course.**
- **The VT has noticed that only ground floor and first floor of building has completed and the Second floor is in the process of construction. As such for two courses, B.Ed and D.El.Ed infrastructure is inadequate. The D.El.Ed course is not running as no students is allotted in the last two years. The teachers for D.El.Ed are also not appointed. Only B.Ed teachers were available.**

Remarks:

- **The institution has submitted FDRs which is in Single A/c.**
- **The institution has not submitted Faculty list.**
- **The institution has not mentioned Total Built up area and Multi-purpose hall.**

The Committee considered the VT report, VCD and all relevant documentary

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		evidences and decided as under:- **1. Title is clear. Land area is adequate.** **2. LUC/EC are in order.** **3. BP is in order. Built-up area shown (3602 sq.mts.) is adequate.** **4.1 BCC is given. Not approved by Govt. Engineer. Built-up area of Ground Floor(1801 sq.mts) + First Floor(1801 sq.mts.) is adequate.** **4.2 VT has reported second floor is under construction. This is not clear. Ask the College to explain the correct factual position.** **5. VT has reported that the D.El.Ed. course is not running for want of enrolment. Ask the College to explain why the recognition should not be withdrawn.** **6. Latest approved Faculty list is requied.** **7. FDRs are required in original in joint account, on a 5 year validity@ 7+5 lakhs for each unit of each course.** **8. Issue SCN accordingly for shifting permission.**
31.	APS05561 B.Ed 2 Units Rajeev Memorial College of Teacher Education, Kannur, Kerala	**Rajeev Memorial College of Teacher Education, Mattanur, Kannur, Kerala** was granted recognition for B.Ed. course on **7.12.2007** with an annual intake of **100** students with a condition to shift to its own premises within 3 years (in case the institution is started in rented premises). As per the decision of SRC in its 175th meeting, the SRC reviewed the files of the institutions who were granted recognition either in permanent premises or in leased premises. A list of such institutions was prepared and placed before SRC in its 176th meeting. In the 176th meeting of SRC, it was decided to issue Show Cause Notice to the institutions calling for documents for shifting of premises. Accordingly SCN issued on 02.07.2009. The institution submitted its explanation to the Show Cause Notice on 28.7.2009. On 5.10.2011, a complaint against the above institution was received from Sri. Rajesh P.V., Advocate & Notary, Taliparamba, Kannur, Kerala on behalf of Sri. Prakashan.P. This office vide letter dated 21.10.2011 requested the

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		complainant to submit an affidavit of Rs.100/- on non-judicial stamp paper in respect of the complaint received on 5.10.2011. Sri. P.V. Rajesh, Advocate & Notary submitted an affidavit on Rs.100/- non-judicial stamp paper duly signed by the complainant Sri. Prakashan.P., S/o. Damodaran, Payyanadan House, Kannothumchal, Chovva P.O., Kannur-6, Kerala. The complainant requested not to recognize Rajiv Memorial College of Teacher Education, Mattanoor and not to give affiliation as well and to take immediate steps to close down the College since it is alleged that the institution is functioning without complying the terms and conditions fixed by Kannur University and NCTE. The affidavit along with the complaint is enclosed. In the Show Cause Notice dated 02.07.2009, the institution had stated that the construction of the proposed new building had been started and was likely to be completed upto November, 2010. The SRC in its 215th meeting held on 12-13 December, 2011 considered the complaint of Mr. Sri. Prakashan.P and decided that to register this as a shifting case, if a file is already pending, and also to cause inspection at the premises on receipt of Rs. 40.000/- towards inspection fee and to ascertain the facts of the complaint. Accordingly, a visit was scheduled to the institution during 6th February, 2012 to 8th February, 2012. A letter to the institution was addressed vide letter No. APS05561/B.Ed./KA/2011-12/36061 dated 18.01.2012. A fax was received from the institution on 24.01.2012 stating that they are not ready for inspection as the permanent building for the College is under construction. The building will be ready for inspection by the end of May, 2012. As per the decision of 215th SRC meeting held on 12th - 13th December 2011, the visiting team comprising of Dr. S. Thangasamy, Director and Professor, Centre for Educational Research, Madurai Kamaraj University, Madurai and Dr. C. Raja Moauli, Professor, Dept. of Education, Dr. B.R. Ambedkar Open University, Hyderabad was proposed to the institution during 6th to 8th February, 2012. On 14.2.2012. The Visiting team submitted a blank report stating that the inspection may kindly be postponed. The SRC in its 224th meeting held on 14th – 17th June, 2012 considered the matter and decided to serve Final Show Cause Notice under NCTE Act.

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		Accordingly, a Final Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution on 09.07.2012. The institution submitted its written representation on 30.07.2012. The SRC in its 235th meeting held on 21st – 22nd November 2012,considered the reply of the institution dt.30-07-2012 and all other relevant documents and decided to **cause inspection** as per NCTE Act, to examine whether the institution fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for the proposed programme, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the institution, as per the norms. Accordingly, an intimation letter was sent to the institution on 03/12/2012. An E-Mail dated 05/12/2012 was received by this office from the Principal, Rajeev Memorial College of Teacher Education requesting the postponement of inspection to February 2013 as they are not prepared for the inspection as the permanent construction of the building of the college has been 90 % completed. Another letter regarding postponement of inspection is received by this office on 07/12/2012. An E-mail dated 10/12/2012 and 12/12/2012 from Mr. Balaramulu and Ms.Philomena Lobo was received by this office seeking clarification regarding the date of inspection. The Inspection team members were informed to conduct the inspection as scheduled vide F.SRO/NCTE/KL/VT/2012/47730 dated 14/12/2012. Another letter dated 21/12/2012 from the Principal, Rajeev Memorial College of Teacher Education is received by this office on 24/12/2012 requesting for postponement of inspection to February 2013. On 30/01/2013, E-Mails from Mr. Balarumulu and Philomena Lobo were received by this office enclosing a brief report of visit to Rajeev Memorial B.Ed College, Mattanur, Kannur, Kerala. The report was as under : ***"On 11th January, 2013, Friday, we visited the colleges at 9.00 a.m .We were received by a reluctant Principal, Dr.Pillai. To our great shock and surprise, we found that neither the management nor the Principal had made any preparations for the visit of VT, though it was intimated to them well in advance by both your office and by us. The basic***

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

requirements of preparedness like, filling up of the Format supplied by you was not done. No records, be it of the building or academic were kept ready. In short, it was the Principal expected us not to conduct any inspection and asked us to get back."

A blank inspection report and non filed questionnaire had been received by this office on 01/02/2013 along with the letter from the VT members enclosing a report and a few photographs stating that"

"We visited the Rajeev Memorial College of Teacher Education, Mattanur, Kannur District, Kerala, as reported by the Principal earlier, the college building is not completed nor the records were produced. They were not prepared for the inspection, still as per intimation we have visited the spot and saw that the college is running in the first floor of a commercial complex.

We have enclosed a report regarding the visit, the letter given by the Principal of the College, the formats given by you for the inspection and TA and Honorarium bills.

A copy of the report is enclosed.

A certificate from the Principal, Dr.Vijayan Pillai submitted along with the VT report has states that:

"On the day of their visit, the Manager of Society was not present on our premises due to ill health. Since all the original records are with the Manager himself, I couldn't produce any document regarding the building or others, for the perusal of the V.T, nor the application format was also filled up and kept ready for the inspection.

I hereby state that, all the relevant records will be produced for inspection once our building is ready and shifted to our new campus."

The SRC in its 241st meeting held on 29th & 31st March 2013 & 1st April 2013 considered the Institution letter dt.11-01-2013 and all other relevant documents and decided to cause inspection in the month of April-2013 under NCTE Act, to examine whether the institution fulfils all the requirements as per the norms, for the proposed programme, subject to the condition that the deficiencies, if any, were duly rectified by the institution, as per the norms.

The inspection of the institution was scheduled for 27thMay, 2013 and the

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

same was intimated to the institution vide this office letter F.No.APSO5561/B.Ed/KE/2013-14/51703 dated 16/05/2013. Accordingly, the inspection of the institution was carried out on 30.05.2013.

The Southern Regional Committee in its 248th Meeting held on 13th – 15th July 2013 considered the VT report, VCD of the institution on the above matter and also the relevant documents of the institution and decided to withdraw recognition for the following reasons:-

- ***Original certified copy of the land documents from Govt. authority is not submitted. The institution has submitted photocopy of the land documents, the land documents is in favour of in individual by name Prof. K.Lakshmana, which is not permissible as per NCTE Regulations 2009. Approved blue print of the building plan issued by competent civil authority is not submitted. In the building plan copy submitted, Institution's name is not mentioned.***
- ***Original building completion certificate from competent Govt. authorized engineer is not submitted.***
- ***Original FDRs of Rs. 5 & 3 lacs towards endowment and reserve fund from a Nationalized Bank in joint name is not given.***
- ***Notarized land usage certificate from the Revenue divisional office stating that the agriculture land converted to non-agriculture for the educational purpose is not submitted. Proceedings of Revenue Divisional Officer not submitted for conversion of land from agricultural to educational purposes.***
- ***Up-to-date encumbrance certificate issued by sub-registrar is not submitted.***
- ***Staff is not accordingly to NCTE norms.***
- ***Original affidavit is not submitted.***

Keeping in view, the Supreme Court order in Civil Appeal No. 1125-1128/2011 in SLP No. 17165-68/2009 filed by NCTE Vs ors, which reads as under:

"An institution is not entitled to recognition unless it fulfills the conditions specified in various clauses of the Regulations. The Council is directed to ensure that in future no institution is granted recognition unless it fulfills the conditions laid down in the Act and the Regulations and the time schedule fixed for processing the application by the Regional Committee and communication of the decision on the issue of recognition it strictly adhered to".

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		Based on the above points the SRC decided to withdraw the recognition of the B.Ed course run by the Rajeev Memorial College of Teacher Education, Mattanur, Kannur, Kerala, from the academic year 2013-14 in order to enable the ongoing batch of students in B.Ed, course, if any, to complete their course. It was made clear that the institution is debarred from making any further admission subsequent to the date of issue of this order.The Affiliating body / Examining board / body were informed accordingly. Further it was decided to return Endowment funds and Reserve fund deposited with SRC NCTE, Bangalore, if any. Accordingly, a withdrawal order was issued to the institution vide F.No.APS05561 /B.Ed /KL/2013-14/53312 dated 26.08.2013. On 08.11.2013, an e-mail was received from K.Priyesh stating as under ; ***"We wish to inform you that Rajeev Memorial B.Ed College is still working in Kannur District without your recognition. Kindly, please give a direction to Kannur University to stop the college working illegally without your recognition and also please give direction to Rajeev Memorial B.Ed College to stop their cheating to students by taking admissions without your recognition. I got information from the local public that the college authorities still going forward by taking new admissions by hiding that they have no recognition from NCTE.*** ***Kindly take necessary actions, otherwise we have to compel to forward this matter to newspapers, channels etc."*** The Southern Regional Committee in its 256th Meeting held on 4th - 6th December 2013 considered the matter, complaint through e-mail on the said college, decided and advised Southern Regional Office to send a copy of the complaint to the Affiliating University along with a copy of the order of withdrawal of recognition for needful action. As per the decision of SRC, a letter addressed to the Registrar, Kannur University was sent vide F.SRO/APSO5561-B.Ed/KL/2013/55713 dated 27.12.2013 This office did not receive any reply from the University. The institution filed an appeal under Section 18 of NCTE Act, before the

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

Appellate Authority, NCTE, New Delhi against the withdrawal order of SRC.

On 13.03.2014, this office received the appellate authority order No.F.No.89-667/2013 Appeal/2nd Meeting – 2014 dated 25.02.2014 remanding back the case of Rajeev Memorial College of Teacher Education, Thrissur, Kerala to the SRC, NCTE. The Council has made the following observations ;

'The Council noted that the SRC conducted an inspection of the institution on 30-05-2013 and after considering the VT report and other documents decided to withdraw recognition and issued the order dated 27-08-2013 citing the reasons therein. the Council noted that the SRC, before withdrawing recognition, has not issued any show cause notice to the institution as required under the provisions of Section 17 of the NCTE Act. In the circumstances the Council concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the SRC with a direction to issue a show cause notice to the appellant institution and take further action as per the provisions of the NCTE Act.

After perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, the documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the Council concluded that the appeal deserves to be remanded to SRC with a direction to issue a show cause notice to the appellant institution and take further action as per the provisions of the NCTE Act.

The Council hereby remands back the case of Rajeev Memorial College of Teacher Education, Thrissur, Kerala to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above, '

The office memorandum (directive) from the NCTE Hqrs dated 25.04.2014 is as under ;

'The appeal Committee is in agreement with the advice of the legal Counsel about continued consideration of the appeals received so far as also those to be received in future, in accordance with law and procedure. However, in cases where the Appeal Committee decided to remand them to the Regional Committees for such actions like re-issue of deficiency letter/show cause notice or to consider the submissions of the appellant etc. it is felt that it would suffice from the point of view of the committee to state in their minutes that the suggested actions are taken in accordance with the NCTE

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		Regulations. *Since the revised Regulations are yet to be notified, it would be appropriate if the NCTE administratively informs all the Regional committees that further action on the appellate order in case of 'remand' should be taken only in the light of the revised regulations to be notified.'* *In view of the above legal opinion and Appeal Committee's decision, all the Regional Offices/Committees are directed to act upon the Appellate orders of remanded back cases accordingly.* The above matter was placed before SRC in its 269th Meeting held on 1- 2 July,2014 and the Committee considered the appeal remand order and directed SRO to process and put up after notification of new regulations. On 06.01.2014, a complaint from Shri.Ajaykumar.M, Kannur, Kerala State is received alleging that the college is making admissions without the approval of NCTE.(copy enclosed) The Southern Regional Committee in its 271st Meeting held on 1st August, 2014 considered the matter, decided and advised *Southern Regional Office to process the case after notification of new Regulations.* *Further, the Committee considered the complaint from the Shri. Ajaykumar, M. Kannur, Kerala State vide letter dated 06.01.2014, stated that the said college is admitting students now; Committee has noted that the said college recognition that was withdrawn has not yet been restored. Processing of the case after remand can take place only after notification of the new Regulations. Advised Southern Regional Office to inform the University not to allow admission at this stage. Also, the college is to be directed not to admit.* As per the decision of SRC, a letters were addressed to the Registrar, Kannur University and the Principal, Rajeev Memorial College of Teacher Education, Mattanur, Kerala on 18.09.2014 conveying the decision of SRC not allow admissions at this stage. On 02.09.2014, a letter dated 30.08.2014 is received from advocate Shri.V.M.Kurian regarding the W.P.(C) No. 21785 of 2014 in the High Court of Kerala filed by Rajeev Memorial Charitable Society against Kannur

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

University. A copy of the writ petition W.P.(C) No. 21785 of 2014 filed by the institution is enclosed.

The writ petition is filed by the Petitioner challenging the Ext. P 15 communication of Kannur University to restrict admission in the academic year 2014 - 15. The petitioner impleaded NCTE as additional respondent as directed by the Hon'ble Court since the above said communication is based on Ext.P11 withdrawal order F.No .APS05561/B.Ed /KL/2013-14/53312 dated 26.08.2013 issued by SRC,NCTE. The above writ petition came up for impleading additional respondent (NCTE) on 29.08.2014. The advocate took notice on behalf of NCTE and has requested to forward necessary instructions in the matter for preparing Counter Affidavit.

A letter was addressed to the advocate, Shri. V. M. Kurian on 23.09.2014 with a request to file Counter Affidavit by taking the directions of the Hon'ble Supreme Court into account.

On 01.10.2014, this office has received a letter dated 29.09.2014 from the advocate, Shri. V. M. Kurian regarding W.P.(C) No. 25181 of 2014 filed by the institution. The letter is as under :-

" The above writ petition (W.P.(C) No. 25181 of 2014) is filed by the petitioner to quash the communication F.SRO/NCTE/KL/APS05561/B.Ed/2014/59642 dated 18.09.2014 issued by the Regional Director, NCTE, directing the petitioner not to allow the admission of students without getting approval from SRC, NCTE. The above writ petition came up for admission before the Hon'ble Court on26.09.2014 and the Hon'ble Court has passed an interim order by staying above communication for a period of 2 months. We have taken notice on behalf of you and writ petition is posted for filing Counter Affidavit. Please forward necessary instructions in the matter for preparing counter affidavit.

A copy of the affidavit filed by the institution [W.P.(C).No. 25181 of 2014] and other relevant documents are enclosed along with the letter.

A letter was addressed to the advocate, Shri.V.M.Kurian on 28.10.2014 along with the brief of the case requesting him to file a Counter affidavit by quoting

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

Hon'ble Supreme Court directions.

The Southern Regional Committee in its 275th meeting held during 1st and 2nd December, 2014, took note of the Interim Court order in the matter. Advised Southern Regional Office to process the said case as soon as the new Regulations are notified and put up in 277th meeting.

A letter seeking consent on the willingness of the institution for considering their application as per Regulations 2014 was sent to the institution on 19.12.2014

In response to this office letter dated 19.12.2014, the institution submitted a reply on 13.01.2015 which is as under :-

"We are in receipt of your letter referred above on 30.10.2014.It is seen from paragraph 2 of your said communication that the Regional Office of NCTE, Bangalore has construed to the effect that we have submitted application for the grant of recognition for conducting the B.Ed course. It appears that the said communication was given on a wrong factual premise. As such we are giving the following clarifications for your kind consideration..

We were given recognition by NCTE in the year 2007 by order dated 07.01.2007.copy enclosed for ready reference. Thereafter the recognition given was withdrawn by SRC, Bangalore by communication dated 26.08.2013.As the action was against law, we had no other option than to challenge the same before the appellate authority. The appellate authority through their dated 25.02.2014 was pleased to set aside the order of SRC Bangalore for the reasons stated in the appellate order. It is thereafter another communication was given to the effect that processing of the application can be made after notification of the new regulation. That Communication is on a wrong legal premise. The question which ought to have been considered was whether there are sufficient reasons for withdrawal of the recognition granted in 2007.Therefore there is no justification in adopting a different yardstick in our case. Further the legality of the communication given on 19.09.2014 pursuant to the decision of SRC, Bangalore dated 01.08.2014 is under challenge before the High Court in W.P.C.No. 25181/ 2014.As such there is no justification in considering our case of all other B.Ed Colleges. There is no justification for the selective action as

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		well.Therefore, you are requested to give us hearing before a decision is taken in this regard." The institu n submitted staff list comprising of a principal and seven lecturers. The SRC in its 278th meeting held during 25th January, 2015 considered the matter, reply of the institution letter dated 13.01.2015 and all the relevant documentary evidence and decided to serve Show cause Notice under NCTE act. For the following deficiencies:- • **The Institution has not submitted certified copy of the land documents.** • **Building plan submitted by the institution is not approved by the competent authority, in the building plan submitted, Sy, no. site area, built up area, room and lab specifications are not mentioned.** • **The institution has not submitted Building Completion Certificate duly approved by the competent authority.** • **Non- encumbrance certificate not submitted.** • **Fixed receipts in original are not submitted.** On 30.03 2015, the institution submitted an affidavit affirming adherence to Regulation, 2014. On 15.06.2015, a letter dated 10.06.2015 was received from the President Rajeev Mem orial Charitable Society, is as under:- ***"We are in receipt of the show cause notice and the communication referred above. In view of various earlier proceedings in relation to the matter in issue and the pendency of several writ petitions before the High Court, time is required in preparing a reply in*** ***consultation with our counsel. As such you are requested to grant us time till 30th of June by which time a detailed reply will be given with reference to the matter in issue. Inconvenience caused is regretted."*** As per the decision of SRC, a Show Cause Notice was issued to institution on 13.05.2015. The institution submitted written representation on 29.06.2015 as under:- *"We are in receipt of the show cause notice dated 13.05.2015. On receipt of the said*

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		notice we have requested time to give the reply to the show cause notice till 30.06.2015. Accordingly we are giving the present reply. In the show cause notice given to us, in paragraph 3 it is stated that the complaint given by Sri. Rajesh P.V, given to the University and the NCTE is enclosed. Unfortunately that is seen enclosed along with the show cause notice. Therefore we are deprived of our valuable right to respond to the show cause notice after knowing the contents of the allegations. In this connection I would like to bring to your notice that by the proceedings dated 25.02.2014, the appeal preferred by us was allowed by the Appellate Committee and the matter is issue as it then stood was remanded to the Regional Committee for fresh decision, After the said order of the Appellate Committee, the Regulations were amended and the steps to be taken thereafter can only be in terms of the amended Regulations as now in force. You are also aware of the fact that the college was shifted to the new building and the Inspectors deputed by your office had conducted their inspection. The report of that inspection also is available with the Regional Committee. In terms of the amendment of the Regulations, which was carried out in the year 2014 we were called up on to give our affidavit by the Southern Regional Committee to the effect that the institution will fulfill the Norms and Regulations of 2014 as amended. The affidavit was accordingly given as early as on 26.03.2015. A copy of the affidavit given is enclosed for ready reference. In view of the above the matter in issue is required to be considered under the amended Regulations for which the affidavit was given on 26.03.2015. It is seen from the show cause notice that the same has been issued based on the meeting of the Southern Regional Committee which was held on 25.01.2015. In view of the substantial changes that have take place, it is requested that the relevancy of the proceedings initiated is lost by passage of time and the amendment of the Regulations. In the show cause notice issued by you, you have mentioned about the non-submission of documents. While appeal was preferred against your earlier decision to withdraw the recognition, all the documents were produced in original before the Appellate Committee. Those documents are still with the Appellate Committee as the same were not returned at that stage. It is therefore submitted that I am unable to produce the original documents as of now since those documents are before the Appellate Committee. It is therefore requested that steps may be taken to call for the entire records leading to the appeal based on which the Appellate Committee decided our appeal through their order dated 25.02.2014. Those documents will clearly show that even the basis of the proceedings are based on misconception. However I am enclosing herewith the attested photocopies of the documents about which reference is made in your show cause notice. In view of the change in the Regulations and in view of the affidavit filed by us accepting the compliance of the stipulations contained in the Regulations as amended in 2014 you are requested to issue the necessary order for the

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		further continuance of the course in our college. " The SRC in its 290th meeting held during 10th and 11th July, 2015 considered the matter, written reply from the institution vide letter dated 29.06.2015, and all the relevant documentary evidences and it was decided to serve Notice Under Section 17 of NCTE Act for the following : ***(i) English version of land document.*** ***(ii) BP & EC issued by competent authority.*** ***(iii) Approved staff list as per 2014 Regulations.*** As per the decision of SRC, a Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution on 23.09.2015. The institution submitted a reply on 26.10.2015 On 28.12.2015, the Registrar, Kannur University submitted a representation regarding admissions made by Rajeev Memorial College of Teacher Education, Kannur for the year 2015-17 without the revised recognition order which is as under :- *"With reference to the above, I am to inform you that Rajeev Memorial College of Teacher Education, Mattanur, Kannur* ***has admitted students to 2015-17 batch of B.Ed course without the revised recognition order issued by you****.* *Subsequent to the withdrawal of recognition granted to Rajeev Memorial College of Teacher Education, Mattanur, Kannur, the University granted continuation of provisional affiliation to B.Ed course offered from the college during 2012-13 considering the future of the ongoing batch of students .Further, the University also granted continuation of affiliation to B.Ed course conducted in Rajeev Memorial College of Teacher Education, Mattanur, Kannur on the basis of the interim order of the Hon'ble High Court and the syndicate decision (copy of both enclosed). The continuation of affiliation to B.Ed course in Rajeev Memorial College of Teacher Education, Mattanur, Kannur during 2014-15 is under processing .Now it has also come to the notice of the University that the College has admitted students to 2015-17 batch of B.Ed course in Rajeev Memorial College of Teacher Education,*

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		Mattanur Kannur on receiving on receiving the application for 1st Semester Examination of B.Ed course . *The matter is hereby informed for further necessary action in this regard* The University submitted a copy of the Court order dated 14.10.2014 in **W.P.No. 25181 of 2014** which is as under :- *" The petitioner pressed for an order, in so far as seeking inclusion of their name for allotment of students for B.Ed course, enabling the candidates to exercise an option to the petitioner college. The principal of the petitioner college file application for continuation of affiliation for the year 2013-14. In the mean time, the recognition of the petitioner college was withdrawn by the NCTE as per Ext.P11 order. The petitioner filed an appeal against Ext.P11 order before the Appellate Authority constituted under Section 18 of the NCTE Act .Copy of the order passed by the Appellate authority is produced as Ext P14.* *2. The Appellate authority remanded the matter especially finding violation of principles of natural justice and the earlier having been issued without Show Cause Notice being served on the petitioner. The matter is said to be pending before the NCTE Regional Branch and the NCTE has issued Ext.P18 order after remand. The NCTE, after remand will only be after notification of the new regulations and hence, advised the Southern Regional Officer regarding the modalities to be resorted for completing the process and not to allow admission at this stage .* *3. When a withdrawal of recognition has been challenged in appeal and the same has been remanded, it cannot be said that the withdrawal continues unless a fresh consideration is made on the basis of existing regulations or on the basis of the new regulations. The authority cannot keep the petitioner and the students in limbo and continue operation of withdrawal, on the ground that new regulations are to be framed. Remand having been made, withdrawal is no more applicable and hence the petitioner's recognition would continue unless withdrawal after due service of notice No Show Cause Notice has also been issued to the petitioner till date. In such circumstances, the 2nd respondent shall allot students to the petitioner including the name of the college in the list and allot students from the list prepared by the 2nd respondent.* The University has submitted another Court order dated 03.09.2014 in

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

W.P.No. 21785 of 2014 which is as under:-

"The learned counsel for the petitioner presses for an interim order. The interim relief sought for as follows:

Pass an order staying the operation of the condition contained inExt.P.15 to obtain explicit order for making admission and further directing the petitioner not to make admission for the academic year 2014-15., pending disposal of the writ petition"

2. Despite impleading NCTE in the party array as per order dated 29.08.2014 in I.A No. 11703 of 2014 and in spite of the appearance made on last occasion, there is no representation when the case is taken up today .The learned counsel for the petitioner points out, withdrawal of recognition by the additional 2nd respondent as per Ext.P11 is no longer in existence, as the same has been intercepted by the appellate authority vide Ext .P14, directing the competent authority to issue a proper Show Cause Notice and to proceed with further steps. No such notice has ever been issued to the petitioner so far, submits the learned counsel for the petitioner.

3. Since the factual position as on date is not brought to the notice of this Court by the additional 2nd respondent, there will be an interim order as prayed for. In so far as the recognition of the petitioner (originally ordered as per Ext.P1) continues by virtue of Ext P14 passed by the appellate authority, However, admission of students, if any, shall be at the sole risk of the petitioner and the concerned students shall be informed as to the pendency of the proceedings before this Court.

Post after vacation for filing counter affidavit, if any."

SRC in its 301st meeting held during 5th to 6th February, 2016 noted the matter.

On 26.05.2015, the institution submitted a request to consider the Show Cause Notice Reply submitted by the institution as under :-

"***I have submitted the detailed explanation for the reference cited above on 21.10.2015.I have not received any further communication in this regard from your office . I humbly request you to be kind enough to issue recognition orders for the academic year 2015-17 A copy of the***

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		Show Cause Notice is enclosed." On 16.06.2016, the institution submitted another reply to the Show Cause Notice. The SRC in its 318th meeting held on 08th & 09th August, 2016 considered the matter and decided to issue Show cause Notice under Act for the following deficiencies:- • **All other formalities relating to shifting have been completed albeit belatedly. Only, submission of a Faculty list in the prescribed format and approved by the competent authority is required.** • **Issue Show Cause Notice accordingly.** • **Put up after 2 months.** As per the decision of the SRC, show cause notice was issued to the institution on 27.09.2016. The institution has filed W.P No 36495 of 2016. In the Hon'ble High Court of Kerala. Accordingly, brief of the case was sent to the advocate. On 23.11.2016, a letter dated 22.11.2016 was received from advocate Shri.V.M.Kurian regarding the W.P.(C) No. 36495 of 2016 in the High Court of Kerala filed by Rajeev Memorial Charitable Society mattannur, Kannur stating as under :- **The subject writ petition is filed by Rajeev Memorial Charitable Society, Mattannur, Kannur seeking direction to the University for conduct of B.Ed Course in the college. The University is not permitting conduct of course on the ground that the college does not have recognition from NCTE. The Hon'ble Court has directed us to find out as to whether the College is recognized by NCTE or not. Please furnish instructions immediately. The case is posted tomorrow (23.11.2016)** On 03.12.2016, a letter dated 25.11.2016 was received from Kannur University, Thavakkar, Civil Station P.O, Kannur stating as under :-

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		"Please recall the office letter cited as I st above. It has been informed that through Rajeev memorial College of Teacher Education, Mattanur, Kannur affiliated to this University, the same is not seen included in the list of colleges for which recognition have been granted by your institution for the academic year 2015-16. **As per the judgment in WPC No 25181/14 (w) of the Hon'ble High Court of Kerala, students were allotted to the college for 2014-15 However, the College has admitted students for 2015-17 batch also.** **I am therefore to request you to look into the matter urgently and intimate the position".** On 08.12.2016, a letter dated 02.12.2016 was received from advocate Shri.V.M.Kurian regarding the W.P.(C) No. 36495 of 2016 in the High Court of Kerala filed by Rajeev Memorial Charitable Society, Kannur stating as under :- ***1. Petitioner has approached this Court inter alia seeking for a direction to the University to publish the results of the First Semester Examination to the Course of B.Ed undertaken by the student of the college for the academic year 2015-16 and to permit those students to appear for the 3rd Semester practical Examination notified in terms of Ext.P13 and further to permit the students admitted during the academic year 2016-17 to appear for the First Semester B.Ed Degree Examination, November, 2016.*** ***2. The short facts involved in the writ petition would disclose that the petitioner Society is running a B.Ed College. They had recognition from the National Council*** ***for Teacher Education (NCTE) for conducting the said course of one year duration with annual intake of 100 student. Ext.P1 is the NCTE order dated 07.12.2007. Affiliation also granted by the University as per notification dated 08.10.2010, Ext.P3. thereafter the petitioner applied for continuation of affiliation for the year 2013-14, which was granted as per University notification dated 24.08.2013. in the meantime, NCTE issued order dated 26.08.2013 withdrawing the recognition granted to the College for the academic session 2013-14. Petitioner challenged the same before the appellate authority. Which consider the matter and remitted the matter back to the NCTE for fresh consideration. In the***

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		meantime, when the University did not permit allotment of student for the academic year 2013-14, writ petition was filed as WP(C) No.25181/14 in which this Court observed that in so far as the matter in now pending before the NCTE, recognition continues unless a fresh consideration is made by the NCTE. In said circumstances, direction was issued to allot student for the academic year 2013-14. It is submitted by the petitioners that pursuant to the appellate order, Ex.P12 Show Cause Notice dated 27.09.2016 was issued by the NCTE in which the petitioner had filed a reply and the matter is now pending before the NCTE. In the meantime, result of the semesters in the various academic years are not being published and the students are not permitted to write the examination. It is at this stage that this writ petition is filed. ***3. Learned counsel appearing for the NCTE submits that an enquiry into the Show Cause Notice is still pending consideration. So far no order had been passed in the matter learned counsel appearing for the University submits that the order in WP (C) No.25181/14 was with respect to the allotment of students during the academic year 2013-14. It is submitted that after the said academic year. There is no recognition for admitting students or for allotment of students in the said College According to them, the entire admission of the students after the academic year 2013-14 is without recognition and therefore the students of the petitioner are not entitled to have the results declared or to write the semester examinations.*** ***4. But. It is relevant to note that when in the appellate order, the order withdrawing the recognition had been set aside and the matter was directed to be considered afresh. It has to be assumed that the recognition is still in force. Learned counsel for the NCTE also submits that as matters stand now, there is recognition for conducting the B.Ed course However, the same will be subject to further orders to be passed after conducting enquiry into the Show Cause Notice issued by the NCTE. Having regard to the aforesaid factual situation, I am of the view that there is no reason to detain the students by withholding the result and not permitting them to write the examination until a final decision is taken by NCTE in the matter.*** ***Accordingly, this writ petition is disposed of as under:-*** ***a) The University shall declare the result of the examination***

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		undertaken by the student of the petitioner. ***b) It shall also permit the students to write the examination in the various academic years subject of course to the final decision to be taken by the NCTE in this regard.*** The institution has submitted its representation on 19.12.2016 along with appointment order of the principal. **Note** :- • The recognition granted was withdrawn vide order dated 26.08.2013. • The institution preferred an appeal to NCTE Hqrs and appeal authority. In its order dated 25.02.2015 remanded to SRC. • The institution filed W.P 25181 of 2014 and the Hon'ble court passed an interim order. • In this case revised recognition order was not issued as per new Regulation. • Finally, Show cause Notice was issued to the institution as per the decision of 318th meeting (now the institution has submitted reply for SCN). • A letter received from Kannur University stating that as per the judgment in WPC No 25181 of 2014 "the Hon'ble court of Kerala students were allotted to the College for 2014-15. However the college has admitted student for 2015-17 batch also". The SRC in its 326th meeting held on 04th to 05th January, 2017 the committee consider the matter and decided as under:- **1. This is a case in which RPRO should have issued. It did not happen. We cannot issue RPRO at this stage. We have to finally decide the issue of recognition under the 2014 Regulations. The Court order has taken care of the interim periods.** **1.1 Ask the institution to submit the faculty list by 31.1.2017.** **1.2 Write to the University to speed up their decision. Clarify to them the position regarding our recognition.** **2. We can consider issue of recognition once the faculty list is received.** As per the decision of the SRC, a letter was issued to the institution and the

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		Registrar of Kannur University on 18.01.2017. The institution has submitted representation on 27.01.2017 & 30.01.2017 stating as under:- **"As a section of University staff organization is on an indefinite strike due to the suspension of Sri. Prabhath, Section officer, Academic Brach, University of Kannur, I fear the faculty list could not be submitted on 31.01.2017. I request you to kindly give an order to the Registrar University of Kannur to sanction the faculty list at the earliest.** **I again request you to extend the time to submit the faculty list.** **As per your decision I have already given a request to registrar to speed up the approval of the faculty list.** **A copy of the same already submitted to the SRC on 23rd January, 2017 is also submitting herewith".** **The Committee considered the above matter and decided as under:-** **1. We had withdrawn recognition. It was revived by the Court order. But, that was only for one year i.e., 2014-15. They have continued that facility irregularly without obtaining any extension from the Court.** **2. They have not cared to comply with our order for submission of the approved faculty list. Delay in this will only give them undue benefit.** **3. Give them an ultimation to submit the latest approved Faculty list by 26.4.2017.** **4. Put up on 1.5.17.** **5. Issue SCN accordingly.**
32.	APS04281 B.Ed	**Karunya Institute of Technology & Sciences, Karunya Nagar, Coimbatore-641114, Tamilnadu** submitted an application to the Southern Regional Committee of NCTE for grant of recognition to **Karunya University, Karunya**

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

	2 Units Karunya University, Coimbatore, Tamilnadu	**Nagar, Coimbatore District-641114, Tamilnadu** for **B.Ed** course of one year duration with an annual intake of 100 students. Recognition was granted to the university on **31.08.2005.** A letter was issued to the university regarding notification of new Regulations, 2014 seeking consent on their willingness for fulfilling the revised Norms and Standards and the institution has not submitted the Affidavit. The SRC in its 294th meeting held on 14th -16th November, 2015 and the committee considered the matter, not submitted affidavit adhering to Regulations 2014 and hence not issued Revised Orders and decided to issue Show Cause Notice for withdrawal of recognition under NCTE act for the following deficiencies. • **Affidavit not submitted as per Regulations, 2014.** Accordingly, as directed by SRC show cause notice was issued to the university on 20.05.2016. Now, the university has submitted its written representation along with Affidavit on 01.06.2016 and 01.07.2016 stated as follows: ***"We are in respect of your Show Cause Notice F.No.SRO/NCTE / APS04281 /B.Ed /TN/ 2015-16/85571 dated 20/05/2016. The request from NCTE to submit an affidavit affirming adherence to Regulations 2014 was not responded promptly as the B.Ed program was kept in abeyance by the University at that point of time. We are currently willing to revive the program vide our letter dated 7th May 2016 (Copy Enclosed) from this academic year itself.*** ***Kindly condone the non-submission of the affidavit in time. May I request you to kindly accept the affidavit duly signed by the university authorities and grant permission to restore the Education Programs (B.Ed and M.Ed) at the earliest."*** The SRC, in its 319nd meeting held on 30th to 31st August, 2016 considered the matter and decided as under:- **1.They have both B.Ed and M.Ed** **2.They say, B.Ed has been kept in abeyance. This is no provision for keeping courses in abeyance. If B.Ed is kept in abeyance, M.Ed will become a 'stand-alone' course**

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		3. Issue of any RPRO at this stage has no significance. The university by their delayed submission of affidavit is responsible for this situation **4. Collect all documents, examine and report** **5. Thereafter, we have to collect fees and cause composite inspection** Accordingly, a letter was sent to the university on 27.09.2016. A letter received on 12.11.2016 from the university and stating as follows:- **"We are in receipt of your letter referred to above, reminding us to send the filled-in mandatory affidavit required for NCTE recognition institutions (TEIs). We are in the process of getting our B.Ed and M.Ed programs restored, as we did not offer these programs in 2011-12 and 2012-13. In May 2016 we did apply for the restoration of these courses to the Regional Director, SRC for which we received the decision of SRC of 319th meeting (Ref.2-copy enclosed). Therefore we are in the process of complying with their guidelines.** **We submit that we will inform you once the process of restoration is over and send the filled in affidavit.** **May we request you to help us to restore the B.Ed and M.Ed programs from 2017-18".** **The Committee considered the Show Cause Notice reply and decided as under:-** **1. According to the Supreme Court order, no institution can run after November 2014 under any other Regulation.** **2. That being so, there is no question of their restoring the course and then giving the affidavit.** **3. The Affidavit shall be given at once. Immediately thereafter, they must ensure adherence to the norms/standards under the 2014 Regulations.** **4. There is no provision for keeping any course 'in abeyance'. They**

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		must either run or be wound up. **5. Issue SCN accordingly.**
33.	SRCAPP1883 B.Ed 2 Units Kumaran College of Education, Vellore, Tamilnadu	**Sarbar Educational Trust, Plot No.535, MaraiMalaiadigal Street, Kangeyanallore Village, Gandhinagar East Post Office, Vellore Taluk & District-632006, Tamilnadu** had submitted an application to the Southern Regional Committee of NCTE for grant of recognition to **Kumaran College of Education, Plot/Khasara No.3091/13B2, Melmonavoor Village, Abdullapuram Post Office, Vellore Taluk & District-632010, Tamilnadu.** The recognition was granted to the institution on 21.02.2014. The institution has submitted affidavit as per regulations 2014. Accordingly, revised recognition order was issued to the institution on 17.03.2015. On 24.10.2014 a letter received from TNTEU regarding starting of new college in the name and style of Kumaran College of Education, Vellore District for the academic year 2014-2015 only. A complaint letter dated 07.07.2015 received from Shri K. Saravanan, 260, TajpuasalaiArcot, Vellore-632501, Tamilnadu on 10.07.2015 along with original affidavit and document. In the affidavit stating as follows: *"I K. Saravanan, residing at No.260, Tajpurasalai, Arcot, Vellore-632501. I have written this complaint affidavit about Kumaran College of Education, functioning at Plot/Khasara No.309/13B2, Melmonavoor Village, Abdullapuram Post Office, Vellore-632010, offering Bachelor of Education (B.Ed) program. This College is not functioning in independent land and building. There are two other institutions functioning in the same land and building namely 1) Tamilaga Industrial Training Institute (ITI) approved by directorate General of Employment and Training (DGET), Ministry of skill Development and Entrepreneurship, SharmShaktiBhawan, Rafi Marg, New Delhi offering vocational programme in National Council for Vocational Training (NCVT). 2) Tamilaga Industrial School, approved by Directorate of Employment and Training, Alandur Road, Guindy, Chennai-600032 Tamilnadu. This institute also offering Vocational programs of State Council for vocational training (SCVT). These two institutes successfully functioning for the past 20 years. Every year more than*

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		500 students are studying in these institutes. If you have any doubts in this matter you can write to the competent authorities and ask them to clarify whether the institutes have got the approval from them. Now, they use the same land and building and got the approval from you to conduct Bachelor of Education (B.ED) Programme. But there is no separate land and building to start new college of education according to the NCTE regulation 2014. This institute was not fulfill the norms and standards of NCTE. The institute is not have sufficient land to start new B.Ed College. This institute's adjacent properties are belongs to third parties. There is no single pies of vacant land belongs to the management Institute. So that is not possible to fulfill the sufficient land norms of NCTE. Then how can they got the approval from you to *start new B.Ed institute. So the doubt will arise whether they shows some fabricated documents to get approval from you. The institute is not having proper classrooms, laboratories, infrastructure, instructional facilities and qualified faculty members. Hence I requesting you to view this matter seriously and take necessary action against the management to withdrawal of recognition of Kumaran College of Education from the academic year 2015-16. We already sent petitions along with document proof regarding in this matter to Dr. G.Viswanathan, the Vice –Chancellor, Tamilnadu Teachers Education University, Chennai, Tamilnadu, but he didn't take any action against the college .Dr.G.Viswanathan got Rs.20,00,000/- as bribe from management of the college and leave them to admit the students in to B.Ed degree course for 2014-15 year . This year 2015-16 also Dr.Viswanathan got Rs.20,00,000/- as bribe from management of the college and leave them to admit the students into B.Ed degree course. Now they are publishing advertisement in leading news papers to admit the students in vocational courses and B.Ed degree in same campus. That's why we are forward this petition to you. Herewith we enclosed the original newspaper as proof. We hope you to view this matter seriously and take necessary action against the management to withdraw of recognition of Kumaran College of Education from the academic year 2015-16 at your earliest".* The institution has filed court case in WP.No.22782 of 2015 in the Honorable High Court of Tamilnadu. It is prayed that

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

"..this Honb'le court may be pleased to issue a Writ of Declaration or any other appropriate writ, order or direction in the nature of a writ of Declaration declaring Clauses 2(b), 8(1), 8(11), 9, 10 and 11 of National Council for Teacher Education (Recognition, Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2014 and Clauses 1,2.1, 3.1, 4.1,5.1,5.2,6 of Appendix-IV of National Council for Teacher Education (Recognition, norms and Procedures) Regulations 2014 as null and void unenforceable, invalid arbitrary unconstitutional and pass any such further or other order or orders as this Honb'le Court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case and thus render justice".

The SRC in its 292nd meeting held on 29th -30th September, 2015 considered the complaint letter dated 07.07.2015 and advised Southern Regional Office to

- **Send the complaint to the TNTEU for comments.**

The institution addresses a letter 07.08.2015 to the Registrar, TNTEU was received by SRC on 10.08.2015 along with documents regarding explanation for action initiated towards non grant of continuation of affiliation for the academic year 2015-2016 for Kumaran College of Education, Abdullapuram, Vellore District-grievance.

In the meantime, a court notice in WP.No. 32707 of 2015 received from Honb'le High court of judicature at Madras on 24.10.2015. Accordingly, a letter along with brief of the case was sent to the advocate on 13.11.2015. A letter was received from advocate Shri. K Ramakrishna Reddy on 23.11.2015 with request to correspond with Mr. P.R Gopinath, regarding WP.No.No.32707 of 201.

As per the decision of SRC, a letter to the Registrar, TNTEU was sent on 17.11.2015. The University has submitted its comments on 27.11.2015 along with documents. The letter stated as follows:

"..Southern Regional Committee, NCTE, Bangalore has sought the comments from this university on the petition dated 07.07.2015 received from Shri.K. Saravanan, 260, Tajpuasalai, Arcot,Vellore-632501. As the copy of the same petition was already received by this University and actions were already initiated on the said complaint along with two other complaints with the similar subjects, the details of the same are now furnished below.

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		2. Based on the recognition granted to the Kumaran College of Education, Vellore District by the SRC-NCTE on 21.02.2014, this University has granted a conditional affiliation for the academic year 2014-2015 alone with three conditions. Out of three conditions the said college has fulfilled only one but not fulfilled the remaining two for the past one year. 3. Under the above condition, a complaint dated 01.04.2015 was received in this office on 05.05.2015 from the '2014-2015 batch B.Ed Students' of the said college. The copy of the similar complaint sent to the Government of Tamilnadu by the petitioner was also received in this office through the Government. The abstract of the complaint is: a. Kumaran College of education is functioning in the industrial Training Institute (ITI) building and there is no separate land and buildings for Kumaran College of education. b. Laboratory and other facilities are meant only for ITI courses and not for B.Ed degree programme. c. Inadequate infrastructure for conducting B.Ed degree programme. d. Complainant has attached a Photograph as evidences for their complaint that both the ITI and Kumaran College of Education are functioning in the same land and building. 4. This university has issued show cause notice on 07.05.2015 to the Kumaran College of Education, Vellore District by enclosing the copy of the complaint to offer their explanation on the said complaint. In turn, the said Kumaran College of Education has submitted their explanation on 13.05.2015. in which the Chairman of the said college has attached the copy of the recognition granted by the NCTE and the copy of the conditional affiliation granted by this University and stated that they are having recognition from the government of India and affiliation from the Government of Tamilnadu for Kumaran College of Education in the same land and Buildings. Further stated that the complaints made in the petition are totally false and requested to close the petition. But, later, in the reference 5th cited the same college has agreed that both the college of education and it is are functioning in the same building. Hence, there is a contradiction in the explanation offered by the college in different dates. 5. This University has confused a two member's surprise visit commission to ascertain the factual position of the complaint against the said college. Accordingly, the surprise visit commission their report to the university as

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		detailed below. "The management is running two of their institutions in the same land and building, namely Tamilnadu industrial Training Institute and Tamilaga Industrial School. The management has used the land and building for the B.Ed degree Programme also. Further, it is observed that the Kumaran College of Education is lacking the basic infrastructure facilities including class rooms, laboratories and library which are essential for running the B.Ed programme. The inspection commission has enquired in to the complaint lodged by the B.Ed student of the academic year 2014-2015 and found that the complaint is true by considering above observation s and the inspection commission suggest the university authorities to initiate suitable action against the Kumaran College of Education, Vellore District. 6. The copy of the complaint against the said college dated 07.07.2015, on which the SRC is now seeking comments from this university was received from Mr.K. Saravanan, 260, Tajpurasalai, Arcot Vellore-632501 on 10.07.2015. The content of the complaint in the previous petition dated 01.04.2015 and in the present petition dated 07.07.2015 are almost same. The highlights of the complaint dated 07.07.2015 are as follows: a. Kumaran College of Education is functioning along with two more ITI institutions approved by NCVT, Guindy in the same building and land. b. Including B.Ed two more institution functioning in the same building and land, but got approval from the authorities. c. This institute has not fulfilled the norms and standards of the NCTE, particularly no sufficient land. d. Doubt raised that the college has got approved by producing fabricated documents. e. Request to withdraw recognition from the academic year 2015-16. f. Petitioner has stated that the he has already made complaint to the university, but the university has not taken action by receiving bribe. 7.The allegation of the petitioner, Mr. Saravanan in "6-f" supra that he has already mad complaint against the said college to this University, but the University has not taken any action by receiving bribe, is totally false and fabricated, since the University has not received anu such petition from Mr. Saravanan prior to his petition dated 07.07.2015 instead, a systematic process

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		has already been initiated against the said college by this university based on the petition dated 01.04.2015 received in this office on 05.05.2015. Actually, the surprise inspection commission caused inspection at the said college prior to the petition received from Mr.K.Saravanan . Hence, the allegations of Mr.K.Saravanan that this university has not taken any action by receiving bribe is utter false, baseless and motivated. *8. Based on the findings of the surprise inspection commission held on 06.07.02015, the remaining allegations listed above are found to be true. Further,, the news paper cutting in respect of the advertisement given by the Kumaran College of Education calling for applications to B.Ed degree programme and also for admission to various ITI courses in ggteh same premises confirmed the above allegation. Apart from this, the photograph of the college building clearly confirms that the B.Ed degree programme is being offered along with ITI courses in the same building and land.* *9. Hence, as said college is functioning in the same building where industrial training are functioning in violation of clause 8(4) (ii) of the NCTEC Regulations, this University has addressed the Kumaran College of Education, Vellore District again on 08.10.2015 and requested them to submit the relevant documents issued by appropriate authorities in respect of land & buildings used for offering B.Ed degree programme and also land & buildings used for industrial Training Institutes separately.* *10.In response to this University letter dated 08.10.2015, the said college has submitted a reply letter dated 23.10.2015, in which, the management has stated that the NCTE Regulations does not restrict to function college of Education and Industrial Training in the same building. The relevant portion of their letter reproduced below:* *"the NCTE regulations does not forebear or especially restrict the functioning of other Educational Institution of a different programme being conducted simultaneously in the premises where the B.Ed Training course are conducted".* *Further, the said college has not submitted any documents in respect of well demarcated land used for offering B.Ed degree programmes as given in clause 8(4) (ii) of the NCTE Regulations. But the a copy of the building plan was submitted. This building plan was signed by the Engineer on 11.07.2007 and*

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		the plan was approved by the authority on 13.12.2006. Actually, the society was registered on 18.07.2011 and the sale deeds for the land was registered on 13.12.2012. As per the documents produced by the said college, the building plan was approved by the competent authority before purchasing the land. *11. As explained above, the said college is functioning in the building where the Industrial Training Institute are functioning. Further, as the contradictions existed in the documents submitted by the said college, in respect of date of registration of Society, date of sale deed for land, date of preparation of building plan and the date of approval of the plan by the authority, this University is not able to process the application of the said college for grant of continuation of provisional affiliation from the academic year 2015-16.* *12. In the meantime, the management of the said college has approached the Honb'le High court with writ petition No:32707 of 2015 against the University's letter dated 25.07.2015, in which the NCTE , new Delhi and SRC /NCTE, Bangalore are 1st and 2nd respondent respectively. Further, the said college has already filed a writ petition no.22782 of 2015 challenging certain clauses of new regulations,2014. Both the writ petitions are pending before the Honb'le High court Chennai.* *Under the above circumstances, it obviously appears that the said college is not functioning in accordance with the Clause 8(4) (ii) of the NCTE's Regulations, 2014, since both the Kumaran College of Education and two more ITI institutions are functioning in the same building as per the two members inspection committee report followed by the letter dated 23.10.2015 of the said College. However, this subject matter is submitted to the SRC/NCTE to take final decision and based on the final decision of the SRC-NCTE, the pending application of the said college in this University for grant of continuation of Provision application will be taken up for disposal.* The SRC in its 297th meeting held on 27th – 28th December, 2015 considered the complaint matter and it has decided as under: Obtain specific information on the following points: a. Sy.Nos involved. b. Area of the land in reference. c. Does it meet the requirement of the T.E.I.

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		d. Is it clearly earmarked for the B.Ed programme. e. Does the Society / College have clear title to the land in reference. As per the decision of SRC, a letter was sent to the institution on 20.01.2016. In the meantime, the complainant has submitted written representation/ (complaint letter) through affidavit on 13.01.2016 along with relevant documents. The matter was placed before SRC in its 299th meeting held on 20-21st January, 2015 and the committee considered the matter and decided that 1. The institution has to submit properly land details. Remind them. 2. Forward a copy of the supplementary complaint to the Institution for comments. Meantime the institution has submitted a copy of the Court order dated 09.12.2015 in W.P.No.32707 of 2015 and M.P.No.1 of 2015 filed by Kumaran College of Education, Vellore. The court order stated as follows: *The petitioner, which is a Teacher Training Institute has come forward to file this writ petition challenging the order dated 15.07.2015, passed by the fourth respondent to show cause as to why appropriate action shall not be initiated based upon the prime-facie findings mentioned therein.* *2. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned counsel appearing for the respondents 1 to 4.* *3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that anonymous complaints have been given by the fifth respondent to the respondents 1 and 2, who in turn directed the fourth respondent to look into the matter and take appropriate action. After considering the letter of the fifth respondent dated 07.07.2014, an order was passed by the first respondent on 28.10.2015 treating the complaint as closed and therefore, no further action is required in the matter.* *4. The learned counsel for the respondents 1 & 2 submitted that after the letter dated 28.10.2015 another communication has been sent by*

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		the second respondent to the respondents 3 and 4 dated 12.11.2015 seeking comments about the said complaints. *5. The learned counsel for the respondents 3 and 4 submitted that prima-facie case is made out on the alleged irregularities. He submitted that the reply given by the petitioner would be considered in a proper perspective while passing the final order. He further submitted that particulars have been sought for by letter dated 08.10.2015 from the petitioner in respect of details of land and buildings under use for offering B.Ed Degree programme, apart from other documents pertaining to the Industrial Training Institute.* *6. By way of reply, the learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that letter of the respondent dated 08.10.2015 has also been replied on 23.10.2015 along with the relevant documents.* *7. Admittedly, the order impugned is only a show cause notice and the petitioner is stated to have given its reply. Thus, it is for the respondents 3 and 4 to take appropriate decision by considering the relevant materials. While doing so, the scope and applicability or the letter of the first respondent dated 28.10.2015 has to be taken into consideration by the respondents 3 and 4 and also, the communication of the second respondent dated 12.11.2015.* *8. Accordingly, the respondents 3 and 4 are directed to pass appropriate orders after considering the above said correspondences and other relevant materials if any, within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.* *9. The writ petition stands disposed of accordingly, Consequently, connected Miscellaneous petition is also closed.* *No costs.* The SRC in its 301st meeting held on 05th -06th February, 2016 considered the court order and ***noted the matter.*** A letter was sent to the institution on 04.02.2016 regarding decision of SRC 299th meeting along with a copy of supplementary complaint. The institution has submitted its written representation on 17.03.2016 along with documents.

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		"1. In continuation to the above subject matter if Ref. (i) communication calling for explanation enclosing copy of the supplementary complaint dated 06.01.2016 of Mr.K.Saravanam, I have perused the contents of the notice and the enclosed text of the letter forwarded by Mr.K.Saravanan. 2. At the outset, I submit that the contents of the affidavit of Mr.K.Saravanan is imaginative and exhibits the intent of the individual, only to tarnish the image of the institute, when the terms of the norms governing the grant of recognition by the NCTE, and land requirements has been satisfied by the Management at the time of making an application for recognition before the authority. 3. However, on the basis of an anonymous complaint made by one Mr.K.Saravanan whose existing is unknown to this Management seems to be make wild and imaginative allegations which do not bear even an iota of truth which could be inferred by common prudence, particulars, when the management hadtaken sufficient care to comply with the norms of the NCTE as well as the concerned University to have the affiliation for administration of the B.Ed Course of study, pursuant to which recognition of affiliation was grant by the authorities concerned for the years 2014-2015. 4. An anonymous complaint had been made out presumably by a competitor of the B.Ed College of Education by using a fictitious name and address, only to create a doubt and disturb the process of conditional affiliation and the recognition enjoyed by the Management. It is seen from the contents of the affidavit that the said complaint contains all wrong information which are thoroughly imaginative and bereft of an element of truth. 5. We kindly inform the authority that the affidavits made by K.Saravanan were not notarized. Also the proof of the return of speed post sent to K.Saravanan is enclosed for your kind understanding that there is no such person. 6. We kindly inform that NCTE head quarters, New Delhi was closed the complaint made by K.Saravanan. The closing letter givenby NCTE head quarters is again enclosed herewith for your kind understanding.

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

7. All of sudden, now I received a copy of complaint on dated 06.01.2016 in the name of one K.Saranavan in the mode of affidavit to your authority calling for acting to the complaint made then.

8. As I have lodged a complaint against the letter which had been made in a fictitious person named K.SaravananinArcot Taluk police station on 04.03.2016 which is under investigation for further criminal action under the provisions of law.

Hence, the same is prayed to be ignored and the authorities may grant the benefit of appropriate consideration on the basis of the explanation made by the authority at the time of grant of approval.

So, I humbly request the honorable authority not proceed further in the matter on the basis of the false contents said affidavit."

The SRC in its 309th meeting held on 12th -14th April, 2016 considered the institution reply and it has decided that ***"Please ask the institution to specifically reply to the queries raised in SRC letter dated 20.01.2016".***

As per the decision of SRC, a letter was issued to the institution on 20.05.2016.

In the meantime, the complainant (Shri K. Saravanan) has submitted written representation along with original affidavit of Rs.20- and relevant documents. The affidavit stating as follows:

".....Now I enclosed herewith an true copy of the sale deed No: 14882/2012, 14884/2012, parent sale deed No. 46/1996, copy of the encumbrance certificate as proof of fabricated sale deed of Kumaran College of Education. Here I came to mention the following deficiencies also you have to take in to the count to withdrawal of recognition of Kumaran College of Education from the academic year 2015-16.

1. ***In the true Copy of the sale deed No. 46/1996, page No. 2 row No: 1 to 6 says the sale deed executed on 15.03.1996 between 1) K. Thamilarasi, W/O, N. Krishnamoorthy residing at 3/316, maraimalai adigal street, Gandhinagar East, Katpadi Town Extension, Vellore District and 2) C. Arjunan, s/o CHINNAPPA (late) residing at Pillaiyar Koil street, Shenbakkam Village, Vellore District. Page No.***

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		3 row no. 1 to 7 says C. Arjunan was sold his property to K. Thamilarasi Sy.No. 309/13 extent 0.11.1/4 cents (or) 4905 sq.ft) (or)455.68 sq.mts. So K. Thamilarasi purchased Sy.No. 309/13 extent 0.11.1/4 cents (or) 4905 sq.ft (or) 455.68 sq.mts only by means of sale deed 46/1996/ 2. In the true copy of the sale deed No. 14882/2012 page no. 2, para 1 & 2 says the sale deed executed on 13th day of December 2012 (13.12.2012) between 1) K. Thamilarasi,, W/O, N. Krishnamoorthy residing at 535, maraimalai adigal street, Gandhinagar East, Katpadi Taluk, Vellore District and 2) Sarabar Educational Trust represented by its founder and chairman K. Ezhil Mohan Raj S/o N. Krishnamoorthy residing at 535, maraimalai adigal street, Gandhinagar East, Katpadi Taluk, Vellore District in this deed page no. 5 Schedule of Property K. Thamilarasi was sold her entire property Sy.No. 309/13 extent 0.11.1/4 cents (or) 4905 sq.ft (or) 455.68 sq.mtr which she was purchased from C. Arjunan by a sale deed 46/1996. So she doesn't have single cent of land in her hand. 3. On the same day 13th day of December, 2012 (13.12.2012) one more sale deed No. 14884/2012, page No. 2, para 1 & 2 was executed between 1) K. Thamilarasi, W/O, N. Krishnamoorthy residing at. 535, maraimalai adigal street, Gandhinagar East, Katpadi Taluk, Vellore District and 2) Sarabar Educational Trust represented by its founder and chairman K. Ezhil Mohan Raj S/o N. Krishnamoorthy residing at 535, Maraimalai adigal street, Gandhinagar East, Katpadi Taluk, Vellore District, in this deed page no:8 Schedule of Property K. Thamilarasi was sold her property Sy.No. 309/13 extetn 0.21 (or) 9156 sq.ft (or) 850.61 sq.mts here she said in the same deed No. 14884/2012 page no. 4 para 2 she selling the property which purchased from C. Arjunan by sale deed dated 21.12.1995 registered as documents NO. 46/ 1996 of Book1, on 15.03.1996 in the office of the District registrar, Vellore. K. Thamilarasi was sold her entire property sy.no. 309/13 extent of 0.11.1/4 cents (or) 4905 sq.ft (or) 455.68 sq.mtr which she was purchased from C. Arjunan by a sale deed 46/ 1996. So she doesn't have single cent of land in her hand then how she can able to sale another 0.21 (or) 9156 sq.ft (or) 850.61 sq.mtr in the same Sy.No. 309/13 shown same sale deed 46/1996 as parent document. 4. Hence in view of the above its clearly states that sale deed No. 14884/2012 dated 13.12.2012 was fabricated one. If you want to

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

clarity, you can write to the District Registrar, District Registrar Office, Veppamara Street, Velappadi, Vellore Dt-632004. He will tell you the truth about the sale deed.

5. ***Here the management fails to fulfill the land requirement as per the NCTE regulation 2014 for Kumaran College of Education. So how can they able to show separate land for 1) Tamilga ITC, 2) Tamilaga Industrial School which is running in the same land and building.***

6. ***The Kumaran College of Education and the tamilaga ITC institutes are publishing combined advertisement in the daily news papers to admit the students for this academic year 2016-17. So they accept themselves the both institutes are running in the same land and building. I request you don't allow the management to admit the students in Kumaran College of Education in to B.Ed degree program for this academic year 2016-17. Herewith I enclosed the advertisement new paper as proof.***

7. ***I was sent a complaint petition on 19.05.2016 to 1) the District Collector, Vellore District Collector Office, Sathuvachari, Vellore-632009. 2) the District Registrar, District Register office, Veppamara Street, Velappadi, Vellore Dt.-632004 to verify the grounds of registered sale deed No. 14884/2012 and also I asked them take necessary action as per law. That petition is under processing with above two officers.***

The above said information is clearly stated that the management was cheated the NCTE and TNTEU to get approval to start new B.Ed degree program in the name of Kumaran College of Education. Hence I request you to stop the admission and withdraw the recognition of Kumaran College of Education from the academic year 2016-17.

The SRC in its 315th meeting held on 17th-18th June, 2016 the deferred the matter.

Meantime, in response to this office letter dated 20.05.2016 the institution has submitted its reply on 21.06.2016.

The Southern Regional Committee in its 320th Meeting held during 16th to 17th November, 2016 considered the matter, reply of the institution to this

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

office letter dated 20.05.2016 and decided as under:-

Put up in the next meeting with allegation wise comments .

As per the decision of SRC , the reply submitted by the institution to the queries raised in SRC letter dated 20.01.2016 is detailed as under :-

<table>
<tr><th>Sl. No.</th><th>Queries raised in SRC letter dated 20.01.2016.</th><th>Reply of the institution</th><th>Remarks</th></tr>
<tr><td>1

2.</td><td>Sy.Nos involved.

Area of land in reference</td><td>Our details against your queries like survey numbers involved, area of the land in reference are given below for your understandings.
<table>
<tr><th>Sl. No.</th><th>Document No. & Date</th><th>Survey No</th><th>Total area in Sq.Mts</th></tr>
<tr><td>1</td><td>14882/ 2012</td><td>309/13 B2</td><td>455.68 Sq.Mts</td></tr>
<tr><td>2</td><td>14883/ 2012</td><td>309/12 E</td><td>567.07 Sq.Mts</td></tr>
<tr><td>3</td><td>14558/ 2012</td><td>309/12 D</td><td>648.08 Sq.Mts</td></tr>
<tr><td>4</td><td>1884/2 012</td><td>309/13</td><td>850.61 Sq.Mts</td></tr>
<tr><td></td><td></td><td>Total</td><td>2521.44 Sq.Mts</td></tr>
</table></td><td>The following land documents are submitted by the institution
<table>
<tr><td>Registered certified copy of the Land documents:</td><td>Submitted</td></tr>
<tr><td>Type of title deed i.e. sale deed/lease deed (Govt. /Pvt.)/gift deed</td><td>a) Sale deed
b) Sale deed
c) Sale deed
d) Sale deed</td></tr>
<tr><td>Date of registration of land</td><td>a) 13.12. 2012
b) 13.12. 2012
c) 13.12. 2012
d) 13.12. 2012</td></tr>
</table></td></tr>
</table>

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

						Land registered in the name of	**a) Sarabar Educational Trust b) Sarabar Educational Trust c) Sarabar Educational Trust d) Sarabar Educational Trust**
						Sy.No.s	a) 309/12D **b) 309/13** c) 309/12 E **d) 309/13** (old), 309/13B2
						location	**Melamonavoor Village**
						Extent of land	**a)**648.08 sq.mtrs b)**850.61 sq.mtrs** c)567.07 sq.mtrs d)**455.68sq.mtrs**

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

				Total	**2521.44 sq.mtrs**
				Change of land use certificate	
				Issued by	Tahsildhar , Vellore
				Date	18.12.2012
				Sy.No.s	309/12D, 309/13 309/12 E,309/13 (old),309/13B2
				Extent	2521.44 sq.mtrs
				Location	Melmonavoor Village, Vellore,Tailnadu
				Purpose	Non agricultural purpose
	3	**Does it meet the requirement of the T.E.I**	---	**Yes,As per Regulations, 2014, the institution must have a site area of 2500 sq.mtrs for offering the B.Ed**	

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

			course
4	Is it clearly earmarked for the B.Ed programme	--	The institution has submitted a copy of the FMB Sketch highlighting the sy,No.s specified above as earmarked area . The institution vide its letter dated 20.06.2016 has stated that it is for B.Ed programme.
5	Does the Society / College have clear title to the land in reference.	--	The institution has submitted 4 sale deeds executed in favour of Sarabar Educational Trust

e following is observed from the file :

The complaint of Shri. Saravanan received 07.07.2015 was addressed to the Chairperson NCTE, and copies to SRC,NCTE and TNTEU

(a) The institution has submitted a letter dated 12.11.2015 of NCTE-Hqrs dressed to the institution in respect of the complaint of i.K.Saravanan stating as under :-

" I am directed to refer to your letter mentioned under reference at lo. (i) on the above subject and to inform that the reply furnished you in respect of this complaint is tenable and nsidered. Hence , this complaint is treated as closed at this stage d no further action is required in the matter."

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

(b) TNTEU in its reply to this office letter dated 17.11.2015 has stated that ***it obviously appears that the said college is not functioning in accordance with the Clause 8(4) (ii) of the NCTE's Regulations, 2014, since both the Kumaran College of Education and two more ITI institutions are functioning in the same building as per the two members inspection committee report followed by the letter dated 23.10.2015 of the said College. However, this subject matter is submitted to the SRC/NCTE to take final decision and based on the final decision of the SRC-NCTE, the pending application of the said college in this University for grant of continuation of Provision application will be taken up for disposal.***

(2) The complainant vide his letter on affidavit dated 05.01.2016 has alleged as under in respect of the two sale deeds dated 13.12.2012 executed in Sy.No. 309/13 between the same vendor and Vendee :-

> ***On the same day 13th day of December, 2012 (13.12.2012) one more sale deed No. 14884/2012, page No. 2, para 1 & 2 was executed between 1) K. Thamilarasi, W/O, N. Krishnamoorthy residing at. 535, maraimalai adigal street, Gandhinagar East, Katpadi Taluk, Vellore District and 2) Sarabar Educational Trust represented by its founder and chairman K. Ezhil Mohan Raj S/o N. Krishnamoorthy residing at 535, Maraimalai adigal street, Gandhinagar East, Katpadi Taluk, Vellore District, in this deed page no:8 Schedule of Property K. Thamilarasi was sold her property Sy.No. 309/13 extetn 0.21 (or) 9156 sq.ft (or) 850.61 sq.mts here she said in the same deed No. 14884/2012 page no. 4 para 2 she selling the property which purchased from C. Arjunan by sale deed dated 21.12.1995 registered as documents NO. 46/ 1996 of Book1, on 15.03.1996 in the office of the District registrar, Vellore. K. Thamilarasi was sold her entire property sy.no. 309/13 extent of 0.11.1/4 cents (or) 4905 sq.ft (or) 455.68 sq.mtr which she was purchased from C. Arjunan by a sale deed 46/ 1996. So she doesn't have single cent of land in her hand then how she can able to sale another 0.21 (or) 9156 sq.ft (or) 850.61 sq.mtr in the same Sy.No. 309/13 shown same sale deed 46/1996 as parent***

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		document. **Note :- The main allegation of the complainant is about fulfillment of land requirement as per NCTE regulations 2014 as the institution is running Tamilga ITC and Tamilaga Industrial school in the same land and building .** **The Committee considered the above matter and asked SRO to put up the matter tomorrow.**
34.	APS01294 M.P.Ed 1 Unit Bharathiar University, Coimbatore, Tamilnadu	**Bharathiar University, Department of Physical Education, Maruthamalai Road, Coimbatore-641046, Tamil Nadu** submitted an application to the Southern Regional Committee of NCTE for grant of recognition for **M.P.Ed course of Two years duration from the academic session 2005-06** with an annual intake of 30 students. The institution was granted recognition on 13.07.2005 with a condition to shift to its own premises within three years from the date of recognition (in case the course is started in temporary premises). Two RTI applications were received from Ms. G. Hemalatha, Advocate on 14.07.2014. RTI reply was sent to Ms. G. Hemalatha on 18.08.2014. A complaint dated 09.09.2014 from Ms. G. Hemalatha was received by SRC on 11.09.2014 regarding appointment of Dr.K.Murugavel, Bharathiar University – Professor /Director in Physical Education in the year 2008 – Violation of NCTE / UGC norms by Bharathiar University-Coimbatore – Tamil Nadu – Lodging complaint. Again, another complaint dated 09.09.2014 from Dr. B. Navaneethan, Director of Physical Education, PSG College of Arts & Science, Coimbatore was received by SRC on 12.09.2014 regarding Dr.K.Murugavel's Appointment as Professor/Director in the year 2008-Violation of NCTE norms. Letters were sent to Dr.B.Navaneethan and Ms.G.Hemalatha on 23.09.2014 for veracity of the complaint letter asking them to submit an affidavit and information. An affidavit was received by SRC on 07.10.2014 from Dr.B.Navaneethan stating as follows:- *"....The brief facts are that in response to an advertisement issued in 2007 as aforestaked, Dr.K.Murugavel was appointed as*

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

Professor/Director in the year 2008 in Bharathiar University.

Dr.K.Murugavel's appointment was contrary to statutory rules as he did not have the requisite qualifications as prescribed by NCTE/UGC norms.

VIOLATION OF RULES & REGULATIONS WHILE APPOINTING, DR.K.MURUGAVEL AS A PROFESSOR/DIRECTOR IN THE DEPARTMENT OF PHYSICAL EDUCATION IN BHARATHIAR UNIVERSITY (FOR THE PROFESSOR POST).

a) He had no experience in Post Graduate (PG) teaching experience 10 years) in a training college (NCTE norms 2007).

b) He had no experience in Research at the AyyanadrJanakiammal College of Arts & Science, Sivakasi.
   i. He worked as a Lecturer in B.Sc Physical Education, Health Education and Sports in Arts & Science College. (His experience as a UG Lecturer from 19.12.1990 to 23.04.2008. 17 years and 4 months).
   ii. B.Sc Physical Education is not a professional degree like B.P.Ed and M.P.Ed

c) He was not an eminent Scholar with published work of high quality.
   i. He received his guide ship approval in the year 2009 at Madurai Kamaraj University (Please Refer RTI information)

d) He had no experience in Research at the University/College of Physical Education (By guiding M.P.Ed., M.Phil and Ph.D)
   i. Before joining in Bharathiar University he had no experience in Research.

e) He had no sufficient experience in Post Graduate (PG) teaching (5 years minimum PG Teaching Experience) as per UGC norms.

Based on the above facts how come an individual who has cheated/violated the norms and the University, NCTE and UGC who is still working, enjoying and holding two key individual posts as on post as Professor/Director.

In view of these facts, I bring it to your kind attention that his appointment as Professor/Director in a university was contrary to

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

statutory rules of NCTE/UGC norms.

MINIMUM QUALIFICATIONS FOR THE POST OF DIRECTOR OF PHYSICAL EDUCATION AND SPORTS IN A UNIVERSITY (AS PER UGC NORMS)

a. *Experience of at least 10 years as University Deputy Director of Physical Education (or)*
b. *15 years as University Assistant Director of Physical Education (Selection Grade) or*
c. *15 years as college Director of Physical Education (Selection Grade)*
d. *Evidence of organizing competitions and conducting coaching camps of at least two weeks duration.*
e. *Evidence of having produced good performance teams/athletes for competitions like State/National/Inter University/Combined University etc.*
f. *Candidates has to complete 12 minutes Run/Walk test norms as prescribed by the UGC.*

VIOLATION OF RULES & REGULATIONS WHILE APPOINTING DR.K.MURUGAVEL AS A PROFESSOR/DIRECTOR IN THE DEPARTMENT OF PHYSICAL EDUCATION, BHARATHIAR UNIVERSITY IN THE YEAR 2008.

i. Dr.K.Murugavel is not having 10 years of experience as University Deputy Director of Physical Education.
ii. Dr.K.Murugavel is not having 15 years of experience as University Assistant Director of Physical Education (Selection Grade)
iii. Dr.K.Murugavel is not having 15 years as college Director of Physical Education (Selection Grade) experience.

FURTHER:-

i. *RTI question No.1 FromAyyandar Janaki Ammal College of Arts & Science, Sivakasi, Tamilnadu. Reveals that his previous experience only 17 years and 4 months.*
ii. *RTI Question No.2 Reveals that he had only UG experience 17 years and 4 months.*
iii. *RTI Question No.7 Reveals that he did not have Research Experience.*
iv. *RTI Question No.12 Reveals that B.Sc Physical Education course is not a professional course.*

RTI QUESTIONS AND ANSWER FROM BHARATHIAR UNIVERSITY

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

i. RTI Question No: (8) a Reveals that he did not have PG Teacher Experience (Ten Years).

Though the facts stated supra was brought to the university authorities on several occasions, Dr.KMurugavel is continuing in the said post and no action whatsoever has been taken till date in this regards. This would set a bad precedent in fututr appointments and lead to complex situation. No prejudice would be caused to Dr.KMurugavel if he is removed from the said post, as admittedly he did not possess the requisite qualifications.

This at any rate requires action and removal from the post occupied by Dr.K.Murugavel for the betterment of Physical Education field &Bharathiar University.

If the authorities is not taking action against Dr.K.Murugavel, Professor/Director. We will file a case in the High Court against the competent authorities.

He submitted the following documents along with affidavit :-

1. Photocopy of letter dated 09.01.2009 addressed to the Principal, A.N.J.A. College, Sivakasi issued by Madurai Kamaraj University.
2. Photocopies of letters dated 06.03.2014, 12.06.2014 addressed to Ms.G.Hemalatha issued by Public Information Officer, Bharathiar University.
3. Photocopy of Proceedings of Recruitment of Faculty Positions dated 12.01.2008 issued by Bharathiar University
4. Photocopies of U.G.C. Notification on revision of Pay scales, minimum qualification for Appointments of Teachers.
5. Photocopies of NCTE norms 2007 Appendix -9.
6. Photocopy of letter addressed to The Vice-Chancellor, Bharathiar University, Coimbatore-641046 dated 10.07.2014 issued by Under Secretary, UGC, Bahadur Shah Zafar Marg, New Delhi.
7. Photocopy of letter to Under Secretary, UGC, dated 14.07.2014 issued by MHRD, Department of Higher Education.

The NCTE-Hqrs sent a letter to PIO, SRC, NCTE dated 18/23.09.2014 received by SRC on 07.10.2014 regarding information sought by Ms.G.Hemalatha, Coimbatore under RTI Act, 2005.

This office was in receipt of complaint from Sri.K.Sudhakar against Dr.K.Murugavel, Bharathiar University on 16.10.2014 along with original affidavits on the same matter as stated above.

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		The SRC in its 274th meeting held on 30th 31st October, 2014 considered the complaint letter dated 09.09.2014 from Ms.Hemalatha& another complaint letter dated 07.10.2014 from Dr. B. Navaneethan, and advised Southern Regional Office to ask for University's comments. As per the decision of SRC, a letter was issued to the University on 09.12.2014. NCTE Hqrs in its letter dated 16.01.2015/21.01.2015 enclosed a copy of W.P.No.32299/2014 filed by Dr.B.Navaneethan, Director of Physical Education PSG College of Arts and Science Vs. NCTE and others before the High court of Madras received by SRC on 27.01.2015. A letter was addressed to Shri.K.Ramakrishna Reddy, Advocate on 03.02.2015 to defend the case. Again a complaint letter was received from Dr.B.Navaneethan, Director of Physical Education PSG College of Arts and Science on 27.01.2015 against appointment of Dr.K.Murugavel as Professor /Director of Physical EducationBharathiar University. A letter dated 02.02.2015was received from Shri.K.Sudhakar on 05.02.2015 regarding Dr.K.Murugavel, Professor /Director- Bharathiar University Coimbatore, Tamilnadu-Violation of appointment. This office was in receipt of complaint on violation of UGC /NCTE norms while appointing Dr.K. Murugavel as professor /Director of physical education, Bharathiar University received on 14.02.2015. A Reminder was sent to Bahrathiar University on 18.02.2015 to submit their comments on the complaint. The SRC in its 281st meeting held on 19th February, 2015 considered the matter, and advised Southern Regional Office to put up after 3rd March, 2015. This office was in receipt of complaint on violation of norms in the appointment of professor /Director of physical education, Dr.K.Murugavel as Bharathiar University Coimbatore, Tamilnadu remarks submitted from Dr.B.Navaneethan, Ph.D., Director of Physical Education PSG College of Arts and Science, received by SRC on 09.03.2015. In the meantime, NCTE Hqrs forwarded a copy of complaint dated 09.09.2014 by Ms. G .Hemalatha, Advocate & Notary Public R/o1644 Avinashi Road, Hope College, Peelamedu, District-Coimbatore, Tamilnadu against Bharthiyar University, Coimbatore, Tamilnadu received by SRC on 23.03.2015.

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

The SRC in its 284th meeting held on 09th -10th April 2015 considered the matter and decided as under:

1. The complaints are against the V.C. about alleged irregular appointments made by him. They may, therefore, be sent to the State Govt. of Tamilnadu for appropriate action.
2. NCTE (HQrs) may be informed accordingly.

As per the decision of SRC, a letter was sent to NCTE-Hqrs on 12.05.2015.The Bharathiar University submitted its written representation dated 17.07.2015, received by SRC on 24.07.2015 stated as follows:

"I am, by direction to inform you that the complaints made by the following people are completely irrelevant to the facts that they claim.

I. Ms. Hemalatha, Advocate & Notary Public, Peelamedu, Coimbatore
II. Dr.B. Navneethan, Director of Physical Education, PSG College of Arts & Science, Coimbatore &
III. Mr.K.Sudhakar, Gold Wins, Coimbatore

They are claiming that this university did not follow the NCTE Norms 2007 in the appointment of Director/Professor in the department of Physical Education in this University.

The NCTE Norms 2007 was not in enactment when this university called for the post of Director/Professor in the department of Physical Education. This University released the advertisement for the above said post on 03.10.2007 on leading daily News Papers vide E1/207/13098-17A.

It is to be noted that the NCTE norms 2007 was not in enactment during 03.10.2007, The National Council for Teacher Education (Recognition Norms & Procedure) Regulations, 2007 was published in the Gazette of India : extra ordinary, Part-III Section –IV on Monday the 10th December 2007, almost months after the release of the advertisement.

In the notification released by the NCTE on 27th November, 2007 in this regard, it has been also stated as below, under the S.No.1->"Short Title and Commencement that:

"They shall come into force with effect from the date of their publication in the official Gazette"

The copy of the above said Gazette Notification is enclosed for your perusal (Annexurel).

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

The advertisement was released for the post of Director/Professor of Physical Education on 03.10.2007 vide advertisement No.E1/2007/13098-17A and in the instructions to the candidates who wish to apply for the post of Professor/ Director the qualified criteria was given as below:

> *"An eminent scholar with published work of high quality, actively engaged in research, with*
>
> *10 years of experience in postgraduate teaching and /or experience in research at the University / National Level Instructions, including experience of guiding research at doctoral level.*
>
> *OR*
>
> *An outstanding scholar with established reputation who has made significant contribution to the knowledge.*
>
> *In exceptional cases, the teachers with 15 years of UGC Teaching/ research experience could also be considered".*

The candidates whoever satisfied the above conditions had been declared eligible by the Scrutiny Committee was called for the interview, the committee had shortlisted the present Director/ Professor too.

The advertisement and its related instructions & qualification criteria have been also approved by the Syndicate in its meeting held on 04.10.2007 under other items as follows:

> *"13. The advertisement issued for filling up faculty positions was reported and the same was acepoted. The vice-chancellor was authorized to constitute appropriate selection committees for the same. Further permitted to conduct interviews through video conferencing for foreign applicants"*

NCTE Norms under 4.3, Terms and conditions also states that:

> *"The appointment shall be made on the basis of recommendation of the selection committees constituted as per the policy of the central/concerned State Government / Affiliating University/Board whichever is applicable"*

The interview committee held on 04th January 2008 considered of the Vice-Chancellor of Bharathiar University, Nominee of the Chancellor-Governor, HOD, Dean and three academic experts nominated by the Syndicate from among the panel who were experts in the subject in the positions of Dean,

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

HOD, Directors of the various Universities completely examined his application, himself through the interview, other credentials and identified Dr.K.Murugavel as suitable and recommended him for the appointment as Professor/Director in the department of Physical Education and the same has been approved by the Syndicate.

These are the facts associated with the complaints against the appointment of Dr.K.Murugavel as Director/ Professor in the University.

The SRC in its 292nd meeting held on 29th-30th September, 2015 considered the matter and decided as under:

- **Any appointment has to be w.r.t. currently notified qualifications and not w.r.t. the qualifications cited in the advertisement. The University's stand in this case is not correct. They may be asked to rectify the mistake.**

As per the decision of SRC, a letter was sent to the University on 27.11.2015. The University submitted its written representation on 25.06.2016 stated as under:

"With references to the above, I am by direction, to inform you that, a court case is pending vide WP.No. 32299 of 2014 filed by Dr. B. Navaneethan, Director of Physical Education, PSG College of Arts and Science, Coimbatore in the Honb'le High Court of Judicature at Madras in connection with the appointment of Professor/Director of the Department of Physical Education in this University.

It is not feasible to take any further action till the outcome of the judgment of end of the court case, Since the entire matter is under judicial consideration as per the above Writ petition.

This is for your kind information".

The SRC in its 324th meeting held on 07th – 08th December 2016, and the committee considered the matter and decided as under:-

1. **There is no official communication either from the Court or from our Lawyer about any petition filed. There is also no 'stay' order of the court. We can not go only by a letter received from the University.**
2. **Issue a Show Cause Notice to the University to comply with our order to rectify the mistake as decided.**

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		As per the decision of SRC, a show cause notice issued to the University on 22.12.2016 and the University has submitted reply on 13.01.2017 and stating as under:- *"I bring to your kind notice that there is no such post as the principal of the Bharathiar University. It is therefore not clear as to whether the show cause notice is intended for Dr. K. murugavel or to the proper person if necessary later after the disposal of the writ petition NO.32299 of 2014. It may be noted that no final orders have been passed on the said writ petition. The said writ petition has been filed by Dr. Navaneethan and National Council for Teacher education has been impleaded as the third respondent. From your Show Council Notice, it transpires that you have also engaged your counsel Sri. K.Ramakrishna Reddy. The Vice-Chancellor of Bharathiar University is the fourth respondent and the Registrar is the fifth respondent. Dr. Murugavel is the sixth respondent. The matter is sub-judice. The above said writ is quowarranto, with a prayer seeking permission of the court, namely the Hon'ble High Court to issue a Show Cause Notice. While so, issuing of Show Cause Notice at this juncture by you is premature. The parties to the writ petition will have to wait for the result of the case.* *I therefore, request you to kindly close this Show Cause Notice for the present and await the decision of the Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Madras, as and as when necessary. A detailed reply will be sent to you depending on the outcome of the writ petition."* **The Committee considered the above matter and asked SRO to put up the matter tomorrow.**
35.	AOS00435 B.Ed 3 Units St. Mary's College of Education, East Godavari, Andhra Pradesh	SRC-NCTE granted recognition for offering B.Ed course to **St. Mary's College of Education, Sarpavaram, Kakinada, East Godavari District-533005, Andhra Pradesh** on **21.07.2000**. On 31.12.2014, letters were sent to all existing institutions regarding notification of new Regulations 2014 seeking consent on their willingness for fulfilling the revised norms and standards before 31.10.2015. The institution has submitted affidavit for offering B.Ed course with an intake of 160 on 10.02.2015. Accordingly, revised order was issued to the institution on 12.05.2015 with a condition that the institution has to submit revalidated Fixed Deposited Receipts towards Endowment and Reserve Funds in a joint account with the SRC before 30 June, 2015. The institution has submitted its written representation on 30.06.2015 along with some documents& photo copy of FDR's of Rs. 5 Lakhs, 5 Lakhs, 5 Lakhs, 3 lakhs & 2 Lakhs. A court notice has received from the Hon'ble High Court of Hyderabad in W.P.No.

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

39167 of 2015 regarding appear before Court on 23.12.2015 at 10.30 a.m. Accordingly, a letter was issued to the advocate on 22.12.2015 along with the brief of the institution to appear before the Court on 23.12.2015 and defend the case.

Now, a Court order received from the Hon'ble High Court of Hyderabad in W.P.No. 39167 of 2015 stated as follows:

Order:

"There shall be interim directions directing the respondents 2 & 3 to dispose of the representations dated 29.05.2015 and 19.08.2015 submitted by the petitioners within four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order".

The SRC in its 299th meeting held on 20th – 21st January, 2016 the committee considered the matter and decided as ***"Noted"***.

Now, the institution has submitted its written representation on 09.01.2017 stating as follows:

> ***"With reference cited above 1 and 2 we have been submitted a request letter regarding the struggle of 50 students intake i.e. 1 Unit in the place of 150 students i.e. 3 basic unit of St. Mary's College of Education, Sarpavaram, Kakinada, Andhra Pradesh. We have made number of times conversations on telephone also. Since that time we had been requesting the same orders from you.***
>
> ***So far we don't have any admissions in B.Ed during the academic year 2016-17 to our college. Strictly we are bringing this to your notice, due to the issued orders 150 intake by you. This is a hurdle to us instead of 150intake. Kindly issue orders for single unit i.e. 50intake. Respected Registrar of Adikavi Nannaya University has been insisting to bring the orders of 50 intake from you for your information. And also putting high pressure in this issue (Orders by you 50 intake) to bring the orders of the intake 50 i.e. 1 unit. Hence, we humble request you for the issue of above said orders at your kind earliest pursuance."***

The Committee considered the above matter and decided as under:-

1. **This is a RPRO case.**
2. **They have B.Ed. with an intake capacity of 3 basic units. Now, they want to reduce from 3 units to 1 unit.**
3. **Their request is accepted.**
4. **Withdraw recognition for B.Ed.(2 units) w.e.f. 2016-17.**
5. **We have to ensure that the B.Ed.(1 unit) will be fully in accordance with 2014 Regulations.**
6. **Obtain all relevant documents and process for issuing a fresh FR**

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		under the 2014 Regulation. This will include the latest approved Faculty list.
36.	APS08756 B.Ed 2 Units Hindu College of Education, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh	**Hindu College & High Schools Council, Guntur District, Andhra Pradesh** submitted an application to the Southern Regional Committee of NCTE for grant of recognition for conducting B.Ed course of one year from the academic session 2008-2009 with an annual intake of 100 students and was granted recognition on **20.10.2008**. On 27.10.2014, a letter dated 25.09.2014 is received by this office from the Registrar, Acharya Nagarjuna University, Nagarjunanagar-522510 enclosing a staff list approved for the institution for the academic year 2013-2014 stating that:- *"The University has verified from the records maintained by the aforesaid College found that the teaching staff members as shown in the enclosed list with qualifications shown against the names for B.Ed course for the Academic year 2013-14 are now working in Hindu College of Education, Opp. Sri Venkateshwara Vignana Mandiram Main Road, Guntur Distrist-522003, Andhra Pradesh."* It was observed from the staff list that: 1. *Lecturer in Telugu and English have crossed age of superannuation* 2. *Lecturer in fine Arts is not appointed* The SRC in its 275th meeting held during 1st to 2nd December, 2014 considered the matter and decided to defer for consideration with respect to new Regulations that are being notified by the NCTE-Hqrs, further advised SRO to put up in the next meeting. In the mean time on 22.01.2015, an affidavit is received from the institution expressing adherence to Regulations, 2014. The SRC in its 284th meeting held on 09th – 10th April, 2015 considered the matter and decided as under: *1. Asst. Prof (Telugu) and Asst. Prof (Eng) have crossed the superannuation age.* *2. Asst. Prof (Arts) not appointed."* *3. Issue Show Cause Notice accordingly.* As per the decision of SRC, a Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution on 28.04.2015. The institution has submitted reply to the notice on 11.05.2015. The institution had submitted an affidavit expressing willingness towards adherence to Regulations, 2014. Accordingly, revised recognition order was issued to the institution on **26.05.2015** with an intake of two units of 50 students each with a condition that the institution has not maintained/revalidated the Fixed Deposited Receipts towards Endowment and Reserve Funds. It is also found that a Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution on 28.04.2015 and institution has submitted a reply on

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		11.05.2015. The revised order is issued subject to the decision of the Committee with respect to the reply submitted by the institution on 11.05.2015. The SRC in its 290th meeting held on 10th & 11th July, 2015 considered the show cause notice reply and decided as under: • **The rectification of deficiency in the Faculty list cited is not acceptable. The appointments shown are not in accordance with the 2014 Regulations, which do not admit of re-employment that are not in conformity with the State Policy on the subject.** Accordingly, a letter was issued to the institution on 14.09.2015. The institution has submitted its written representation on 01.10.2015 The SRC in its 297th meeting held on 27th - 28th December, 2015, considered the matter and decided as under: ***"Reply to our SCN is satisfactory; and is accordingly accepted. Close the case."*** The institution has submitted documents as per revised order on 11.09.2015. The SRC in its 314th meeting held on 27th to 28th May 2016, decided as under: ***"For cases of B.Ed (2 Units) in the existing institution, where RPRO, has been issued, we have to cause inspection to check adherence to the 2014 Regulations. This action will have to be completed by July 2016 so that revised Formal Recognition can be issued w.e.f. 2016-17 to enable them to make admission in time.*** ***Action to check the documents in these cases (about 1885 in number) will take time. Instead of Waiting for that action to be completed for placing them before the SRC, to save time, VT Inspections can straightaway be ordered. VT Inspection Report can be considered along with examination of the documents.*** ***Regional Director is authorized to initiate action accordingly. The institution concerned may be alerted about such action so that they will be prepared to receive the Visiting Teams. They may also be advised to keep in readiness latest approved Faculty Lists for Submission to the VTs."*** As per the decision of SRC Inspection intimation was sent to the institution on 14.06.2016. The institution has submitted processing fees on 19.07.2016 of Rs. 1,50,000/- DD bearing no. 177023, dated 15.07.2016.

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		Now, the Institution has submitted return representation on 07.03.2017. It stated as under: *"We have addressed a letter under reference no.2 requesting you to accord permission to have 1 basic unit consisting 50 students i.e. 38 students through "A" category and 12 students through "B" category from 2017-19 batch admission to our B.Ed. College. We also sent a mail to Mr. Satishprakash Shukla Who is one of the inspection committee members informing him that we are switching over to 1 basic unit and that we already addressed a letter to you in this regard and that there is no need to have inspection of the college. We have also enquired the status of our letter in the office and came to know that a decision will be taken after placing our letter before the committee meeting of NCTE Board. So far, we have not received any communication in this regard.* *We are enclosing herewith the copy of the letter dt.22.09.2016 addressed to you as well as the copy of the mail sent to Mr.Satishprakash Shukla. I am deputing SK.Saidavali and K.Ravikumar faculty members of our Hindu College of Education to know the details. Hence, I request you to inform the decision taken in this regard and oblige."* **The Committee considered the above matter and decided as under:-** **1. This is a RPRO case.** **2. They had 2 units under the 2014 Regulations. We had, therefore, ordered VT inspection to check adequacy of built-up area.** **3. Now they want reduction from 2 units to 1 unit.** **4. Their request is accepted. The intake capacity of B.Ed. is reduced from 2 units to 1 unit.** **5. Withdraw recognition for B.Ed. 2nd unit w.e.f. 2016-17.** **6. We have to ensure that the B.Ed.(1 unit) will be fully in accordance with 2014 Regulations.** **7. Obtain all relevant documents and process for issuing a fresh FR under the 2014 Regulation. This will include the latest approved Faculty list.**
37.	SRCAPP14099 B.Ed 2 Units Amaravathi College	**Praveen Educational Society, Plot No. 4-5, Medikonduru Road & City, Medikonduru Post, Taluk & City, Guntur District-522438, Andhra Pradesh** applied for grant of recognition to **Amaravathi College of Education, Plot/Khasara No.281, Medikondur Street & Village, Medikonduru Post, Taluk & City, Guntur District-522438, Andhra Pradesh** for offering **B.Ed** course for two years duration for the academic year **2016-17** under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee , NCTE

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

	of Education, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh	through online on **27.06.2015**. The institution submitted the hard copy of the application on **08.07.2015**. The application was processed as per NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedures) Regulations, 2014 notified by NCTE on 01.12.2014. A letter to State Government for recommendation was sent on 16.07.2015, followed by Reminder-I on 31.08.2015 and Reminder-II on 19.10.2015. A letter to institution for furnishing information on 'Composite' character on 31.08.2015. The institution sent reply for Composite character, received by SRC on 18.09.2015. The Scrutiny of application for causing inspection was prepared and placed before SRC in its 293rd meeting held on 29th to 31st October, 2015 and the Committee considered the matter and decided as under: **1. They have asked for a capacity of 100 which means 2 units. But, the built up area is inadequate for 2 units.** **2. The applicant may be asked to decided whether they will opt for one unit; or, whether they will increase the built-up area to qualify for 2 units; or, whether they will drop the application.** **3. Apprise the applicant of these deficiencies for necessary action.** **4. Ask the VT to check in particular these points.** **5. Ask the VT to collect all the relevant documents.** **6. Cause (Composite) inspection accordingly.** The institution sent a letter on 26.12.2015 stating as follows: ***"I bring to your kind notice that as composite college we had applied B.Ed & D.El.Ed in one campus, but due to un avoidable circumstances' construction is not completed.*** ***Hence I request you to kindly postpone B.Ed inspection after March 2016. We are ready for D.El.Ed inspection. Kindly consider our appeal."*** The SRC in its 298th meeting held on 08th -10th January 2016 considered the matter and decided as under: • **This is a case of composite inspection. It is not possible, therefore, to split the inspection as requested by them. Inform accordingly; and, go ahead with the VT Inspection as planned.** Accordingly, as per decision of SRC, inspection intimation letter was sent to

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

the institution on 16.01.2016. The inspection has not been conducted so far.

The SRC in its 324th meeting held on 07th & 08th December, 2016 considered the matter and decided as under:

1. **The VT Inspection was once postponed already w.r.t. their request.**
2. **They have now not responded to our letter.**
3. **Let us write again giving the date(s) of inspection and cause inspection.**

As per decision of SRC, inspection intimation and names of VT Members were generated through online VT module. Inspection of the institution was conducted on 12.01.2017. VT report along with documents and CD received on 19.01.2017.

The SRC in its 329th meeting held on 06th-07th February 2017 considered the matter and decided as under:

1. Title is clear. Enough land is there.
2. LUC is in order.
3. **EC is there. Latest EC is required.**
4. **BP is in order. Built-up area shown is 4010 sq.mts.**
5. **BCC is in order. Built-up area is adequate. There is a discrepancy in the Sy.No... instead of 281 it is shown as 181. Ask them to get it corrected.**
6. **FDRs not given.**
7. Issue LOI for B.Ed.(2 units) and D.El.Ed.(2 units).
8. **Ask them to submit corrected BCC and latest EC along with the faculty list.**
9. **They should give latest by 28.2.2017 to be able to get FR w.e.f. 2017-18.**

Accordingly, as per decision of SRC, LOI and information letter was sent for both courses on 10.02.2017.

The SRC in its 332nd meeting held on 28th Feb to 03rd March 2017 considered the matter and decided as under:

1. **No reply to our LOI has been received.**
2. **Let us give them some more time.**
3. **Put up 2.3.2017.**

The institution has not submitted LOI reply till date.

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

The SRC in its 332nd meeting held on 28th Feb & 04th March, 2017 considered the matter and decided as under:

1. **There is no reply yet to the LOI issued by us on 10.02.2017.**
2. **Give further time till 23.03.2017.**
3. **Put up in the meeting on 24.03.2017.**

Accordingly, as per decision of SRC letter was sent on 08.03.2017. The institution has not submitted reply till date.

The SRC in its 334th meeting held on 30th & 31st March, 2017 considered the matter and decided as under;

1. **No reply to LOI has been received even now.**
2. **Give further time till 10.04.2017.**
3. **Put up in the next meeting on 11.04.2017.**

Accordingly, as per decision of SRC a letter was sent to the institution on 06.04.2017.

Now, the institution submitted LOI reply along with documents on 10.04.2017 and stating as follows;

Sl. No.	Particulars	Reply to LOI
1	**Name of the Institution**	Amaravathi College of Education, Plot/Khasara No.281, Medikondur Street & Village, Medikonduru Post, Taluk & City, Guntur District-522438, Andhra
2	**Date of Issue of LOI**	10.02.2017
3	**Date of receipt of LOI reply**	10.04.2017
4	**Date of faculty list approved by the University**	Date not mentioned Approved by Registrar, Acharya Nagarjuna University, Nagarjuna Nagar, Guntur.
5	**Service certificate of Principal/ Lecturers**	Praveen College of Education, Prakasam District, Andhra Pradesh (From January 2007 to January 2017).
6	**Original FDRs for Rs, 5 lakhs and Rs. 7 lakhs in Joint A/c for 5 years**	Submitted in original (Rs. 7 lakhs and Rs. 5 lakhs)

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

7.	**Web Site Address**	Not mentioned		
8.	**Programmes considered for composite status**	**Code**	**Course**	**Intake**
		SRCAPP14100	**D.El.Ed**	**Proposed course**

Remarks:

Website address not mentioned.

As per 329th SRC decision the institution submitted BCC and EC and stating as follows:

Sl. No	Deficiency pointed by SRC	Institution written representation	Remarks	
1	**EC is there. Latest EC is required.**	**Latest E.C submitted**	**The institution submitted photocopy of EC**	
			Name of the Society/Trust /Institution	Praveen Educational Society
			Survey/Plot/ Khasara No. and Location	281 – Medikonduru Village
			Search for the Period	03.01.1983 to 03.04.2017
			Extent of Land	0.99 Acre
2	**BCC is in order. Built-up area is adequate. There is a discrepancy in the Sy.No... instead of 281 it is shown as 181. Ask them to get it corrected.**	**Rectified B.C.C. submitted**	**The institution submitted photocopy of BCC**	
			Name and address of Society/Trust/Institution	Praveen Educational Society
			Survey/Plot/ Khasara Nos. and location	281 at Medikonduru Village
			Total Built up area	GF – 1336.72 Sq.mts FF – 1336.72 Sq.mts SF – 1336.72

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

	Sq.mts **Total – 4010.16 Sq.mts**
Type of Roofing	RCC
Purpose for which building is being used/proposed to be used	Educational Institutions
Date of issue	06.05.2015
Name and designation of approving authority	S.Vijayanand Assistant Engineer P.R.I. Sub Division

Remarks:

- **Website address not given.**
- **Original FDRs for Rs. 7 lakhs and Rs. 5 lakhs submitted one unit.**
- **Same photocopies of EC submitted. The transaction is only upto 2014. Whereas, original upto date EC to be submitted. Not approved by Subregistrar/Notary.**
- **Same old photocopy of BCC submitted. Whereas, only Sy.No. has been changed.**
- **As per original faculty list, the seal of the College and verified of the Dean is not attested.**

NOTE:

The institution not submitted LOI reply for SRCAPP14100/D.El.Ed course.

The Committee considered the above matter and decided as under:-

1. **Latest EC is given. Only photocopy. Obtain the original for our record.**
2. **BCC-Photocopy of the same BCC has been given with only the Sy.No. corrected. A duly corrected and duly approved BCC is required.**
3. **Faculty list is in order.**

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		4. Website address to be given. **5. Issue a Notice accordingly.** **6. Put up on 20.4.17.**
38.	SRCAPP14215 BA.B.Ed 2 Units Jenney's College of Education, Tiruchirapalli, Tamilnadu	**SMT Rani Adaikalaraj Educational Charitable Trust, No. 7, Arokiasamy Pillai Street Road, Manigandam Village, Crawford Post, Srirangam Taluk, Tiruchirapalli City & District-620009, Tamilnadu** applied for grant of recognition to **Jenney's College of Education, No. 53/6B4, 53/6B5, 52/1A, Kallikudi Street & Village, Ramjeenagar Post, Srirangam Taluk, Tiruchirapalli City & District-620009, Tamilnadu** for offering **B.A B.Ed** course for **four** years duration for the academic year **2016-17** under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on **28.06.2015**. The institution has submitted the hard copy of the application on **14.07.2015**. The application was processed as per NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedures) Regulations, 2014 notified by NCTE on 01.12.2014. A copy of application was sent to State Government recommendation on 21.07.2015. Reminder was sent on 05.04.2016. Sub-clause (3) of clause 5 of Regulations, 2014 under Manner of making application and time limit stipulates as under:- ***"(3) The application shall be submitted online electronically alongwith the processing fee and scanned copies of required documents such as no objection certificate issued by the concerned affiliating body. While submitting the application, it has to be ensured that the application is duly signed by the applicant on every page, including digital signature at appropriate place at the end of the application."*** Sub- clause (2) of clause 7 of Regulations, 2014 for processing of applications stipulates as under:- "(2) The application shall be summarily rejected under one or more of the following circumstance- **a) *Failure to furnish the application fee, as prescribed under rule 9***

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

of the National Council for Teacher Education Rules, 1997 on or before the date of submission of online application;

b) ***Failure to submit print out of the applications made online along with the land documents as required under sub-regulation (4) of Regulation 5 within fifteen days of the submission of the online application. "***

Sub-Regulation (4) of Regulation 5 reads as under:-

"While submitting the application online a copy of the registered land document issued by the competent authority, indicating that the society or institution applying for the programme possesses land on the date of application, shall be attached along with the application."

On careful perusal of the original file of the institution and other documents, the application of the institution is deficient as per Regulations, 2014 as under:-

1. **The institution has not submitted hard copy of on-line application within 15 days as per the regulation 2014. (late by 2 days).**
2. **The institution has not submitted No Objection Certificate.**
3. **The applicant not signed every page of the hard copy of on-line application submitted by the institution.**

The SRC in its 291st meeting held on 20th to 21st August 2015, the committee considered the matter, and after careful perusal of the original application for B.A.B.Sc. course for the session 2016-17 submitted on-line on 28.06.2015 and hard copy on 14.07.2015, decided to *Summarily Reject the application* as per 7 2(b) of Regulations 2014 on the following ground:

- **The institution has not submitted hard copy of on-line application within 15 days as per the regulation 2014.**

Accordingly, as per the decision of SRC rejection order was issued to the institution on **15.10.2015.**

NCTE-Hqrs letter dated 14.01.2016 received on 19.01.2016 stating as follows:

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

"....the directions of chairperson NCTE, as conveyed, in this office vide above mentioned letter dated 15.07.2014, extending the date of acceptance of the hardcopy of the applications for 2016-17, up to 15.07.2015 is reiterated for compliance."

The institution has submitted its written representation along with NOC issued by TNTEU dated 20.01.2016 received on 18.02.2016.

As per the direction the application was processed and placed before SRC in its 304th meeting held on 19th to 20th February 2016, the committee considered the matter, documents submitted by the institution along with hard copy of application and decided as under:

1. **They have already B.Ed.**
2. **NOC is given.**
3. **They should indicate whether they want B.A.B.Ed or B.Sc.B.Ed.**
4. **BP, BCC and LUC are not furnished.**
5. **Cause Composite Inspection.**
6. **Ask VT to collect all relevant documents. Especially BP, BCC and LUC.**
7. **VT should check on contiguity of location of the programme.**
8. **VT should also ascertain whether they want BA.B.Sc or B.Sc.B.Ed.**

As per the decision of SRC inspection intimation was sent to the institution on 16.03.2016.

The Inspection of the institution was conducted on 26.03.2016 and VT report along with documents received on 28.03.2016

The SRC in its 308th meeting held on 28th-30th March, 2016 considered the VT inspection report and all other relevant documents of the institution and decided as under:

1. **Issue LOI for B.A;B.Ed (2 units)**
2. **FDRs in joint account should be furnished**
3. **Only if these are given on or before 02.05.16 can issue of Formal Recognition w.e.f.2016-17 academic year be possible.**

As per the decision of SRC, Letter of intent (LOI) was issued to the

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

institution on 05.04.2016.

The institution has not replied to the LOI even after the stipulated period of two months.

The SRC in its 320th meeting held on 19th & 20 Sep, 2016 considered the matter and decided as under:-

- ***The institution has not given its reply within the 60 days time available to it. If they had given their reply and if it was found to be satisfactory, they would have been given recognition, for the course applied and only w.e.f 2017-18. In other words, they have not suffered , any irreparable damage by their failure to reply so far. Taking a lenient view, therefore, this Committee is inclined to give them further time till 31 December 16 so that, in case they give their reply at least during the extended time-limit, their case can still be considered w.e.f 2017-18. Accordingly, the institution is given further time till 31.12.2016 to give reply to the LOI issued on 05.04.2016.***

As per the decision of the SRC, a letter was issued to the institution on 15.10.2016 with the direction to submit reply before 31.12.2016.

The institution has submitted representation on 30.12.2016 stating as under:-

> ***"With reference cited to the above we got the LOI from SRC NCTE Bangalore. Regarding this matter we already submitted, a proposal for staff approval to the Tamilnadu Teacher Education University, Chennai still we are not getting reply from university. It is in process we are getting staff approval from TNTEU Chenni January 2017. <u>We kindly request you to give us appropriate time extension for staff approval submission to start B.A, B.Ed course 2017-18".</u>***

The same matter was placed before SRC in its 329th meeting held on 19th – 20th January, 2017 and the Committee considered and decided as under:-

1. **LOI was issued in April 16.**
2. **They have still not given the reply. But, they have at least moved the TNTEU for approval of the faculty list.**
3. **Give them time till 10 Feb. 17.**

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		4. Put up in the meeting on 12 Feb. 17. As per the decision of SRC, a letter was sent to the institution on 25.01.2017. Further on 10.02.2017 the institution has requested for extension of time limit for submission of faculty list which was received through E-mail on 11.02.2017. The same matter was placed before SRC in its 330th meeting held on 12th – 13th February, 2017 and the Committee considered and decided as under:- **1. LOIs for B.A.B.Ed(2 units) was issued on 05.04.2016.** **2. They have not yet given a substantive reply.** **3. They want time till 15.02.2017.** **4. Give time accordingly.** **5. Put up on 22.02.17.** The institution has submitted the LOI reply on 20.02.2017, along with documents. The SRC, in its 331st meeting held on 22nd February, 2017 considered the matter and decided as under:- **1. Replace Palaniswamy with Pedagogy subject lecturer.** **2. Need to appoint one more Asst. Prof. for Perspectives.** **3. LOI – Notice.** As per the decision of the SRC, LOI notice was issued to the institution on 23.02.2017. The institution has submitted reply on 02.03.2017. The SRC, in its 332nd meeting held on 28th February, to 3rd March, 2017 considered the matter and decided as under:- **1. The reply is only partially satisfactory:** **I. Appointment of a replacement Asst. Prof.(Pedagogy) is acceptable.** **II. The requirement to appoint one more Asst. Prof.(Persp.) is not fully met. The subject specialization of the Asst. Prof. concerned is not disclosed.** **2. The FDRs given are valid only for one year. They need to corrected to be valid for 5 years.** Accordingly, as per the decision of SRC, a letter send to the institution on 09.03.2017.

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

The institution has not submitted reply so far.

The SRC in its 334th meeting held on 30th to 31st March, 2017 the committee considered the matter and decided as under:-

1. **The LOI Notice was issued on 9.3.17. There has been no reply so far.**
2. **Give further time till 18.4.17.**
3. **Put up on 19.4.17.**

As per the decision of the SRC, a letter was issued to the institution on 06.04.2017, Reply to the SCN was received from the institution on 11.04.2017 and stating as under:-

Sl.	Deficiency Pointed out in the SCN	Reply of the institution	Details of the documents submitted		
1	The requirement to appoint one more Asst. Prof.(Persp.) is not fully met. The subject specialization of the Asst.	We appointed Mr.P.Vijay Vardhan MA.,M.Ed Sociology, (Assistant professor) with	The institution has submitted copy of Mr.P.Vijay Vardhan Appointment order, Marks sheet & Certificate.		
2	The FDRs given are valid only for one year. They need to corrected to be valid for 5 years.	We Process to correct FDR for 5 year	**Colour Photocopy of FDRs submitted.**		
				7 Lakhs + 7 Lakhs	**5 Lakhs + 5 Lakhs**
			FDR / A/c Number	708118 708119	708117 708116
			Whether in singl	joint A/c	joint A/c

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

				e or joint A/c		
				Date of issue	27.05.2015	27.05.2015
				Date of Maturity	27.05.2020	27.05.2020
				Name of issuing	South Indian Bank	South Indian

Remarks :-

- **The institution has submitted copy of Mr.P.Vijay Vardhan Appointment order, Marks sheet & Certificate.**
- **The institution has submitted Staff profile approved by Registrar Tamilnadu Teacher Education University on 05.04.2013.**
- **The institution has submitted colour Photocopy of FDRs Rs. 5+5 Lakhs & 7+7 Lakhs.**

The Committee considered the above matter and decided as under:-

1. **The compliance reply is satisfactory.**
2. **Issue FR for B.A.B.Ed.(2 units) w.e.f. 2017-18.**

39.	SRCAPP3011 B.Ed – DE 500 Institute of distance Education, University of Madras, Chennai, Tamilnadu	**Institute of Distance Education, University of Madras, Plot No. 133/137, Wallajah Road, Chepauk Village and Taluk, Triplicane Post, Chennai City and District-600005, Tamil Nadu** applied for grant of recognition to **Institute of Distance Education University of Madras, Khasara No.3173, Plot No. 133/137, Chepauk Village and Taluk, Triplicane Post, Chennai City and District-600005, Tamil Nadu** for offering **B.Ed-Distance mode** of two years duration for the academic year 2016-17 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on 30.05.2015. The institution submitted the hard copy of the application on 22.06.2015.

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		The application was processed as per NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedures) Regulations, 2014 notified by NCTE on 01.12.2014. A letter to State Government for recommendation was sent on 25.06.2015, and Reminder-I on 11.11.2016. Sub-clause (2) of clause 7 of Regulations, 2014 for processing of applications stipulates as under:- *"(2) The application shall be summarily rejected under one or more of the following circumstance-* ***(c) Failure to furnish the application fee, as prescribed under rule 9 of the National Council for Teacher Education Rules, 1997 on or before the date of submission of online application;*** ***(d) Failure to submit print out of the applications made online along with the land documents as required under sub-regulation (4) of Regulation 5 within fifteen days of the submission of the online application".*** *Sub-regulation (4) of Regulation 5 reads as under:-* ***"While submitting the application online a copy of the registered land document issued by the competent authority, indicating that the society or institution applying for the programme possesses land on the date of application, shall be attached along with the application."*** Sub-clause (3) of clause 5 of Regulations, 2014 under Manner of making application and time limit stipulates as under:- ***"(3) The application shall be submitted online electronically along with the processing fee and scanned copies of required documents such as no objection certificate issued by the concerned affiliating body. While submitting the application, it has to be ensured that the application is duly signed by the applicant on every page, including digital signature at appropriate place at the end of the application."*** On careful perusal of the original file of the institution and other documents, the application of the institution is deficient as per Regulations, 2014 as under:- • The institution has not submitted hard copy of application within 15 days

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		of online submission. • The application is not duly signed by the applicant on all pages as per Sub-section (3) of Section 5 of Regulations, 2014. The SRC in its 291st meeting held on 20th -21st August, 2015 considered the matter, and after careful perusal of the original application for B.Ed (DE) course for the session 2016-17 submitted on-line on 30.05.2015 and hard copy on 22.06.2015 decided to **Summarily Reject the application as per clause 7 2(b) of Regulations 2014 on the following ground:** • **The institution has not submitted hard copy of application within 15 days of online submission.** **As per the decision of SRC, rejection order was issued to the institution 13.10.2015.** An email dated 18.01.2016 was received from NCTE Hqrs on 18.01.2016 with request to send original records of the institution along with comments on the appeal. Accordingly, a letter was sent to NCTE Hqrs on 03.02.2016. Letter dated 14.01.2016 was received on 19.01.2016 from NCTE Hqrs regarding Acceptance of hardcopy of applications for 2016-17. The letter stated that ***"I am directed to refer to this office letter even no. dated 15th July, 2015 conveying therein orders of Chairperson in paragraph 4 of the letter that 15th July 2015 will be the last date for submission of hardcopy of application for the academic session 2015-16, irrespective of the date of online submission. In this regard, attention is invited to a subsequent letter dated 7th September, 2015 wherein under the last line of paragraph I, the position as it exists in clause 7 of the Regulations 2014 has been indicated. It is hereby clarified that inclusion of this line was an inadvertent mistake, therefore was not necessary and may be ignored. As such, the directions of the chairperson NCTE, as conveyed in this office vide above mentioned letter dated 15th July 2014, extending the date of acceptance of the hardcopy of the applications for 2016-17, up to 15th July 2015 is reiterated for compliance"*** The SRC in its 300th meeting held on 29th -30th January, 2016 considered the matter and decided as follows:

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

"..keeping in mind the over-all public interest , the committee revised its earlier stand to reject all cases of non-submission or delayed submission of NOC's and decided to reopen and process all such rejected cases by accepting NOCs even now irrespective of their dates of issue".

The University has not submitted No Objection Certificate.

The matter was placed before SRC in its 304th meeting held on 19th & 20th February, 2016, The Committee considered matter and decided as under:

1. **BP is not approved by competent authority.**
2. **BCC to be submitted.**
3. **Ask VT to collect all relevant documents.**
4. **Cause Composite Inspection.**

As per the decision of SRC inspection intimation was sent to the institution and VT members on 17.03.2016. The Inspection of the institution was conducted on 22.03.2016 and VT report along with documents received on 28.03.2016.

The SRC in its 308th meeting held on 28th -30th March 2016, considered the matter and decided as under:

- **Details of study centres not given.**

Accordingly, a letter was sent to the institution on 16.08.2016, The institution has not submitted reply till date.

The SRC in its 323rd meeting held on 16th to 18th November, 2016 considered the matter and decided as follows:

1. **BCC is not yet given.**
2. **Duly approved BP is also not yet given.**
3. **Details of study centres are also not given.**
4. **Other details listed in Cl.9, Appendix 10 of the Regulations are not given.**
5. **Issue SCN accordingly.**

As for the decision of the SRC, Show Cause Notice was issued to the institut 30.11.2016. Reply to the SCN was received from the institution on 26.12.2016.

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

The SRC in its 326th meeting held on 04th & 05th January, 2017 and the committee considered the matter and decided as under :-

1. **BCC is not in the prescribed format.**
2. **Same photocopy of BP is submitted for B.Ed. and D.El.Ed. without any apportionment, demarcation, etc. details.**
3. **Details of clause 9, appendix-10 of Regulations are still not given.**
4. **Issue SCN accordingly.**

As per the decision of the SRC, a show cause Notice was issued to the institution on 18.01.2017. The institution has submitted reply to the SCN on 10.02.2017.

The SRC in its 330th meeting held on 12th to 13th February, 2017 the committee considered the matter and decided as under:-

1. **The BP with details of convenience apportionment and earmarking of built-up area has to be given duly approved by the Competent authority. Only a photocopy has been repeated.**
2. **BCC format is given in the SRC website. Building Completion Certificate should be given in that format duly approved by the competent authority.**
3. **Project documents and study material should be ready before the recognition can be given. Issue a letter accordingly.**

As per the decision of the SRC, a letter was issued to the institution on 14.02.2017. The institution has submitted representation on 03.03.2017.

The SRC in its 332nd meeting held on 28th February to 3rd March, 2017 the committee considered the reply and documents and decided as under:-

1. Details of Study centres are given.
2. But, Study material not yet shown. To say that they will be borrowed from IGNOU is not enough.
3. BP-total plan is approved by the University Engineer. But, earmarking and formal allocation of that space for B.Ed.-DE is requied.
4. BCC is duly approved. But, only a photocopy is given. Original or a certified copy is required.
5. Issue SCN accordingly.

Accordingly, as per the decision of SRC, the Show Cause Notice was issued on 09.03.2017. The institution has not submitted reply so far.

The SRC in its 334th meeting held on 30th & 31st March, 2017 the committee

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

considered the reply and documents and decided as under:-

1. The SCN was issued on 9.3.17. There has been no reply so far.
2. Only a small action remains to be taken after LOI. Give further time till 18.4.17.
3. Put up on 19.4.17.

Accordingly, as per the decision of SRC, a letter sent to the institution on 07.04.2017.

The institution has submitted the show cause notice reply on 30.03.2017 and stated as under:-

Sl. No	Deficiency	Reply of the institution	Details of the documents submitted	
1.	But, Study material not yet shown. To say that they will be borrowed from IGNOU is not enough.	***"The Institute of distance Educatio University of Madras has made necessary*** ***Arrangement to prepare the Lesso materials on its own*** ***and the same will be sent to SRC, NCTE shortly."***	As stated by the University.	
2.	BP-total plan is approved by the University Engineer. But, earmarking and formal allocation of that space for B.Ed.-DE is requied.	***"The Institute of Distance Education, University of*** ***Madras has already forwarded the original plan***	**Photocopy of Building Plan submitted in A4 sheet.**	
			Name and address of Society/Trust/Institution	University of Madras at Madras University Campus.
			Whether Building Plan is for the	-

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

				duly	proposed institution/ course or also for some other TEI/course	
				Earmarked and space	Plot area/land area	**Not Mentioned.**
				allocated for B.Ed., course attested by the University Competent Authorities vide	Total built-up area	**Total = 89829.00 Sq.ft 8348 .00 Sq.Mtr**
				this office letter no. IDE/Eligibility /NCTE/B.Ed.,/2017/63 dated 8.02.2017."	Survey No/ Plot No/ Khasara No.	**Not mentioned.**
					Built up area for the proposed and existing teacher education courses	BF- 17229.00 Sq.ft GF- 17229.00 Sq.ft FF- 18457.00 Sq.ft SF- 18457.00 Sq.ft TF- 18457.00 Sq.ft **Total = 89829.00 Sq.ft 8348**

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

					.00 Sq.Mtr
				Date of approval	**Not Mentioned.**
				Name and designation of approving authority	**Not Mentioned.**
	3.	BCC is duly approved. But, only a photocopy is given. Original or a certified copy is required.	***"The institute of Distance Education, University of Madras has alread forwarded the original Building Completion Certificate as per the format availab in the SRC websit duly attested by t University Competent Authorities vide this office letter no.IDS/Eligibility/I CTE /B.Ed.,/2017/118 dated 28.02.2017."***	**Photocopy of Building Completion Certificate submitted.**	
				Name and address of Society/Trust/Institution	Institute of Distance Education, University of Madras.
				Survey/Plot/ Khasara Nos. and location	R.S.No.3173/1, 3173/2, 3173/3, 3173/4 and 3173/7 block No.60 Triplicane Division, Mylapore – Triplicane Taluk.
				Built up area for the proposed course and/or for existing course	BF- 17229 Sq.ft. GF- 17229 Sq.ft FF- 18457 Sq.ft SF- 18457 Sq.ft TF- 18457Sq.ft. **Total = 89829 Sq.ft.**
				Type of Roofing	-

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

				Purpose for which building is being used/proposed to be used	Educational (Teacher Training Programme)
				Date of issue	**Not Mentioned.**
				Name and designation of approving authority	Assistant Executive Engineer (Civil), Chennai.

Remarks:-

- **Building Plan, is approved by Executive Engineer university of Madras, not approved by the competitive authority and earmarked area for B.Ed-Distance Education.**
- **As per Building Completion Certificate, date of issue is not mentioned it is not certified only photocopy submitted.**
- **With reference to study mattered stated that the here necessary arguments the lesson materials on its own and will be sent shortly.**

The Committee considered the above matter and decided as under:-

1. **BP is not approved by competent authority.**
2. **BCC is approved. But, every page should be signed. Also, it should indicate the date of approval/issue. The title shows it to be a building for Diploma in Elementary Education. This should be corrected.**
3. **Study material are still not ready. Whether approval of UGC is required is not clear.**
4. **Issue SCN accordingly.**

40.	SRCAPP2016 30123 M.P.Ed	**Shikshan Prasarak Mandal, Raibag** has submitted an online application for offering M.P.Ed. course in the name of **SPM'S College of Physical Education, RVR Campus College Road, Raibag Rural, Raibag, Belgaum District - 591317, Karnataka** on 9.6.2016 and hard copy of the application was received

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

	2 Units SPM'S College of Physical Education, Belgaum, Karnataka	on 13.6.2016. Letter was addressed to the Secretary to Government, Education Department, Government of Karnataka seeking recommendation/comments in respect of the application received by the SRC-NCTE for recognition of the proposed M.P.Ed. course, on 22.6.2016. Reminder –I was issued on 1.10.2016. Reminder-II was sent to the Government on 2.11.2016. Recommendation of the State Government was not received by this office even after the completion of 90 days from the date of issue of the letters to the State Government. The online application was scrutinized along with hard copy of the application. The SRC in its 324th meeting held during 07th – 08th December, 2016 considered the scrutiny of the application and decided as under:- 1. "NOC is given. 2. Title is clear 3. EC is clear. 4. LUC is clear. 5. BP is in order. 6. BCC is in order. Built up area shown is inadequate. The application also shows the building to be incomplete. 7. Cause Inspection" On 15.12.2016, an e-mail received by this office from the institution is as under:- "With reference to the subject cited above, as per the Rani Chennamma University Affiliation notification prior permission is necessary to start M.P.Ed college. As per the Management Resolution No 16 and dated 18.12.2015 Shikshan Prasarak Mandal Raibag going to start M.P.Ed college at SPM's College of Physical Education (B.P.Ed) Raibag for the year 2017-18. Infrastructural facilities are available as per NCTE norms. Kindly issue the prior permission to start Master of Physical Education (M.P.Ed) college at SPM's College of Physical Education (B.P.Ed) Raibag for the year 2017-18 on or before 21.12.2016."

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

As per the decision of SRC inspection of the institution for M.P.Ed courses were scheduled through online mode during 08.02.2017 to 28.02.2017.

Inspection of the institution was conducted on 24th and 25th February, 2017 and VT Report was received by this office on 27.02.2017.
The SRC in its 332nd meeting held during 28th February to 3rd March, 2017 considered the matter and decided as under:-

1. **"The earlier observation LUC and BP being in order is wrong.**
2. **Visiting Team Inspection report says, LUC is not signed by Tahsildar; BP is not approved by competent authority.**
3. **Faculty list- only photocopy is given; seal and signatures of the Registrar are not given.**
4. **FDRs (7+5 lakh) is required in joint account separately for B.P.Ed (1 unit) and M.P.Ed (1 unit).**
5. **Issue SCN accordingly. "**

Based on the website information the institution has submitted written representation on 31.03.2017 and 07.04.2017 is as under:-

"With reference to the subject cited above, we are hereby submitting the fulfillment of all the conditions laid down by NCTE in the 332nd meeting proceedings of SRC NCTE Bengaluru for newly starting M.P.Ed course as SPM's College of Physical Education Raibag District, Belagavi from the academic year 2017-18. We already submitted the LUC, Faculty list & Original FDR's of Rs. 12 lakhs (7 lakhs + 5 lakhs) at SRC NCTE office on 31st March 2017."

Sl No	Deficiencies pointed out in the SRC meeting	Reply of the institution	Details of the Document submitted	
1	**The earlier observation LUC and BP being in order is wrong**	**LUC is enclosed**	Name of the Society/Trust/Institution	Chairman, Shikshan Prasarak Mandal Raibag
			Survey/Plot/Khasara No. and location	481/1
			Extent of	31 acres 11

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

					diverted land	guntas
					Purpose of diversion	Educational purpose
					Date of issue	25.03.2017
					Name & designation of the issuing authority	Tahsildar Raibag District, Belagavi
		2	**Visiting Team Inspection report says, LUC is not signed by Tahsildar; BP is not approved by competent authority**	**Building plan is signed and is enclosed**	Name and address of Society/Trust/Institution	**SPM College of Physical Education,**
					Plot area/land area	**Not mentioned**
					Total built-up area	Main building (M.P.Ed) – 837.75 sq.mtrs Building - 191.98 sq.mtrs Existing B.P.Ed – 1525 sq.mtrs Building – 381.73 sq.mtrs **Total built up area – 2936.46 sq.mtrs**
					Date of approval	**Not mentioned**
					Name and	Secretary,

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

				designation of approving authority	Gram Panchyath, Raibag, Grameen
				Plot area and date of approval is not mentioned in the building plan	
		3	**Faculty list- only photocopy is given; seal and signatures of the Registrar are not given**	**Faculty list is enclosed with seal and signature of Registrar**	**Colour xerox copy of the faculty list dated 06.11.2015is submitted. Original faculty list in the prescribed format approved by the Registrar is not submitted.**
		4	**FDRs (7+5 lakh) is required in joint account separately for B.P.Ed (1 unit) and M.P.Ed (1 unit)**	**FDRs (7+5 lakhs) is deposited and copy is enclosed**	**FDRs of Rs. 5 lakh**
					FDR No. 460096
					Whether in single or joint A/c: Joint A/c
					Date of issue: 30.03.2017
					Date of Maturity: 30.03.2022
					Name of issuing Bank: Syndicate Bank
					FDRs of Rs. 7 lakh
					FDR No. 460097
					Whether in single or joint A/c: Joint A/c
					Date of issue: 30.03.2017
					Date of Maturity: 30.03.2022
					Name of issuing Bank: Syndicate Bank

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

			The FDRs details are submitted only for M.P.Ed the proposed course. The details for B.P.Ed course is not submitted.

Remarks:-

1. **In addition, the institution has submitted building completion certificate. The BCC dated 12.05.2016 submitted along with VT report is having built up area 5957.25 sq.ft. (sy No: 470 & 481/1) But, the BCC dated 04.03.2017 submitted on 07.04.2017 is having built up area 509.55 sq.mtrs with RCC roofing (sy No: 470) , 1525 sq.mtrs with RCC & roofing (Sy.No: 481/1) and 1029 sq.mtrs with RCC roofing. As per Regulations 2014 the building has to be complete in all respect at the time of VT.**
2. **Colour xerox copy of the faculty list submitted. Original faculty list in the prescribed format approved by the Registrar vide dated 06.11.2015 is not submitted.**
3. **The FDRs details are submitted only for M.P.Ed the proposed course. The details for B.P.Ed course is not submitted.**
4. **Plot area and date of approval is not mentioned in the building plan .**

Note:-The documents (Faculty list, BCC, BP, LUC) submitted by the institution has to be verified by the competent authority as it is not known whether it is genuine or not.

The Committee considered the above matter and decided as under:-

1. **Title is clear. Govt. allotted land. Land area (31.11 acres) adequate.**
2. **EC not required.**
3. **BP is approved. Built-up area shown is 2936 sq.mts.**
4. **BCC is approved. Built-up area is 3064 (i.e., slightly in excess of the area approved in BP).**
5. **Built-up area required for B.P.Ed.(1 unit) & M.P.Ed.(1 unit) is 2700 sq.mts.**

Chairman
(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		6. **FDRs are given.** 7. **Issue LOI for M.P.Ed.(1 unit).**
41.	SRCAPP15106 BA.BEd 2 Units Siddartha College of Integrated Degree College, Nalgonda, Telangana	**Srinivasa Educational Society, Plot No. 1-90, BC Colony, KondalarayaniGudem Village, Chivemla Post Office and Taluk, KondalarayaniGudem City, Nalgonda District -508213, Telangana** applied for grant of recognition to **Siddartha College of Integrated Degree College, Plot/Khasara No. Sy. No. 163/E, 163/EE, Plot No.1-90, JR Nagar, Singareddypalem Village, Penpahad Post Office and Taluk, Penpahad City, Nalgonda District-508213, Telangana** for offering **B.A.B.Ed.B.Sc.B.Ed** course of 4 years duration for the academic session 2016-17 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on 30.06.2015. The institution has submitted hard copy of the application on 03.07.2015. The application was processed as per NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedures) Regulations, 2014 notified by NCTE on 01.12.2014. A letter for recommendation to State Govt. was sent on 09.07.2015, followed by Reminder I on 06.10.2015 and Reminder II on 19.11.2015. The Sub clause (7) of clause 7 of Regulations, 2014 for processing of applications stipulates as under: ***"After consideration of the recommendation of the State Government or on its own merits, the Regional Committee concerned shall decided that institution shall be inspected by a team of experts called visiting team with a view to assess the level of preparedness of the institution to commence the course".*** The SRC in its 295th meeting has considered the matter, documents submitted by the institution along with hard copy of application and decided as under: **1. BP is not approved.** **2. LUC, EC not given.** **3. Cause composite inspection.** **4. Applicant has to choose whether they want BA B.Ed or B.SC B.Ed.** **5. Ask VT to particularly check on the deficiencies and collect all documents.** Accordingly, as per the decision of SRC, the inspection of the institution was fixed between 18th-26th March, 2016. The same was intimated to the institution on 16.03.2016. The inspection of the institution was conducted on 24.03.2016. The VT report

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

along with documents was received on 25.03.2016.

The SRC in its 308th meeting held on 28th to 30th March, 2016 considered the VT report and decided as follows:

1. **CD does not open. Obtain fresh CD.**
2. **BP is not approved.**
3. **Built-up area is inadequate.**
4. **Issue SCN accordingly.**

Before issuance of show cause notice, as per the website information the institution submitted written representation on 11.04.2016 along with some relevant documents and CD.
The SRC in its 309th meeting held on 12th to 14th April, 2016 considered the matter and decided as under:

- ***Issue LOI for B.A.B.Ed 2 units and B.Ed 2 units.***

As per decision of SRC, Letter of Intent was issued to the institution on 14.04.2016. The institution submitted written representation on 23.05.2016 and stated as follows;

> ***"With reference to the above subject I here with submitting reminder letter regarding Siddartha College of Integrated Degree College (BA.B.Ed). Previously I submitted a requestion so for your kind inward No 171687 dated 02.05.2016 stating that the university of Mahathma Gandhi Nalgonda Dist Telangana state is not ready to conduct the B.A.B.Ed or B.Sc.B.Ed course for this academic year 2016-17. Because of that they are not formed rules and regulations. That your kind authority has issued LOI on 14.04.2016 stating that within 2 months we have to full fill all the conditions on the LOI otherwise the LOI will be cancelled.***
>
> ***Hence, I requesting to you madam kindly give directions. Kindly accept the staff list after Verifing and give FR to Diddhartha College of B.A.Bed integrated college otherwise we will put to a great loss."***

The SRC in its 314th meeting held on 27th & 28th May, 2016 considered the matter and decided as under:

- **This is a complex problem involving the applicant, the University and, the State Govt. It has both administrative and financial implications for the University. It will not be possible for NCTE (SRO) to sort out the issues involved. The applicant may be**

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

advised to take it up directly with the University. Since this will be a case only for 2017-18, they have enough time to sort out the issues.

As per decision of SRC, a letter was sent to the institution on 06.06.2016. The institution has not submitted any reply so far.

The SRC in its 323rd meeting held on 16th – 18th November, 2016 considered the matter and decided as under;

1. **Reply to LOI not received.**
2. **Issue SCN.**
3. **Ask them to reply before 20.12.2016 failing which this case will be considered for rejection.**
4. **And, when that happens, the other B.Ed course will become vulnerable to objection on 'stand-alone' clause.**

Accordingly, as per decision of SRC show cause notice was issued on 05.12.2016.

The institution has submitted its reply on 20.12.2016 and stating as follows:

".....Kindly refer our earlier reply dated 23.05.2016, which clearly explains the predicament which, we are put up. We are pursuing the matter with the State Govt. and as well as Afflicting body i. e. University of Mahtham Gandhi Nalgonda Dist Telangana. As the B.Ed / B.Sc B.Ed programmes still not ready to conduct the programmes and a decision will be taken up in due Course of time as per the Contention of the Govt and afflicting body.

In this regard I request you Sir. to consider our LOI reply with Faculty List submitted and keep it pending till the matter is sorted out by the afflicting body".

The SRC in its 329th meeting held on 06th & 07th February, 2017 considered the matter and decided as under;

1. **They want B.A.B.Ed.(2 units).**
2. **They have separately applied for B.Ed.(2 units). FR was issued earlier. They have a running B.P.Ed. course also.**
3. **LOI was issued for B.A.B.Ed.(2 units). But, they were not able to give a reply along with faculty list since the University was not ready with the course.**
4. **The delay on this score deserves to be reviewed. The delay is caused by the University. The applicant cannot be penalized**

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		because they had obtained NOC from University. **5. The faculty list will be approved only when the University concerned agrees to offer the course.** **6. Keep this pending and put up in April 2017.** ***NOTE:*** **The institution is running B.P.Ed Course (1 Unit) Code No.2066. Recognition granted on 31.05.2015 and B.Ed (2 Units) Code No. 15100. Recognition granted on 02.05.2016.** **The Committee considered the above matter and decided as under:-** **1. LOI reply has not yet been received.** **2. The delay is caused by the affiliating University. The applicant, in this case may come to be penalized for that. This will be unfair because they had obtained NOC from the University.** **3. Give them time till 30.4.17.** **4. Put up on 1.5.17.**
42.	SRCAPP376 D.El.Ed 1 Unit CMR Institute of Elementary Teacher Education, Rangareddy, Telangana	**Malla Reddy Educational Society, Plot No.294, Comssary Bazaar Road ,New Bowenpally Village, Secunderabad Post, Bowenpally Taluk, Hyderabad City, Ranga Reddy District - 500011, Andhra Pradesh** had submitted an online application to the Southern Regional Committee of NCTE on 28.09.2010 and physical application on 18.10.2010 for grant of recognition for **D.El.Ed** course of two year duration with an annual intake of 50 students at **CMR Institute of Elementary Teacher Education, Sy No.648 Plot/Street No.563, Gundia Pochampally Village, Dundigal Post, Gundia Pochampally Taluk, Secunderabad Ranga Reddy District-500055,Andhra Pradesh** and was granted recognition on 04.09.2012 from the academic session **2012-2013.** A court notice received from Honb'le High court Andhra Pradesh in WP.No.18704 of 2013 on 26.07.2013. Accordingly, a letter was sent to the Advocate Shri K. Ramakanth Reddy on 06.08.2013. A court order in W.P.M.P No.22869 of 2013 in WP.No.18704 of 2013 dated 02.07.2013 was received from Honb'le High court of Andhra Pradesh at Hyderabad and the court order stating as follows: *".....therefore, the purported stand taken by the State Government to deny affiliation for the academic year 2013-2014 is prima facie not tenable. For the foregoing reasons, there shall be interim Direction".* A letter has been received from Shri. Gopal Reddy, Director, SCERT, Andhra Pradesh,

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		Hyderabad on 25.2.2014 and 26.2.2014, enclosing a letter from Commissioner and Director of School Education, Andhra Pradesh to Regional Director, SRC, NCTE, Bangalore dated 23.12.2013 to communicate the order passed on the detailed report submitted to NCTE, Bangalore in respect of (41) D.Ed colleges who have made admissions during 2012-13 at their on in violation of admission rules and to take necessary action as per NCTE rules. The SRC in its 268th meeting held on 4th-5th June 2014 considered the letter dated 25.02.2014 & 26.02.2014 from Shri Gopal Reddy, Director, SCERT, Hyderabad, Andhra Pradesh, letter dated 23.12.2013 and decided to issue Show Cause Notice for withdrawal of recognition for the violations of Regulation 8 (12) of 2009 and 3 (3) of the Norms and Standards for D.El.Ed course, 2009, as reported by the affiliating body in respect of 41 D.Ed colleges who have made admissions during 2012-13 at their own in violation of admission rules issued by the State Govt. of A.P and the committee decided to issue show cause notice. As per the decision of SRC a show cause notice was issued to the institution on 07.08.2014. The institution has submitted a written representation on 27.08.2014 along with some court orders and relevant documents. The SRC in its 273rd meeting held on 30th September & 01st October, 2014 considered the considered the reply of the institution vide letter dated 27.08.2014, Hon'ble High Court of Andhra Pradesh order dated 20.03.2013, 04.03.2014, & 12.06.2014 decided that, the Law is clear on this issue. The Supreme Court has also given specific directions. Once 'recognition' is given by NCTE, the affiliating body shall affiliate. If they have any problem, they have to take it up with NCTE. In this case, the High Court has also reiterated this position. In their reply to our show cause notice, the institution has clarified that all the actions were taken in compliance of the High Court order. The State Government and the SCERT will, therefore, be well advised to comply with the High Court order. As per the decision of SRC, a letter was sent to the School Education Department on 07.11.2015. A letter was sent to the Director SCERT on 07.12.2015 regarding continuation of affiliation for the academic year 2015-2016. The institution has submitted its written representation on 22.07.2015 along with DD of Rs. 1,50.000/- bearing no.516522 dated 21.07.2015, online application for shifting of premises and some documents with requesting to shift the building from Maisammaguda, Gundlapochampally medchal Mandal, Rangareddy to Kompally Medchal Mandal, Rangareddy District. A letter received from the institution on 10.05.2016 stating as under: *"this is kind reminder requesting you to make inspection for shifting CMR Institute of Elementary Teacher Education (D.El.Ed) premises which was*

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

submitted to your good on 01.09.2015.

The next academic year is going to start very soon. Therefore to avoid impediments in this regard I request you to kindly make the inspections as early as possible.

Note: The institution has submitted another written representation on 01.09.2015 along with Land documents, BCC, BP and affidavit for Sy No 126 at Kompally in favour of Malla Reddy Educational Society, which is not matching with earlier submitted shifting proposal.

The SRC in its 314th meeting held on 27th -28th May, 2016 considered the matter and it has decided as under:

1. **This is a case of request for shifting.**
2. **Land document given is of a different Society. (It is the same as that given in Sl.No.16).**
3. **Issue SCN for withdrawal of recognition.**

As per the decision of SRC, show cause notice was issued to the institution on 07.06.2016. The institution has submitted its written representation on 07.06.2016 along with document.

The SRC in its 320th meeting held on 19th to 20th September 2016, considered the matter and decided as under:

- **The title deed has unattested over writings. Ask them to submit the clear original document or its certified copy**

Accordingly, as per decision of SRC, a letter was sent to the institution on 30.09.2016.

The institution submitted written representation on 26.09.2016. its stated as under:

"...***C.M.R. Institute of Elementary Teacher Education (D.El.Ed) has applied for shifting of its premises from Gundlapochampally to Kompally on 01.09.2015 and enclosed all the required documents for your kind perusal.***

Whereas in Decision of 320th SRC meeting at SRC NCTE Bangalore Held on 19 & 20th September 2016 has directed us t submit certified copy f land documents.

Now I am herewith enclosing the certified copy of Malla Reddy Educational Society land documents (Document No: 6415 of 2015) certified from the component authority for your kind perusal. Kindly consider the case."

The institution submitted original certified copy of land document submitted.

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		In meantime, the institution submitted its written representation on 27.10.2016 & 06.12.2016. It's stated as under: "...***This is kind reminder requesting you to make inspection for shifting C.M.R Institute of Elementary Teacher Education (D.El.Ed) premise which was submitted to your good on 01.09.2015.*** ***The next academic year is going to start very soon. Therefore to avoid any impediments in this regard I request you to kindly make the inspections as early as possible.*** ***Herewith enclosing the shifting details of the college once again.".*** The SRC in its 326th meeting held on 04th – 05th January, 2017 considered the matter and decided as under: **1. Title is clear.** **2. Land area required is 2428 sq.mtrs. They have 3035 sq.mtrs.** **3. LUC not given.** **4. Latest EC is required.** **5. BP is not legible.** **6. BCC is not approved by competent authority.** **7. Renewed FDRs are required.** **8. Latest approved faculty list is not given.** **9. Issue SCN accordingly.** Accordingly, as per decision of SRC, a Show Cause Notice was sent to the institution on 13.01.2017. The institution has submitted its Show cause notice reply along with document on 03.02.2017. The SRC in its 330th meeting held on 12th & 13th February, 2017 considered the matter and the Committee decided as under: **1. Their reply to our SCN covers all points except the FDR. They have to give FDRs for Rs. 7 lakhs and 5 lakhs.** **2. Cause inspection.** **3. Ask VT to collect the FDRs.** Inspection of the institution was generated through online mode and inspection fixed between 07.03.2017 to 27.03.20174. Inspection of the institution was conducted on 24.03.2017 & 25.03.2017 and VT report along with documents and original CD received on 28.03.2017.

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

The details of VT Report are as under:

Name and address of the institution (as per initial application)	CMR Institute of Elementary Teacher Education, Sy No.648 Plot/Street No.563, Gundia Pochampally Village, Dundigal Post, Gundia Pochampally Taluk, Secunderabad Ranga Reddy District-500055,Andhra Pradesh
Name and address of the Society	Malla Reddy Educational Society, Plot No.294, Comssary Bazaar Road ,New Bowenpally Village, Secunderabad Post, Bowenpally Taluk, Hyderabad City, Ranga Reddy District -500011, Andhra Pradesh
Date of Inspection for Shifting	24th & 25th March, 2017
	CMR Institute of Elementary Teacher Education, S.No. 126, Plot No. 38, Jaibery Park Road, Kompally Village, Quthbullapur (M), Ranga Reddy District – 500014, Telangana

Details of courses as per the VT Report

Sl. No.	Name of the Course	Intake
01.	B.Ed (APS07146)	100 (2 units)
02.	D.El.Ed (SRCAPP376)	50 (1 unit)

As per scrutiny of documents received with VTR		
REGISTRATION BYE-LAW CERTIFICATE	Date of Regn. and in the name of	12.12.2004 Malla Reddy Educational Society
Details of Land Documents:		
Registered certified copy of the Land documents: Submitted / Not submitted		**Certified photocopy of settlement deed submitted in English Version**
(whether in English or Regional language) (whether certified/notarized English translation submitted)		
Date of registration of land		15.07.2015
Land registered in the name of		Malla Reddy Educational Society
Type of title deed i.e. sale		Settlement Deed

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

deed/lease deed (Govt. /Pvt.)/gift deed	
Survey No/ Plot No/ Khasara No.	Sy.No. 126
Extent of land in each Sy. No./ Plot No./ Khasara No.	3630.0 Sq.yds or Ac. 0.30 Gts
AFFIDAVIT:-	Submitted
Sy.No	126
Location	Kompally Village, Ranga Reddy District
Land is on own/lease basis	Ownership Basis
Built up area	Not Mentioned
Extent	3505 Sq.mts
Blue print/Notarized copy of Building Plan submitted/ Not submitted :-	Photocopy Submitted
Name and address of Society/Trust/Institution	Malla Reddy Educational Society
Whether Building Plan is for the proposed institution/ course or also for some other TEI/course	**Malla Reddy College of Education & C.M.R Institute of Elementary Teacher Education**
Plot area/land area	3630 Sq.yds
Survey No/ Plot No/ Khasara No. and location	126 – Kompally Village
Total built-up area	37660 Sq.ft or 3500 Sq.mts (For G + 4 Floors) (Each floor Area : 7532 Sq.ft or 700 Sq.mts)
Built up area for the proposed and existing teacher education courses	**Not Mentioned**
Date of approval	----------
Name and designation of approving authority	Executive Officer (Panchayat Secretary) G.P, Kompally
Notarized copy of Land Use Certificate submitted /Not submitted	Photocopy submitted
Name of the Society/ Trust/ Institution	Malla Reddy Educational Society
Survey/Plot/Khasara No. and location	126 – Kompally Village
Extent of land	**3630 Sq.yds**
Purpose of land	Educational purpose
Date of issue	30.07.2015
Name and designation of approving authority	Spl. Grade Deputy Collector & Revenue Divisional Officer
Notarized copy of the Building Completion Certificate submitted /not submitted	Photocopy Submitted
Name and address of Society/Trust/Institution	Malla Reddy Educational Society.

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

Survey/Plot/ Khasara Nos. and location	126 – Kompally Village
Total Built up area	GF - 7532 Sq.ft FF - 7532 Sq.ft SF - 7532 Sq.ft TF - 7532 Sq.ft FF - 7532 Sq.ft **Total – 37660 Sq.ft or 3498.72 Sq.mtr**
Type of Roofing	Not mentioned
Purpose for which building is being used/proposed to be used	B.Ed & D.El.Ed Course
Date of issue	----------
Name and designation of approving authority	Sarpanch Gram Panchayath
Notarized copy of Encumbrance Certificate submitted/ Not submitted	Photocopy submitted
Name of the Society/Trust/Institution	Malla Reddy Educational Society
Survey/Plot/Khasara Nos. and location	126 – Kompalli Village
Search for the period	01.01.1987 to 10.08.2016
Extent of land	**3630 Sq.yds**
Any mortgage as per EC	----------
Date of issue	11.08.2016
Name and designation of issuing authority	M. Ravinder Sub Registrar
NOC From Affiliating body Submitted/Not Submitted	
FEES PAID:-	Rs. 1,50,000/- DD No. 516522 dated 21.07.2015
FACULTY LIST:-	**Photocopy submitted**
Faculty list approved/not approved	Approved
Whether approved on each page or not	Yes
No. of faculty as per norms of the course	1 + 7
Designation of the approving authority	Director, SCERT
Date of approval	28.07.2012

FDR's Details		
	5.00 Lakhs Endowment Fund	**3.00 Lakhs and 4.00 Lakhs Reserved Fund**
Submitted in Original	Submitted	Submitted (Rs. 3,00,000/-)
FDR A/c number	269496	269497

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

Whether in single or joint A/c	**Joint A/c**	**Joint A/c**
Name of the Bank	Bank of Maharashtra	Bank of Maharashtra
Duration of FDR	60 years	60 years
Issuing date	19.09.2010	19.09.2010
Valid till	19.09.2015	19.09.2015
Website of the institution	www.cmriete.com	

	Comments of VT Members	
01.	Total Built-up area	**3035 Sq.mtr (B.Ed & D.El.Ed)**
02.	Furniture	The class room, multipurpose hall, laboratory, Resource Rooms, Library, Principal room, Staff rooms, etc. are provided with adequate furniture but need to be further improved.
03.	Multipurpose Hall	**3752 Sq.ft**
04.	Labs/Resources Rooms	Adequate
05.	Whether the library is sharing with other courses	Yes
06.	Seating capacity in the library	50
07.	No. of books in the library and Journals	1100 Books, 10 Journals

Remarks:

- **The institution submitted photocopy of BCC not approved by Competent Authority.**

The Committee considered the VT report, VCD and all relevant documentary evidences and decided as under:-

1. **Title is clear. Land area is adequate.**
2. **LUC is in order.**
3. **EC is in order.**

4.1 BP is in order. Built-up area shown is 3500 sq.mts.

4.2 BP, however, is in photocopy form. Original is required.

5.1 BCC is not approved by competent authority.

5.2 Type of roofing is not indicated.

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		5.3 Built-up area shown is 3500 sq.mts. This is adequate for B.Ed.(2) & D.El.Ed.(1) **6. FDRs are required in original, in joint account, with 5 year validity @ 7+5 lakhs for each unit in each course.** **7.1 Latest approved Faculty lists for both B.Ed. & D.El.Ed. are required.** **7.2 B.Ed. Faculty list should be approved by the University and not by SCERT.** **7.3 Both lists should have photographs and should be submitted in original.** **8. Issue SCN accordingly.**
43.	APS02970 B.Ed 2 Units Senthil College of Education, Pondicherry	**Senthil College of Education, No.36, Thiyagaraja Street, Pondicherry-605001** submitted an application to the Sothern Regional Committee of NCTE for offering **B.Ed** course of one year duration with an annual intake of **100** student from academic session **2005-2006** under section 14 (1) of the NCTE Act. 1993 Recognition order was issued to the institution on **28.11.2005.** The institution has submitted representation on 08.12.2006 & 17.11.2009 regarding list of teaching staff of Senthil College of Education, Puducherry as per NCTE norms. This office was received on 28.03.2011 filed W.P No 3236 of 2010. In the High Court of Judicature at Madras. On 07.04.2011 a letter was addressed to the Shri.B. Ramakrishna Reddy, Chennai. Enclosed containing legal opinion. Accordingly, a comparative statement of both B.Ed (APS02970) and D.T.Ed (APS02976) was prepared and placed before SRC in its 260th meeting held on 29th – 31st January 2014 and the committee considered the matter and decided as under:-

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		For.B.Ed – Show cause notice for submission of original approved **1) Building plan,** **2) Building Completion Certificate,** **3) Land Use Certificate,** **4) Encumbrance Certificate and FDRs.** **For D.T.Ed – Show cause notice for the following deficiencies:** **1. No earmarked area in the Building Plan.** **2. BCC is unsigned.** **3. No original Land Use Certificate and the extent of land converted (1143 sq.mtr) is inadequate** As per the decision of the SRC, a Show cause notice was issued to the institution on 26.02.2014. The institution has submitted reply to the SCN on 17.03.2014 along with relevant documents. No objection certificate has received by this office on 23.06.2014. SRC in its 268th meeting held on 04th to 05th June, 2014 the committee considered the matter and decided as under:- • **Accept the reply and close the case.** The institution has submitted representation on 31.07.2014 & 26.08.2014 and stating as under:- I humbly request you sir to kindly consider our request made in our Letter No (7) to grant recognition for additional intake from this academic year in one year B.Ed course conducted in our Senthil College of Education, Puduchery at the earliest possible, so that we can get affiliation from the University in time. The Pondicherry University has submitted representation on 16.09.2014 and 17.11.2014 regarding Extension of provisional affiliation for B.Ed course at Senthil College of Education for the academic year 2014-15. On 3.12.2014, a letters were issued to all existing institutions regarding notification of new regulations 2014 seeking consent on their willingness for

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

fulfilling the revised Norms and Standards before 31.10.2015.

The institution submitted its willingness affidavit on 06.02.2014 as per Regulations 2014. Accordingly, **revised recognition order was issued to the institution on 13.05.2015 for two basic units of 50 students each, with a condition that the institution has not shifted to its own premises as stipulated.**

The institution has submitted compliance for RPRO on 22.06.2015 along with documents.

The SRC in its 291st meeting held on 20th to 21st August, 2015 the committee considered the matter and decided as under:-

- **Since Revised Recognition Order has been issued. Cause inspection after 31 Oct, 2015 to ensure full compliance of the 2014 Regulations.**
- **Advise them specifically that the 2014 Regulations do not provide for any opportunity to remove deficiencies after the inspection.**

As per the decision of the SRC, a letter was issued to the institution on 21.10.2015

Inspection intimation was sent to the institution and VT members on 07.01.2016. The Inspection of the institution was conducted on 17.01.2016 and VT report along with documents received on 25.01.2016.

VT report was received on 25.01.2016 along with VT report.

The SRC in its 300th meeting held on 29th to 31st January, 2016 the committee considered the VT Report and decided as under:-

- **Withdraw the 'shifting' condition**
- **Correct our records accordingly.**
- **Close the case.**

A Corrigendum was issued to the institution on 20.05.2016.

A Court order (batch petition) dated 27.07.2016 in W.A Nos 591 & 661 to 664 of 2009. In the Hon'ble High court of Madras, received by this office on 08.08.2016. ***The Hon'ble court has granted interim order on 24.08.2009 in the appeals is made absolute during the pendency of the appeals and the***

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		applications are disposed of. Another Court order (batch petition) dated 27.07.2016 in W.A Nos 591 & 661 to 664 of 2009. In the Hon'ble High court of Madras, received by this office on 08.08.2016. ***"It is stated that the counsel appearing for the appellant in W.A. Nos.661 to 664 of 2009 has not been correctly shown in the course list. Name of Mr.R. Suresh Kumar, learned counsel for the appellant in W.A. Nos.661 to 664 of 2009 be shown in the cause list. There are stated to be other connected writ appeals being W.A. Nos.1290 to 1304 of 2009, which should also be listed along with these appeals.*** ***List on 03.08.2016. the learned counsel for parties to file a short synopsis running into not more than two pages each".*** Third Court order (batch petition) dated 30.08.2016 in W.A No 1290 of 2009 & 1291 to W.A No.1299 In the Hon'ble High court of Madras received by this office on 27.08.2016 made the following order:- ***"These applications are disposed of in terms of the Interim order already passed in WA.Nos.591, 661 to 664 of 2009, dated 24.08.2009.*** Court order has been received by this office on 06.03.2017 W.P No 4378 of 2017 dated 23.02.2017 In WP. 4220/2017 In the Hon'ble High court of Madras and stating as under:- ***"Petition praying that in the circumstances stated therein and in the affidavit filed there with the High Court will be pleases to issue an order of interim injunction restraining the respondents from in any manner causing the inspection of the petitioner institution for insisting upon the satisfaction of the norms under the NCTE(Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations 2014 (In WMP.No.4378/17) pending disposal of the above writ petition.No.4220/17.*** ***Order:*** ***This petition coming on for orders upon perusing the petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and upon hearing the arguments of M/S.R. Selvakumar, Advocate for the petitioner the court made the***

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		following order:- ***Respondents are directed to conduct inspection as scheduled. Since batch is pending, the revised norms along will not be insisted".*** **The Committee considered the above matter and decided as under:-** **1. The Court order is noted.** **2. It may not be possible for us to implement it as we will, in the process, violate the Supreme Court order that, after notification of the 2014 Regulations., no case shall be processed under any other Regulations.** **3. We must ask our Lawyer to urgenly apprise the Hon'ble High Court of this position and request for a review of their order in reference.**
44.	SRCAPP2016 30051 M.Ed-Al 1 Unit School of Pedagogical Sciences, Kannur, Kerala	**Department of Teacher Education, Kannur University, Kalliassery Village, Mangatuparamba, Kannur Taluk, Dharmasala City, Kannur District-670567 Kerala** applied for grant of recognition to **School of Pedagogical Sciences, Dharmasala, Kalliassery Village, Dharmasala Road, Kannur Taluk & City, Kannur District-670567, Kerala** for offering **M.Ed-Al** course of two years duration for the academic year 2017-18 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on **28.05.2016**.The institution has submitted the hard copy of the application on **11.06.2016.** As per Regulations, a letter to State Government for recommendation was sent on 22.06.2016, followed by Reminder I on 01.10.2016 and Reminder II on 02.11.2016. No recommendation received from the State Govt. The period of 90 days as per Regulations is over. Hence, the application is processed. As per public notice for 2017-18, there is no ban for M.Ed course in the State of Kerala. As per the direction, the application was scrutinized online along with hard copy of the application and was placed before SRC in its 324th meeting held during 07th & 08th December 2016, the Committee considered the scrutiny of the application and decided as under :- **1. Land area and built up area, according to Building Completion Certificate, is adequate.** **2. EC & LUC-not required.** **3. Building plan with all details is required to be submitted.** **4. Building Completion Certificate is in order.** **5. Cause composite inspection.** **6. The old M.Ed course should come under the new Regulations with a**

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

Basic unit of 50.

As per the decision of SRC, and as per Regulations 2014, the same was communicated to the V.T.Members through on-line mode on 21.01.2017. VT members' names were generated through online VT module for inspection during the period 08.02.2017 to 28.02.2017.

On 17.02.2017 letter was received from VT member Shri Dharma Raj Singh through e-mail stating "*that my visit for inspection not possible due to ill health of wife.*"

Accordingly, VT was re-scheduled during the period 28.02.2017 to 20.03.2017.

The inspection was conducted on 20.03.2017 to 21.03.2017. Inspection report received on 27.03.2017.

The SRC in its 334th meeting held during 30th& 31st March, 2017 the committee considered the matter and decided as under:-

1. **Land is given by Govt.**
2. **LUC/EC are not required.**
3. **BP does not show the area earmarked for M.Ed./M.Ed.-A.I.**
4. **BP shows Ground Floor for B.Ed; First floor for M.Ed. and, Second Floor for M.Ed./B.Ed.**
5. **The built-up area of (882.65+ 882.65+882.65 sq.mts.) 2647.95 sq.mts. Is adequate for B.Ed.(1500)+M.Ed.(500)+ M.Ed.-A.I.(500).**
6. **Our records do not show B.Ed. at all. But, they have claimed to be running B.Ed. for purposes of this case, let us accept it and proceed. But, independently, examine the facts.**
7. **Land area of 2 acres (shown in Sy.No.387/2 cited in the BCC) is adequate.**
8. **NAAC certificate given.**
9. **Issue LOI for M.Ed.-A.I. (1 unit).**

Accordingly, the LOI was issued to the institution on 06.04.2017.

Now, the institution has submitted the LOI reply trough e-mail on 10.04.2017 and stated as under:-

"....We are in receipt of the letter referred 1 above sent via speed post. Accordingly we are causing this reply regarding Para No's 3, 4 and 5.

Para No:3 We have already submitted the Annexure I,II & III to

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		the VT Members along with our Essential Data Sheet on the date of their Visit.(March 20th and 21st of 2017) Also they have sent these Annexure along with the V.T. Report to the SRC. *Para No:4 School of pedagogical Science is a regular department under Kannur University. A website is created for the School of pedagogical Science and the same is existing by linking to the main site of the University. The site comprises the data referred in Para No: 4 and it is undertaking to upload the rest at the earliest* *Para No:5 Kannur University is a State University funded by the State Government for salary of the Teachers, infrastructure and all other requirements of the Departments.* *In the light of above facts it is submitted that necessary steps may be taken in this respect."* **The Committee considered the above matter and decided as under:-** **1. This is an application for M.Ed.-A.I.(1 unit).** **2. In the 2014 Regulations, point 4.1 under norms and standards for M.Ed., it is clearly stated that additional unit can be permitted, subject to other quality considerations, only after the institution has offered the programme for 3 years and has been awarded minimum B+ grade by NAAC or any other accrediting agency approved by NCTE.** **3. Since the new M.Ed. courses started only in 2015-16, they have not completed the 3 academic sessions required; and, obtained the NAAC certification thereafter.** **4. Reject the application.** **5. Return FDRs, if any.** **6. Close the file.**

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

45.	SRCAPP14641 D.El.Ed-AI Shree Kamireddy Sivaramireddy College of Elementary Education, Vishakapatnam, Andhra Pradesh	**Shree Kamireddy Sivaramireddy Educational Society, Plot No.11-37-18, Kaniti Road, Azeemabad Village, Gajuwaka Post & Taluk, Visakhapatnam City, Vishakhapatnam District – 530026, Andhra Pradesh** has applied for grant of recognition to **Shree Kamireddy Sivaramireddy College of Elementary Education, Plot/Khasara No.154/1,3,4,5,6,7,8,9, Gollalapalem Village, Kalapaka Post, Parawada Taluk, Visakhapatnam City, Vishakhapatnam District – 531021, Andhra Pradesh** for offering **D.El.Ed-AI** course for two years duration for the academic year 2016-17 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee , NCTE through online on 29.06.2015. The institution has submitted the hard copy of the application on 17.07.2015. The application is processed as per NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedures) Regulations, 2014 notified by NCTE on 01.12.2014. A letter was sent to State Government for recommendation on 22.07.2015. Sub-section (2) of Section 7 of Regulations, 2014 for processing of applications stipulates as under:- *"(2) The application shall be summarily rejected under one or more of the following circumstance-* *(a) Failure to furnish the application fee, as prescribed under rule 9 of the National Council for Teacher Education Rules, 1997 on or before the date of submission of online application;* *(b) Failure to submit print out of the applications made online along with the land documents as required under sub-regulation (4) of Regulation 5 within fifteen days of the submission of the online application. "* *Sub-regulation (4) of Regulation 5 reads as under:-* *"While submitting the application online a copy of the registered land document issued by the competent authority, indicating that the society or institution applying for the programme possesses land on the date of application, shall be attached along with the application."* On careful perusal of the original file of the institution and other documents, the application of the institution is deficient as per Regulations, 2014 as under:- • The institution has not submitted application within 15 days from the date of application. The SRC in its 291st meeting held on 20th-21st August, 2015 considered the matter, and after careful perusal of the original application for D.El.Ed-AI course for the

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

session 2016-17 submitted on-line on 29.06.2015 and hard copy on 17.07.2015, decided to Summarily Reject the application as per 7 2(b) of Regulations 2014 on the following ground:

- The institution has not submitted application within 15 days from the date of application.

As per the decision of SRC, rejection order was issued to the institution on 15.10.2015.

Aggrieved by the rejection order of SRC, the institution preferred an appeal to NCTE Hqrs and the appellate authority vide order no.F.No.89-49/2016 Appeal/5th Meeting -2016 has stated as follows:

> ***".....AND WHEREAS, Sh. K Venkata Reddy, Representative, Shree Kamireddy Sivaramireddy College of Elementary Education, Visakhapatnam, Andhra Pradesh presented the case of the appellant institution on 26.04.2016. In the appeal and during personal presentation it was submitted that "hard copies of online application had been sent to the office of the Regional Director, NCTE, SRC, Bangalore -72 through speed post on 13.07.2015. In support of the claim the appellant submitted the acknowledgment which was obtained from the India Post (RL Visakhapatnam RMS 530004). The obtained number is BRN969568802IN dated 13.07.2015. The appellant further submitted that, the online application was submitted on 29.06.2015 and the hard copies of online application were dispatched on 13.07.2015 i.e within 15 days from the date of online submission of application.***
>
> ***AND WHEREAS, the committee noted that the council has issued instructions to their regional committees informing them that, for 2016-17, 15th July, 2015 will be the last date for the submission of the hard copies of the application with NOC irrespective of the date of online submission. The committee also noted that the appellant has filed their application online on 29.06.2015 and submitted the hardcopy of the application with their letter dt.13.07.2015 by speed post on 13.07.2015, which was received in the SRC on 17.07.2015. Since the hard copy of the application was submitted within the extended date i.e 15.07.2015, the committee concluded that the matter deserved to be remanded to the SRC with a direction to process the***

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

application further as per the NCTE Regulations.

AND WHEREAS, after perusal of the memorandum of appeal, affidavit, documents available on records and considering the oral arguments advanced during the hearing, the committee concluded that the appeal deserves to be remanded to SRC with a direction to process the application further as per the NCTE Regulations.

NOW THEREFORE, the council hereby remands back the case of Shree Kamireddy Sivaramireddy College of Elementary Education, Visakhapatnam, Andhra Pradesh to the SRC, NCTE, for necessary action as indicated above. "

As directed the application was processed and placed before SRC in its 317th meeting held on 28th – 30th July, 2016 considered the matter and decided as under:

1. **Title to land is there. Land area is adequate.**
2. **LUC/EC is given.**
3. **BP is in order.**
4. **BCC not given.**
5. **FDRs – not given.**
6. **Fee paid.**
7. **Cause Inspection**
8. **Ask VT to collect all relevant documents esp.BCC.**

Accordingly, as per decision of SRC inspection intimation was noticed through online mode on 01.02.2017 and inspection of the institution was fixed between 12.02.2017 to 04.03.2017.

Now, an email received from the VT member on 11.04.2017 and stating as follows;

"....the NCTE was given me an assignment to inspect the college namely – Shree Kamireddy Sivaramireddy College of Elementary Education in between 12 Feb to 4 March 2017 as VT member. Its application No is SRCAPP14641. During the time, when I contacted to college on given telephone No. 8916465464, the concerned person (Principal) refused me. She said that "we are not interested to inspect our college at present." This is for your

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		kind information and further action." **The Committee considered the VT report, VCD and all relevant documentary evidences and decided as under:-** **1. The Appellate authority directed us to process this case. Accordingly, we proceeded with action and ordered V.T. inspection.** **2. One Vt member has now reported that the college Principal telephonically had stopped the inspection.** **3. Contact the college to find out the correct position.**
46.	SRCAPP2016 30050 D.El.Ed. (1 unit) Block Institute of Teacher Education, Nilgiris, Tamilnadu.	**Block Institute of Teacher Education(BITE), Gudalur Village, GHSS Campus, Ovelley Road, Gudalur Taluk & City, Nilgiris District-643211, Tamilnadu** applied for grant of recognition to **Block Institute of Teacher Education(BITE), Gudalur Village, GHSS Campus, Ovelley Road, Gudalur Taluk & City, Nilgiris District-643211, Tamilnadu** for offering **D.El.Ed course for two years duration for the academic year 2017-18 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act,** 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on **27.05.2016**.The institution has submitted the hard copy of the application on **03.06.2016.** The application is processed as per NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedures) Regulations, 2014 notified by NCTE on 01.12.2014. As per Regulations, a letter to State Government for recommendation was sent on 04.07.2016 followed by Reminder I on 01.10.2016 and Reminder II on 02.11.2016. As per the direction, the application was scrutinized online along with hard copy of the application and the same was placed before the Committee. SRC in its 327th Meeting held during 19th to 20th January, 2017 considered the scrutiny of the application and decided as under :-

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		1. **This will be a 'stand alone' course.** 2. **Land document is not given.** 3. **NOC is given.** 4. **BP is given. Built-up area is 1116 sq.mts.** 5. **BCC not given.** 6. **LUC/EC – not required for a Govt. institution.** 7. **Issue Show Cause Notice accordingly.** As per the decision of SRC, a Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution through online mode on 21.01.2017. The institution submitted SCN reply along with document on 02.02.2017. The SRC in its 329th Meeting held on 06th & 07th February, 2017 the committee considered the matter and decided as follows; 1. **Land documents are given. Land area is adequate.** 2. **LUC is there.** 3. **EC not required. Govt. land.** 4. **Building Plan is in order.** 5. **BCC not given.** 6. **FDRs not required.** 7. **Cause inspection.** 8. **Ask VT to collect all relevant documents.** An e-mail received from Shri Dr. Krishna Kant Sharma on 20.02.2017 and stating as follows; *"My VT member ID is 407860. I have been appointed by your office to inspect BITE, Gudalur (SRCAPP201630050).* ***Now please inform me about another VT member so we can fix the date of visit and make our travel plan accordingly.*** *Till date He/She has not contected the institution.* *Second point is that as per our dashboard we have to visit the institution in between* ***20th Feb. to 12th March****. Till 25th Feb. I am busy with my University work. As board examinations will be in the month of march, so the institution is* ***willing to extend the date. Can we do so*** *after consulting another VT member."*

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

VT members names were generated through online VT module for inspection during the period 19.03.2017 to 08.04.2017.

An e-mail received from VT member Shri Shivaji Shinde on 10.04.2017 and stated as under;

"We have inspection of Block Institute of Teachers Education, Gudalur, Tamilnadu on 02.04.2017 to 04.04.2017. But due to server problem we could not send the VT report of this institute.

We also talked to us on phone regarding this problem."

The Inspection conducted by VT Members on 02.04.2017 TO 04.04.2017 inspection report hard copy received on 11.04.2017.

Details of the proposed and existing programs of the institution as per the VT Report					
Proposed Programme			**Existing Programme**		
Sl.No.	**Name of the course**	**Intake**	**Sl.No.**	**Name of the course**	**Intake**
1	-	-	-	-	-

The details of inspection report is as below;

REGISTRATION BYE-LAW CERTIFICATE	Date of Reg. and in the name of	**Not submitted**
Details of Land Documents:		
Registered certified copy of the Land documents: Submitted / Not submitted		**Not submitted.**
(whether in English or Regional language) (whether certified/notarized English translation submitted)		
Date of registration of land		
Land registered in the name of		
Type of title deed i.e. sale		

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

deed/lease deed(Govt. /Pvt.)/gift deed			
Survey No/ Plot No/ Khasara No.			
Extent of land in each Sy. No./ Plot No./ Khasara No.			
AFFIDAVIT:-	**Original submitted (Not in format)**		
Sy. No	Plot No. 1095		
Location	Gudalur, Nilgiris Dist, Tamil Nadu.		
Land is on own/lease basis	Ownership Basis		
Extent	Total Area of the land 2500 Sq.mts.		
Blue print/Notarized copy of Building Plan submitted/ Not submitted :-	**Photo copy submitted**		
Name and address of Society/Trust/Institution	Block Institute of Teachers Education, Gudalur, Nilgiris District.		
Whether Building Plan is for the proposed institution/ course or also for some other TEI/course	Not mentioned		
Plot area/land area	**Not mentioned**		
Total built-up area	**Plinth Area**		
	Floor area @+91.80 m lvl	318.84 Sq.mts	
	Floor area @+95.10 m lvl	732.46 Sq.mts	
	Floor area @+98.40 m lvl	453.70 Sq.mts	
	Total	**1505.00 Sq.mts(16199.69Sq.ft)**	
Survey No/ Plot No/ Khasara No. and location	Gudalur, Nilgiris District		
Built up area for the proposed and existing teacher education courses	**1505.00 Sq.mts(16199.69Sq.ft)**		
Date of approval	03.12.2007		
Name and designation of approving	In regional language.		
Notarized copy of Land Use Certificate submitted /Not submitted			
Name of the Society/ Trust/ Institution			
Survey/Plot/Khasara No. and location	**Land Use Certificate not submitted.**		
Extent of diverted land			
Purpose of diversion			
Date of issue			
Name and designation of approving			

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		authority	
		Notarized copy of the Building Completion Certificate submitted	**Building Complation Certificate not submitted.**
		Name and address of Society/Trust/Institution	
		Survey/Plot/ Khasara Nos. and location	
		Built up area for the proposed course and/or for existing course	
		Type of Roofing	
		Purpose for which building is being used/proposed to be used	
		Date of issue	
		Name and designation of approving authority	
		Notarized copy of Encumbrance Certificate submitted/ Not submitted	**Encumbrance Certificate not submitted.**
		Name of the Society/Trust/Institution	
		Survey/Plot/Khasara Nos. and location	
		Search for the period	
		Extent of land	
		Any mortgage as per EC	
		Date of issue	
		Name and designation of issuing	
		Fixed Deposit Receipts	**FDRs not submitted.**
		Original/ Photocopy of the FDR of Rs. 5 lakhs + 7 lakhs	
		FDR / A/c Number	
		Whether in single or joint A/c	
		Date of issue	
		Date of Maturity	
		Name of issuing Bank	
		If already Rs. 5 lakhs + Rs. 3 lakhs submitted in joint A/c whether additional FDR of Rs.4 lakhs in joint A/c is submitted in original/photocopy	
		Faculty list	Photocopy of faculty list submitted.
		faculty list approved/ not approved	Approved
		Whether approved on each page or	Not Approved
		No. of faculty as per norms of the course	16

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

Designation of the approving authority		Director, State Council of Education.
Date of approval		
Whether NOC from Affiliating body of State Government issuing		**Submitted**
NAAC Certificate submitted/Not submitted		**Not submtted**
Comments of VT Members		
11.	**Total Built – Up area**	-
12.	**Multipurpose Hall**	-
13.	**Furniture**	-
14.	**Labs/Resources Rooms**	-
15.	**Whether the library is sharing with other course**	No
16.	**Seating capacity in the library**	50
17.	**No. of books in the library and Journals**	Books 1854 and 5 Journals

Remarks;

- **Along with VT Report the institution has not submitted Society registration certificate, land document, Land Use Certificate, BCC, EC, Affidavit and FDRs.**
- **Building Plan approved in regional language.**
- **The institution has submitted faculty list approved by Director of State Council of Education.**

The Committee considered the VT report, VCD and all relevant documentary evidences and decided as under:-

1. **Title is there. Govt. land. Land area is adequate.**
2. **LUC/EC not required.**
3. **BP is approved by PWD Engineer and not the local body concerned. But, State Govt. has given the required permission.**
4. **BCC is not given.**
5. **Issue LOI for D.El.Ed.(1 unit). Ask them to submit BCC along with the LOI reply.**

Sathyam
(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

47.	SRCAPP2016 30080 D.P.SE 2 Units St. Peters Educational Association, Warangal, Telangana B.Ed- 2 Units D.Ed- 1 Unit	**St. Peters Educational Association, Hanamkonda Village, Vidyaagar, Hanamkonda Taluk & City, Warangal, District-506001, Telangana** had applied for grant of recognition to **St. Peters Educational Association, Hanamkonda Village, Vidyaanagar, Hanamkonda Taluk & City, Warangal District-506001, Telangana** for offering **D.P.S.E course** for two years duration for the academic year 2017-18 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on **31.05.2016**. The institution submitted the hard copy of the application on **06.06.2016.** As per Regulations, a letter to State Government for recommendation was sent on 22.06.2016, followed by Reminder-I on 01.10.2016 and Reminder-II on 02.11.2016. No recommendation received from the State Government after the period of 90 days as per Regulations is over; hence, the application is processed. As per public notice for 2017-18 there is no ban for D.P.S.E course in the State of Telangana. The application has been scrutinized through online along with hard copy of application and placed before the SRC in its 325th meeting held on 19th – 20th December, 2016. The Committee considered the matter and decided as under: **1. Case of B.Ed (2 units), D.El.Ed (1 unit) and (now) D.P.SE (2 units). Total land area required will be 0.6 acre; built-up area required will be 5000 sqmts.** **2. Title deed is clear. Sy.nos 280, 281 and 282 (sy.no. 283 not mentioned). Land area involved-more than one acre. It is adequate.** **3. EC is in order.** **4. LUC-is in order.** **5. BP is approved. Built-up area approved is 2619 sqmts.** **6. BCC is duly approved. Built-up area shown is 3025 sqmts. This exceeds the area approved in BP.** **7. FDRs-not given.** **8. Issue Show Cause Notice accordingly.** Accordingly, Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution through online mode on 21.12.2016. The institution has not submitted reply. The SRC in its 329th meeting held on 06th – 07th February, 2017 considered the matter and decided as under:

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		1. **NOC (issued by Director of School Education) is given.** 2. **Reply to SCN not received.** 3. **According to the computer programme in force, non-submission of reply to SCN should lead to rejection of the case. It will be unfortunate if this case, which fulfils all requirements for VT inspection has to be rejected because of the technical difficulty in the computer programme.** 4. **Remind them for an early reply.** 5. **Put up on 22.2.17.** The institution has submitted the reply on 13.02.2016. An e-mail received from Ibrahim Islam on 14.02.2017. It stated as under: "One of the issue discussed on the 9th Feb, 2017 meeting was to provide a feature for NCTE Users to upload the SCN Replies-Post Preliminary Scrutiny. It was understood that because of Institutes being unaware of submission of SCN Replies through online mode many of them has submitted their responses in the form of hard copies. In order to handle such applications we have added functionality to the Section Officer Role using which the concern section officer can upload the scan copy of the replies received online and process the application to next stage. Following para describes the steps on how to process such cases. 1. When an SCN is issued post completion of Preliminary Scrutiny, the application status is set to **501- Issue SCN - Preliminary Scrutiny**. Under this stage the applicants get an option to respond to the SCN through online mode and upload their replies using the Institute dashboard. Once the applicant submits their reply from the institute dashboard the application status gets change to **502- SCN Reply for Preliminary Scrutiny Submitted**. The application then gets visible under RD Dashboard for further processing **503- Processing of SCN Status for Preliminary Scrutiny**. 2. As per rule an applicant is given 21 days to submit their responses. In case the applicant has not responded within 21-days the application status gets automatically set to **5011-SCN Reply for Preliminary Scrutiny Pending**. 3. Now we have two sets of applicants (a) one for which is reply is due [within 21 days timeline] and the other (b) for which reply is pending [have crossed the 21-days response time]. For both the cases, if Regional Offices has received the response in the form of

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

a hard copy [offline mode] then the replies can be uploaded at the Regional Offices and process the application further. It is important to note that if the applicant has already used the online mode to reply then this new functionality will not be applicable for such applications.

4. To use the functionality respective section officer has to login using their credentials and select application having status – **Issue SCN-Preliminary Scrutiny** or **SCN Reply for Preliminary Scrutiny Pending**.

5. After selecting an application, open the application from the dashboard and move to the action panel. In the action panel select **SCN Reply for Preliminary Scrutiny Submitted** from the drop down menu. Type in other details of the reply(if any) including received such as date etc in the comment box. Upload the scan copy of the reply received using the document upload function and then click Submit Button.

6. Once the Submit button is clicked the application status will get changed to **SCN Reply for Preliminary Scrutiny Submitted** and will be visible under RD Dashboard for processing it further.

7. Kindly note that you need to upload the complete reply received in hard copy form. Avoid uploading one or two pages. The reply received has to be scanned at Regional Offices before processing the application further. Would suggest to have all the soft copies of the SCN replies ready before processing it.

8. In case the submit button is not visible in your dashboard you need to refresh your online app by pressing CTRL+SHIFT+R

Would also like to inform that this is an interim arrangement made to take care of the replies received in the form of hard copies. But we should encourage Institutes to submit their replies online to reduce our workload at Regional Offices."

As per the instructions the hard copy of the SCN reply scanned and uploaded in the PDF format in the Section dash board & technical problem is solved.

The SRC in its 331st meeting held on 22nd February, 2017 considered the matter and decided as under:

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

1. **They have fulfilled all the conditions.**
2. **Reply to SCN could not be given because of a problem in our software. We cannot hold it out against them.**
3. **Cause composite inspection.**

Accordingly, inspection intimation was sent to the institution and VT members through online on 01.03.2017. The inspection of the institution was conducted on 27th and 28th March 2017 and the VT report along with CD received on 10.04.2017.

The documents received along with VT report is processed as under:

Name and address of the institution (as per application)	St. Peters Educational Association, Hanumakonda Village, Vidyaagar, Hanumakonda Taluk & City, Warangal District-506001, Telangana.
Name and address of the Society	St. Peters Educational Association, Hanumakonda Village, Vidyaagar, Hanumakonda Taluk & City, Warangal District-506001, Telangana.
Date of Inspection	27th& 28th March 2017
Address of the institution as per VT Report	H.No.2-4-1211, Vidya Nagar, Near T.V. tower, Hanamkonda, Warangal, Telangana-506001.

Details of courses as per the VT Report

Sl. No	Name of the Proposed Course	Intake	Sl.No.	Name of the Existing Course	Intake
01	D.P.S.E	2 units	01	D.Ed	50
			02	B.Ed	50

As per scrutiny of documents received with VTR

REGISTRATION BYE-LAW CERTIFICATE	Date of Regn. and in the name of	**St. Peter's Educational Association 24.07.1980**
Details of Land Documents:		
Registered certified copy of the Land documents: Submitted / Not submitted (whether in English or Regional language)		**Photo copy submitted in English version**

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

(whether certified/notarized English translation submitted)	
Date of registration of land	(4) 21.03.1991 (5) 21.03.1991 (6) 06.07.1991 (7) 04.05.1991
Land registered in the name of	(4) St. Peter's Educational Association (5) St. Peter's Educational Association (6) St. Peter's Educational Association (7) St. Peter's Educational Association
Type of title deed i.e. sale deed/lease deed (Govt. /Pvt.)/gift deed	(4) Sale Deed (5) Sale Deed (6) Sale Deed (7) Sale Deed
Survey No/ Plot No/ Khasara No.	(4) Sy. No.280,281 (5) Sy. No.280,281 (6) Sy. No.280, 281, 282-P. (7) Sy. No.280,281,282/P.
Extent of land in each Sy. No./ Plot No./ Khasara No.	(4) 0.27 Guntas (5) 0.28 Guntas (6) 0.12 Guntas (7) 0.33 Guntas
AFFIDAVIT:-	**Photo copy Submitted**
Sy.No	**Not in Format**
Location	
Land is on own/lease basis	
Built up area	
Extent	
Blue print/Notarized copy of Building Plan submitted/ Not submitted :-	**Photo Copy Submitted**
Name and address of Society/Trust/Institution	St.Peter's Educational Association Sy No. 280,281,282 and 324 , Lashkar singaram, Vidya nagar, Hanam konda, Warangal dist.
Whether Building Plan is for the proposed institution/ course or also for some other TEI/course	**Not Mentioned**
Plot area/land area	Acres 5.95 or 21,195.47 Sq.mts
Total built-up area	a) Building area-1191.43 Sq.mts b) Building area – 2622 Sq.mts c) Building Area – 970.36 Sq.mts **Total: 1946.57 Sq.mts**

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

Built up area for the proposed and existing teacher education courses	**Not Mentioned**
Date of approval	
Name and designation of approving authority	City Planner & Commissioner
Notarized copy of Land Use Certificate submitted /Not submitted	**Certificate of Land from Revenue Divisional Officer submitted in Sy.No. 280, 280/F, 281, 281/F, 282, 282/F and 283/C. Not mentioned for Educational Purpose.**
Name of the Society/ Trust/ Institution	
Survey/Plot/Khasara No. and location	
Extent of diverted land	
Purpose of diversion	
Date of issue	
Name and designation of approving authority	
Notarized copy of the Building Completion Certificate submitted /not submitted	**Photo copy Submitted**
Name and address of Society / Trust / Institution	(1) St. Peter's Educational Association Hanamkonda, 2-4-1211, Vidyanagar, Hanamkonda, Warangal Dist, Telangana (2) St. Peter's Educational Association H.No.2-4-1211, Vidya Nagar, Near TV Tower, Hanamkonda, Warangal Dist, Telangana
Survey/Plot/ Khasara Nos. and location	(1) Sy.No.280,281,282 and 283 of Lashkar singaram (M), Hanamkonda (2) Sy.No.280,281,282 and 283 of Lashkar singaram (M) Hanamkonda.
Total Built up area for the proposed course and/or for existing course	(1) GF- 12800 Sq.ft FF- 12572 Sq.ft SF- 12572 Sq.ft **Total – 37,944 Sq.ft** (2) GF- 626.67 Sq.mts F.F- 602.13 Sq.mts S.F- 606.78 Sq.mts **Total- 1835.58 Sq.mts**
Type of Roofing	**(1) RCC** **(2) RCC**

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

Purpose for which building is being used/proposed to be used	(1) Educational Purpose (2) Educational Purpose
Date of issue	(1) 04.07.2009 (2) 04.03.2011
Name and designation of approving authority	(1) B.Kiran Kumar, Asst. Executive Engineer (2) M.Kavitha, Asst. Executive Engineer
Notarized copy of Encumbrance Certificate submitted/ Not submitted	**Photo copy Submitted**
Name of the Society/Trust/Institution	(4) St. peter's Educational Society (5) St. peter's Educational Society (6) St. peter's Educational Society (7) St. peter's Educational Society
Survey/Plot/Khasara Nos. and location	(4) Sy.No.280,281 at Lashkarsingaram Village (5) Sy.No.280,281 at Lashkarsingaram Village (6) Sy.No.280/F,281/F,282/F,283/C at Lashkarsingaram Village (7) Sy.No. 280, 281, 282/P at Lashkarsingaram Village
Search for the period	(4) 01.01.1988 to 03.03.2017 (5) 01.01.1988 to 03.03.2017 (6) 01.01.1988 to 03.03.2017 (7) 01.01.1988 to 03.03.2017
Extent of land	**(4) 0.27 Guntas** **(5) 0.28 Guntas** **(6) Acres 1.00** **(7) 0.17 Guntas**
Any mortgage as per EC	Nil
Date of issue	(4) 04.03.2017 (5) 04.03.2017 (6) 04.03.2017 (7) 04.03.2017
Name and designation of issuing authority	(1) Sub-Registrar (2) Sub-Registrar (3) Sub-Registrar (4) Sub-Registrar
NOC From Affiliating body Submitted/Not Submitted	**The Director, SCERT Dated 30.05.2016**
Date of application for **NAAC accreditation** (wherever	**Not Applicable**

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

necessary) Application No. submitted to NAAC	

FDR's Details						
	5.00 Lakhs	**7.00 Lakhs**	**5.00 Lakhs**	**3.00 Lakhs**	**5.00 Lakhs**	**3.00 Lakhs**
Submitted in Original	**Photo copy submitted**	**Photo copy submitted**	**Photo copy submitted**	**Photo copy submitted**	**Photo copy submitted**	**Photo copy submitted**
FDR/ Ac number	3060966	3060965	076601	076600	0303151	0303151
Name of the Bank	Bank of India	Bank of India	State bank of Hyderabad	State bank of Hyderabad	State bank of Hyderabad	State bank of Hyderabad
Whether in Single or Joint A/c	Single A/c	Single A/c	Joint A/c	Joint A/c	Joint A/c	Joint A/c
Duration of FDR	60 months	60 months	60 months	60 months	60 months	60 months
Date of Issue	17.03.2017	17.03.2017	09.07.2009	09.07.2009	31.01.2004	31.01.2004
Date of Maturity	17.03.2022	17.03.2022	09.07.2014	09.07.2014	31.01.2009	31.01.2004
Website of the institution	www.stpetershnk.org					

Comments of VT Members		
01.	Total Built-up area	**18442.54 Sq.ft**
02.	Furniture	Adequate
03.	Multipurpose Hall	**218.475**
04.	Labs/Resources Rooms	Adequate
05.	Whether the library is sharing with other courses	Yes
06.	Seating capacity in the library	50
07.	No. of books in the library and Journals	11335 and 33 Journals

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

Remarks:

3. In the BP it is mentioned that the proposed construction of extension to existing School building (Ground Floor & Second Floor) and Pharmacy Building (Cellar & Ground Floor) and Residential Block (Ground, First & Second).
4. In the BP built up area is not earmarked for proposed D.P.S.E and the existing D.Ed & B.Ed
5. The institution has not submitted LUC. Instead of LUC, the institution has submitted certificate of land. It is not mentioned for Educational Purpose.
6. The institution has submitted Photocopy of Affidavit not in format
7. The institution has not submitted original FDRs.

The Committee considered the VT report, VCD and all relevant documentary evidences and decided as under:-

1. They have D.El.Ed.(1 unit) & B.Ed.(2 units). They want DPSE(2 units).

2.1 The land area required is (3000 sq.mts. for D.El.Ed. + B.Ed.; 2500 sq.mts. for DPSE) 5500 sq.mts.

2.2 Built-up area required is (3000 for D.El.Ed./B.Ed. combo+500 for B.Ed.2nd unit + 2000 for DPSE 2 units) 5500 sq.mts.

3. Title is clear. Land area is not clear. The Sale deeds show it as 0.10 guntas or 0.10 hectares. These units of land area are vastly different. They must explain.

4. LUC is in order.

5. EC is in order.

a. BP is only a photocopy. Approved. Original required. Built-up area shown is 4783.79 sq.mts. There is a mention of proposed area of 569.29 sq.mts. The BP entries are not at all clear. They must explain.

b. Covers Sy.No. 324 which is not in title deed.

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		7.1 BCC covers 280,281,282 and 283. Sy.No.283 is not covered by Sale Deed. 7.2 BCC-1 shows built-up area of 3525 sq.mts. BCC-2 shows built-up area of 1836 sq.mts. Area covered by Sy.No.283 has to be deducted. 7.3 They must explain the correct position. 8.1 Course wise earmarking of area is not indicated. 8.2 Additional construction for school extension, Pharmacy building and Residential block is shown. 8.3 They must explain how much of area is available for DPSE, D.El.Ed. and B.Ed. 9. FDRs are required in original, in Joint account, valid for 5 years @ 7+5 lakhs for each unit of each course. 10. Issue SCN accordingly.
48.	SRCAPP1883 B.Ed 2 Units Kumaran College of Education, Vellore, Tamilnadu	1. Title is clear. Land area available 2521.44 sq.mts. 2. LUC/EC.... are in order. 3. BP is approved. Built-up area shown is 3951.99 sq.mts. 4.1 BCC is not approved by competent authority. Built-up area shown is 3932.09 sq.mts. 4.2 Built-up area required for B.Ed.(2 units) is 2000 sq.mts. 4.3 In other words, there is a surplus area of 1932 sq.mts. 5.1 It is not possible to run 2 other colleges in 1932 sq.mts. 5.2 In any case, there is no coursewise earmarking of built-up area. Any overlapping arrangement introduced without approval cannot be recognized by us. 5.3 The BP and BCC both show the entire built-up area to be utilized for B.Ed. 6.1 The Sale Deed is dated 13.12.2012. The Sale Deeds clearly refer to the lands as vacant lands with no construction thereon.

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		6.2 But the B.P. is dated 2006 i.e., 6 years prior to the registration of the Sale Deeds. **7. Date of inspection for BCC is 21.12.12. The inspection report shows date of completion of construction as 1.12.07 i.e., 5 years prior to registration of Sale Deed. This contradicts the statements in the Sale Deed that the land was vacant(with no construction thereon) at the time of registration.** **8.1 Two inspection teams of TNTEU have confirmed that two other educational institutions are running at the same premises.** **8.2 The BP/BCC details described above show that it is not practicable to run 2 other colleges at the same premises with only 1932 sq.mts. built-up area available.** **8.3 The applicant has not denied that they are running 2 other colleges at the same premises. Cleverly, they have stated that the Regulations do not prohibit other colleges running at the same premises.** **9. The position emerging from these enquiries clearly suggest that facts have been manipulated.** **10.1 Issue Show Cause Notice accordingly and ask them to explain the position with greater clarify.** **10.2 Put in May 17 after 3.5.17.**
49.	The direction given by SRC in respect of enclosed 23 cases could not be implemented through online due to technical problem in the online dash board. However to avoid delay the	The direction given by SRC in respect of enclosed 23 cases could not be implemented through online due to technical problem in the online dash board. However to avoid delay the decisions were implemented through email/courier/speed post/ other conventional modes of communication. SRC is requested to ratify the action taken in the above matter.

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

	decisions were implemented through email/courier/speed post/ other conventional modes of communication. SRC is requested to ratify the action taken in the above matter.	**The Committee considered the above matter and ratified the action taken by SRO.**
50.	SRCAPP2016 300004 M.P.Ed. 1 unit Bharathidasan University, Tiruchirapalli, Tamilnadu.	**Bharathidasan University, Suriyur Village, NH210, Thiruverambur Manual & Taluk, Tiruchinapalli District-620024,Tamilnadu** applied for grant of recognition to **Bharathidasan University, Suriyur Village, NH210, Thiruverambur Manual & Taluk, Tiruchinapalli District-620024, Tamilnadu** for offering **M.P.Ed** course of two years duration for the academic year 2017-18 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on **04.05.2016**.The institution has submitted the hard copy of the application on **09.05.2016.** As per Regulations, a letter to State Government for recommendation was sent on 01.06.2016, followed by Reminder I on 01.10.2016 and Reminder II on 02.11.2016. No recommendation received from the State Govt. The period of 90 days as per Regulations is over. Hence, the application is processed. As per public notice for 2017-18, there is no ban for M.P.Ed course in the State of Tamilnadu. As per the direction, the application has been scrutinized online along with hard copy of the application and documents and placed before SRC in its 325th meeting held on 19th & 20th December, 2016 the committee considered the Scrutiny of the application and decided as under:- **1. Land title and land area are in order.** **2. EC/LUC – not required. Already checked in B.P.Ed case. University land.** **3. BP & BCC are given.**

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

4. **BCC does not specify the type of roofing in first floor.**
5. **Fee and FDR – not required.**
6. **Cause composite inspection.**
7. **Ask VT to collect all relevant document. And, check esp. on type of roofing in all floors.**

As per the decision of SRC and as per Regulations 2014 inspection of the institution was scheduled through online mode. VT Members names were generated through On-line VT module for inspection during the period on 06.03.2017 to 26.03.2017,

On 20.03.2017, an email was received by this office from VT members Mr. Satishprakash S. Shukla and stating as under:-

I and Dr. Poonamsing have visited Bharathidashan University, Thiruchirpalli on 16 and 17th March 2017 as VT members for the inspection of the Institute for M.P.Ed programme.

After completion of video-graphy and inspection, we started uploading inspection Report from my Dashboard. But due to some unavoidable error we could not complete it. so we sent a mail seeking help to solve the problem to ncre-support@xchanging.com and waited for the reply till evening. But we did not get any reply. So we prepared a hard-copy of the report manually and sent it through speed-post along with essential data sheet prepared by the institute.

A copy of the receipt of speed-post and soft copy of the report in PDF are attached herewith. Kindly find it and do needful.

Visiting Team report was received on **21.03.2017.** The matter was placed before SRC in its 333rd meeting held on 24th March, 2017 and the Committee considered the VT report and decided as under:-

1. **VT inspection report is examined.**
2. **All details are in order.**
3. **Only, they have temporary structures with asbestos & G.I. sheet roofing.**
4. **Issue SCN accordingly for rejection.**

As per the decision of SRC, a Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution on 06.04.2017 and the SCN reply was received on 10.04.2017.

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

<table>
<tr><th>Sl. No.</th><th>Deficiency Pointed out in the Show Cause Notice</th><th>Reply of the institution</th><th>Details of the documents submitted</th></tr>
<tr><td>1.

2.

3.</td><td>VT Inspection report is examined.

All details are in order.

Only, they have temporary structure with asbestos &G.I. sheet roofing</td><td>Herewith, we are submitted our replies for the decisions of 333rd meeting of SRC NCTE dated 24th March, 2017. The Department of physical Education is located in Bharathidasan University main campus, which is spread over an area of about 450 acres which is re-accreditated by NAAC with 'A' grade. This Department occupies with an area of 18.82 acres including plays grounds, class rooms, labs, seminar hall, multipurpose a/c hall, gym. Yoga centre, indoor stadium, Volleyball complex, multipurpose sports complex, basketball court, synthetic tennis court, 400 mts standard track, football, hockey, field, cricket concrete net practice etc. are physical verified by the VT members.

B.P.Ed. course has been existence ay=t Bharathidasan University since 2014-15, Now, Bharathidasan University is planning to introduced a P.G. course M.P.Ed in Physical Education</td><td>
<table>
<tr><td><u>Blue print/Notarized copy of Building Plan submitted/ Not submitted</u> :-</td><td colspan="2">Building Plan submitted</td></tr>
<tr><td>Name and address of Society/Trust/Institution</td><td colspan="2">Bharathidasan University

Palkalaiperur Campus, Tiruchirappalli - 620024</td></tr>
<tr><td>Whether Building Plan is for the proposed institution/ course or also for some other TEI/course</td><td colspan="2">-</td></tr>
<tr><td rowspan="6">Total built-up area</td><td colspan="2">Department Of physical Education P.G Block</td></tr>
<tr><td>Area</td><td>SQ.M</td></tr>
<tr><td>Class Room</td><td>874.48</td></tr>
<tr><td><u>Audito rium</u></td><td><u>211.67</u>
1086.15Sq.m or 11691.32 Sq.Ft</td></tr>
<tr><td>Total Area</td><td></td></tr>
<tr><td colspan="2">Fitness Centre and Class Rooms Block,</td></tr>
<tr><td></td><td>Area</td><td>Sq.M</td></tr>
</table>
</td></tr>
</table>

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

				with due approval NCTE from 2017-18. The area allocated to physical Eduaction courses which are proposed to be started have been earmarked with building plan approved by competent aiuthority is enclosed with this letter – Annexure-I As per BCC, there is no temporary structure. Only, yoga centre and indoor stadium roof are asbestos sheet & GI sheet, other all buildings are constructed with RCC roofing. This was also Physical verified by the VT members. The BCC is approved by competent authority (Executive Engineer, PWD, Tiruchirappalli). It is indicating that all the roofing in the class room buildings U.G. block, P.G Block, Gym Building and open air stadium with office rooms are constructed with RCC roofing. The Existing building meet the all standard stipulated by NCTE. The Building Completion Certificate enclosed with this letter. – Annexure-II The Existing building UG Block build up area consisting of 1731.95 sq.mts is exclusively used for B.P.Ed. Course. The additional build-up area consisting of		GF Stair Case FF	300.00 33.00 300.00
					Total	633.00Sqm or 6813.55 Sq.Ft	
					GF FF	6373.00Sqm. Ft or 592.75 Sqm 6489.00 Sq.Ft or 603.00Sqm	
					Total	12862 Sq.Ft or 1195.75 Sqm	
					Open Air Theatre and office, Yoga centre and Indoor Stadium.		
					GF GF GF	203.58 Sq.m or 2191.31 Sq.Ft 207.96 Sq.m or 2238.46 SQ.Ft 250.00 Sqm or 2693.36 Sq.Ft	
				Plot area/land area	-		
				Survey No/ Plot No/ Khasara No. and location	S.F. NO. 616, 623, 649.		
				Built up area for the proposed and existing teacher education courses	-		
				Name and designation	-		

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

				1386.15 sq.mts. is exclusively allotted for the proposed M.P.Ed Course. Other common facilities build up area consisting of 458.17 sq.mts. Total build up area is 3576.27 sq.mts. As per NCTE norms, the building area for running BPEd & MPEd programme shall be 2700 sq.mts. The Total buildup area for UG (1731.95 sq.mts) & PG (1386.15 sq.mts) totally it is 3118.10 sq.mts. It is more than the area as stipulated by NCTE norms. The other common facilities (458.17 sq.mts) such as yoga centre and Indoor stadium only constructed with asbestos & GI sheets. Further yoga centre have thermo cool fall sealing all around the hall and indoor stadium will have wooden flooring and flood light facilities. The total building photos which are physically verified by the VT members are enclosed with this letter. – Annexure-III We therefore request the SRC NCTE to kindly accept the clarification and expedite the process of granting approval for starting the M.P.Ed. Course proposed by this University at an early date to enable this university to start M.P.Ed. course from the academic year	of approving authority		
					Notarized copy of the Building Completion Certificate submitted /not submitted	**Building Completion Certificate Submitted**	
					Name and address of Society/Trust/Institution	Bharathidasan University Palkalaiperur Campus, Tiruchirappalli - 620024	
					Survey/Plot/ Khasara Nos and location	S.F. NO. 616, 623, 649, Suriyur Village, Suriyur Pancayat	
					Built up area for the proposed course and/or for existing course	**Sy.No 616**	
						GF	1169.32 Sq.Ft
						GF	6378 Sq.Ft
						FF	6489 Sq.Ft
						Total	7458.9689 Sq. Ft
						Sy.No 623	
						GF	3584.41 Sq.Ft
						FF	3229.20 Sq.Ft
						GF	2238.46 Sq.Ft
						Total	9052.07 Sq.Ft
						Sy.No 629	
						GF	2192.31 Sq.Ft
						GF	2693.36 Sq.Ft
						Total	4885.67 bSq.Ft
					Type of	**RCC**	

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		2017-18.	Roofing	
			Purpose for which building is being used/proposed to be used	**B.P.Ed & M.P.Ed Course in Department of Physical Education**
			Date of issue	-
			Name and designation of approving authority	Executive Engineer, PWD Technical Education Division and Assistant Executive Engineer, PWD Technical Education Division

Remarks:

1. The institution has submitted 6 copies of BCC
2. Photocopy of Building Plan submitted and it is not approved by the competent authority.

The Committee considered the Show Cause Notice reply and decided as under:-

1. **The reply given satisfactorily meets the arithmetic of area requirements. But, it sidetracks the more important point of health-hazard.**
2. **It may be that asbestos sheets are used ony in the Yoga Centre are also outside the total built-up area required for B.P.Ed./M.P.Ed. But, it must be recognized that Yoga centre and Indoor Stadium constitute important activity centres for B.P.Ed./M.P.Ed. courses also. It will not, therefore, be possible for us to ignore the fact that asbestos sheets are used in adjoining areas which will be required to be used by students of B.P.Ed. and M.P.Ed.**
3. **The Bharathidasan University may, please also note that asbestos**

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		sheeting is considered to cause cancer and is, therefore, banned. Even if they are used only in Yoga centre and Indoor stadium, they will still be harmful to the users of those areas. It will, therefore, be in the overall interest of everyone if the Asbestos sheets are removed without any loss of time. **4. We will be able to issue FR for M.P.Ed.(1 unit), before 3.5.2017(the extended time-limit prescribed by the Supreme Court) w.e.f. 2017-18 as soon as we receive confirmation about removal of the asbestos sheets.**
51.	SRCAPP2016 30096 M.Ed 1 Unit Adikavi Nannaya University, East Godavari, Andhra Pradesh	**Adikavi Nannaya University, Velugubanda Village, Rajah Narendra Nagar, Rajahmundry City, East Godavari District-533296, Andhra Pradesh** had applied for grant of recognition to **Adikavi Nannaya University, Velugubanda Village, Rajah Narendra Nagar, Rajahmundry City, East Godavari District-533296, Andhra Pradesh** for offering **M.Ed** course for two years duration for the academic year 2017-18 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on **31.05.2016**.The institution has submitted the hard copy of the application on **06.06.2016.** As per Regulations, a letter to State Government for recommendation was sent on 04.07.2016, followed by Reminder I on 01.10.2016 and Reminder II on 02.11.2016. No recommendation received from the State Government, the period of 90 days as per Regulations is over; hence, the application is processed. As per public notice for 2017-18, there is no ban for M.Ed course in the State of Andhra Pradesh. The documents were processed and placed before the SRC in its 325th meeting held on 19th – 20th December, 2016. The Committee considered the matter and decided as under: **1. Land is of the University. Title is clear. Details checked in B.Ed case.** **2. EC/LUC – not required.** **3. NOC of Govt is there. NOC of University is not required.** **4. BP- is approved. Sy.no. of plinth area not shown.** **5. BCC- approved. Built up area shown is 29,615 sqft. 2751 sqm. Whereas only 2000 sqmts required.** **6. FDRs not required.** **7. Cause Inspection.**

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

Accordingly, inspection intimation was sent to the institution and VT members through online on 03.02.2017. The inspection of the institution was conducted on 02.03.2017 and the VT report along with CD received on 09.03.2017.

The SRC in its 334th meeting held on 30th & 31st March, 2017 considered the matter and decided as under;

1. Land Title is clear.
2. EC/LUC not required.
3. **NAAC certificate not given.**
4. BP is approved.
5. BCC is approved.
6. Built-up area is adequate.
7. **Issue SCN for NAAC certificate.**

Accordingly, as per decision of SRC show cause notice was issued on 07.04.2017.

Now, the institution submitted show cause notice reply along with documents through email on 11.04.2017 and stating as follows;

Sl. No	Deficiency pointed by SRC	Institution written representation	Remarks
1	**NAAC certificate not given.**	"....I am herewith submitting reply to show cause notice regarding M.Ed course of our University along necessary documents."	**The University submitted letter request for NAAC certificate to the Director, NAAC along with letter of intent application.**

The Committee considered the above matter and decided as under:-

1. **Put up with the HQ circular on the subject of taking further action on the basis of NAAC acknowledgement.**

52.	SRCAPP2390 B.P.Ed	**Sri Geervani Educational Society, Plot No. 831, Choppadandi Village & Post, Choppadandi Taluk, Karimnagar District-505415, Telangana** had applied for grant of recognition to **SriGeervani College of Physical Education, Plot No. 831, Choppadandi Village & Post, Choppadandi Taluk, Karimnagar District-505415, Telangana** for offering **B.P.Ed** course of

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

	1 Unit Sri Geervani College of Physical Education, Karimnagar, Telangana	**two** years duration for the academic session 2016-17 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee , NCTE through online on **23.05.2015**. The institution submitted the hard copy of the application on **04.06.2015.** The application was processed as per NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedures) Regulations, 2014 notified by NCTE on 01.12.2014. A letter to State Government for recommendation was sent on 15.06.2015, followed by Reminder-I on 26.08.2015 and Reminder-II on 27.10.2015. Letter to the institution for furnishing information on 'Composite' character sent on 26.08.2015. The institution submitted reply for Composite character on 21.09.2015. The application was processed and placed before SRC in its 294th meeting held on 14th-16th November, 2015 considered the matter and decided as under: **1. BP and BCC with earmarked land and built up area are to be resubmitted.** **2. Apprise the applicant of these deficiencies for necessary action.** **3. Ask the VT to collect all relevant documents and check for removal of deficiencies.** **4. Cause composite inspection** The institution submitted its written representation on 14.01.2016 requesting 2 months time for inspection. The SRC in its 300th meeting held on 29th – 31st January, 2016 considered the matter and decided as under: • **Any postponement at this stage will push them to the bottom of the list which may expose them to the vulnerability of missing the 3rd March, 2016 date line. Ask them whether they still wish to press for the postponement.** As per decision of SRC, letter was sent on 29.02.2016, and inspection intimation was sent to the institution on 16.03.2016. On 28.03.2016, the institution submitted written representation requesting for postponement of inspection. The SRC in its 309th meeting held on 12th – 14th April, 2016 considered the matter and decided as under:

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

- **Time given up to Sept.2016 on the understanding that the institution is facing the risk of loosing one year viz 2016-17.**

As per decision of SRC, a letter was sent to the institution on 20.05.2016.

The SRC in its 323rd meeting held on 16th – 18th November, 2016 considered the matter and decided as under:

- **Cause inspection. Clearly state that no more postponements will be allowed.**
- **Ask VT to collect BP and BCC in order.**
- **Ask VT to collect documents relating to B.Com course for insisting the composite status.**

Now, the institution has submitted written representation on 02.01.2017. It's stated as under:-

"...***With the reference and subject cited above, we are bringing to your kind notice that due to some unforeseen problems, we are unable to make necessary arrangements for VT inspection.***

Therefore, I request your esteemed authority to kindly consider our representation and give us 6 months time to make necessary arrangements for inspection."

The SRC in its 329th meeting held on 06th & 07th February, 2017 considered the matter and decided as under:

- **It is not clear whether the VT actually visited the institution. Before we can take further action, it is necessary to settle this issue. Please examine and put up on 12.2.17.**

Accordingly, as per decision of SRC inspection noticed through online mode on 15.02.2017 and inspection of the institution was fixed between 25.02.2017 to 17.03.2017.

Again, the inspection was rescheduled and noticed through online on 20.03.2017 and inspection fixed between 30.03.2017 to 19.04.2017.

Now, an email received by VT member on 11.04.2017 and stating as follows;

".....I have been appointed as VT member by the NCTE, New Delhi to inspect Sri Geervani College of Physical Education, Karimnagar, Telangana District to grant recognition for B.P.Ed course. The inspection schedule was given between 30th March and 19th April 2017. I accepted the offer and then tried to contact the college authorities to pass the message through phone. Out

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		of two numbers given by the college, one is not working and the other one number is not responding, though its working (9392471836, 8782282436). ***So many times I tried but all in vain. Further, I sent SMS to the available cell number and also I sent Email ID provided by them (srigeervaniim@gmail.com). At last I sent courier to the college address, but all my efforts went in vain. The college authorities have not responding for anything till today (10.04.2017). This is the conditions prevailing here. Like me another inspection team member Dr. Satyaveer Yadav from Rajasthan State also tried his best to contact them but, he also not get any response from them.*** ***This is for your information and further action."*** **The Committee considered the above matter and decided as under:-** **1. In this case we had ordered VT inspection.** **2. Both the VT members have reportedly not been able to get any response from the College.** **3. Apparently, the College is not interested in the inspection. They have been delaying from Feb. 2016.** **4. Issue SCN for rejection.**
53.	SRCAPP14556 B.Ed 2 Units Abu Sawood B.Ed College, Kurnool, Andhra Pradesh	**Abu Sawood Educational Society, Plot No.87-520, Sri Nagar Colony, Kurnool Village B-Camp Post office, Kallur Taluk, Kurnool District - 518002, Andhra Pradesh** had applied for grant of recognition to **Abu Sawood B.Ed College, Plot No.357/3, Bastipadu Village and Post office, Kallur Taluk, Kurnool District - 518002, Andhra Pradesh** for offering **B.Ed** course of **Two** years duration for the academic session 2016-17 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee , NCTE through online on **29.06.2015** The institution submitted hard copy of the application on **13.07.2015.** The Institution submitted No Objection Certificate Dated **29.06.2015** issued by the Rayalaseema University along with the hard copy of application. The application was processed as per NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedures) Regulations, 2014 notified by NCTE on 01.12.2014.

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		A copy of the application was sent to State Government for recommendation on 21.07.2015 and Reminder-I on 05.10.2015 and Reminder-II on 18.01.2017. The Sub-clause (3) of clause 5 of Regulations, 2014 under Manner of making application and time limit stipulates as under:- "(3) The application shall be submitted online electronically along with the processing fee and scanned copies of required documents such as no objection certificate issued by the concerned affiliating body. ***While submitting the application, it has to be ensured that the application is duly signed by the applicant on every page, including digital signature at appropriate place at the end of the application."*** On careful perusal of the original file of the institution and other documents, the application of the institution was found deficient as under:- • ***The application is not duly signed by the applicant on all pages as per Sub-section (3) of Section 5 of Regulations, 2014.*** The SRC in its 292nd Meeting held during 29th to 30th September, 2015 considered the matter and decided as under:- ***" The 1128 cases, in which digital signature is not available on each page in the applications received online, have to be seen as violating Regulations 5.3 of the 2014Regulations .But, it has to be recognized in this context that the said omission was due to a technical difficulty of there not being a provision in the on-line application system to upload digital signatures. In our opinion, it will not be correct to hold them responsible for not uploading digital signatures when the system had no scope for such uploading because of a technical snag. Accordingly, we condone this omission and admit the applications for processing"*** A letter seeking information on composite character of the institution was sent on 05.10.2015. The institution submitted reply on 05.11.2015. The application was processed for causing inspection and placed before SRC in its 295th meeting held on 28th – 30th November, & 01st December, 2015. The Committee considered the matter and decided to ***"await show cause notice reply".*** The SRC in its 323rd meeting held on 16th – 18th November, 2016 considered

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		the matter and decided as under: • **Issue SCN for stand-alone status of B.Ed course.** Accordingly, Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution on 05.12.2016. The institution has submitted its Show cause notice reply along with document on 28.12.2016. The SRC in its 329th meeting held on 06th & 07th February, 2017 considered the matter and decided as under: **1. The B.Ed.(2 units) case can be considered at the new site proposed subject to shifting of the D.El.Ed.(1 unit) to the new site.** **2. Land at the new site measures 2 acres. Title is clear. Land area is adequate.** **3. LUC is in order.** **4. EC is given.** **5.1 BP is in order.** **5.2 BCC – not submitted.** **6 NOC is given.** **7 Cause composite inspection for B.Ed.(2 units) and D.El.Ed.(1 unit),** **8 Ask VT to collect all relevant documents.** Accordingly, as per decision of SRC inspection of the institution was noticed through online mode on 18.02.2017 and inspection of the institution was fixed between 28.02.2017 to 20.03.2017. Now, an email received by the VT member on 11.04.2017 and stating as follows: ***".....as per telephonic talk with you, I forwarded message Dr. Mohammad Hasan, the visit schedule is 16.02.2017 and 17.03.2017. I am requested to you please arrange the alternate VT member in place of Dr. Mohammad Hasan, so I completed the assignment given by you."*** **The Committee considered the Show Cause Notice reply and decided as under:-**

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		1. **We had ordered VT inspection.** 2. **One Member has reported that he is not able to contact the other.** 3. **May be, the Tel.No. given is not correct. Please try to contact him from here. Failing that, we can consider ordering VT inspection afresh.**
54.	Permission to implement the SRC decisions in respect of 5 cases through email/courier/ speed post/ other conventional modes of communication.	Permission to implement the SRC decisions in respect of 5 cases through email/courier/ speed post/ other conventional modes of communication. **The Committee considered the above matter and permitted to implement the SRC decisions in respect of 5 cases through e-mail/courier/speed post/ other conventional modes of communication.**
55.	SRCAPP2016 30132 BA.B.Ed BSc.B.Ed 1 Unit B.Ed- 1 Unit Tata Institute of Social Sciences, Rangareddy, Telangana	**Tata Institute of Social Science (Deemed University), Deonar Village, V.N.Purav marg, Deonar Taluk, Mumbai City, Gr.Mumbai District-400088, Maharashtra** has applied for grant of recognition to **Tata Institute of Social Sciences, Hyderabad Village, S.R.Sankaran Block, TSIPARD Campus, Hyderabad Taluk & City, Rangareddy District-500030, Telangana** for offering **B.Ed.M.Ed** integrated course for three years duration for the academic year 2017-18 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on **27.06.2016**.The institution submitted the hard copy of the application on **02.07.2016.** As per Regulations, a letter to State Government for recommendation was sent on 12.07.2016 followed by Reminder I on 01.10.2016 and Reminder II on 02.11.2016. As per public notice for 2017-18, there is no ban for B.Ed.M.Ed course in the State of Telangana. A Letter dated 27.10.2016 received from Smt.Ranjeev R.Acharya, Education Department, Government of Telangana vide D.O.letter No. 7754/SE-Trg./A1/2016-2, dated: 27.10.2016 reads as under: ***"...that the National Council for Teacher Education, Southern Regional Committee, Bangalore in their reminders vide reference***
56.	SRCAPP2016 30135 B.Ed.M.Ed 1 Unit Tata Institute of Social Sciences,	

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

Rangareddy, Telangana

3rd to 9th cited, has sought the Recommendations of the Government of Telangana for granting of Recognition to the Colleges for conducting B.Ed/D.P.S.E/M.Ed Programme from the Academic Year, 2017-2018, to the following Colleges in the State of Telangana:-

Code No.	Name of the Educational Society applied for recognition	Name of the College	Course applied
SRCAPP201630079	Sri Venkatachalapathi Educational Society, Medak District	Vinayaka College of Education, Medak	B.Ed
SRCAPP201630052	Madrasa I Aizza, Adilabad District	Aizza College of Education, Adilabad	B.Ed
SRCAPP201630065	Vyjayanthi Educational Society, RR District	Sree Dattha Brindavan Institute of Teacher Education, Mahabubnagar	B.A.B.Ed., B.Sc.B.Ed
SRCAPP201630039	Central University, Rejection District	University of Hyderabad Rejection District	M.Ed
SRCAPP201630144	AIM ASIA, Nacharam, Hyderabad	Mckenna College of Education, Nalgonda District.	B.Ed & DPSE
SRCAPP201630135	Tata Institute of Social Science, (Deemed University) Mumbai, Maharastra	Tata Institute of Social Sciences, TSIPARD Campus, RR District.	B.Ed. M.Ed
SRCAPP201630132	Tata Institute of Social Science, (Deemed University) Mumbai, Maharastra	Tata Institute of Social Sciences, TSIPARD Campus, RR District.	BA B.Ed / B.Sc. B.Ed & B.Ed

2. In this context, it is to inform you that, vide reference 2nd cited

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

(copy enclosed), Government of Telangana already informed you not to grant ay Recognition to any proposal pending with the NCTE for starting New B.Ed/B.P.Ed/M.Ed/M.P.Ed Colleges which includes the Colleges specified in the reference 3rd to 9th, cited duly enclosing the Director of School Education, Telangana, Hyderabad, letter mentioned in the reference 1st cited (copy enclosed) which was addressed to the NCTE, New Delhi, and a copy marked to you in the matter. The Acknowledgement of the receipt of the reference 2nd cited by the NCTE is enclosed herewith for your information.

3. In spite of the Letter 2nd cited, which was received on 27.09.2016 by you, we are still receiving reminders dated 01.10.2016 and 26.10.2016, which were received in the department on 07.10.2016 & 27.10.2016 respectively, in the cases of the Colleges mentioned in the references 3rd t o 9th cited. It is not clear as to why this is happening.

4. Therefore, it is once again requested that NCTE should not grant any Recognition to any of these proposals pending with the NCTE for starting New B.Ed/B.P.Ed/M.Ed/M.P.Ed Colleges, which includes the colleges specified I the references 3rd to 9th cited, for the Academic Year 2017-2018 onwards, until specific requisition is sent from the State Government.

5. Your reply in the matter is requested at the earliest.

The SRC in its 323rd meeting held on 16th – 18th November 2016, considered the matter and decided as under:

- **The SRC has no authority to recognize requests for 'banning' courses. The State Government should have taken it up at the appropriate time with the NCTE (HQ).**
- **The present letter also cannot be taken as a common objection to all such cases pertaining to the State. At best, we can treat it as the State Govt.'s objection to the 7 cases listed in the letter. As regards other cases, it will be necessary for the State Govt. , in response to our notice, to send specific objections in individual cases. According to the NCTE Regulations, in case of no reply (to our notice in individual cases) from the State Governments, the Regional Committees will be free to process the case further and decide it on its merits.**

The State Government may be advised accordingly.

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

A letter sent to Dr. Prabhu Kumar Yadav, Research Officer, NCTE Hqrs regarding Clarification about Recommendation from the State Government of Telangana on 22.11.2016.

A letter received from NCTE Hqrs though email dated 19.12.2016, it stated as under:

> "...***It is a legally, well settled position that once applications for various courses have been invited/received, they are to be processed by the Regional Committee concerned as per the Regulation 2014 and comments of State Govt. are again sought for under NCTE Regulations (Clause 7(4) of the Regulation 2014). The comments/recommendations of the state Govt. at this stage are expected to be based on merit of the individual application, in the absence of which RC's take their own decision regarding grant of recognition/refusal. It appears that in these cases when the comments of the State Govt. were invited, the State Govt. simply gave a negative recommendation with a blanket refusal and without assigning any reasons in each individual case. It was possible for the SRC to consider the views of the State Govt. if the recommendation would have been made available in each case on merit with adequate reason. As such, SRC has acted within its rights conferred under the provision of Section 14&15 of the NCTE Act 1993.***
>
> ***However, in all such cases wherever the State Govt. feels that the institution does not qualify for grant of recognition and it is aggrieved with the decision of the SRC they may file an 'Appeal' under Section 18 of the NCTE Act. Section 18(1) of the Act categorically provides that 'any person aggrieved' by an order under Section 14 or 15 or 17 can prefer an Appeal. Till date, under this category, only applicant institutions have been filing appeals and not any other 'aggrieved person'."***

The SRC in its 326th meeting held on 04th – 05th January, 2017 considered and Noted the matter.

A letter was sent to Smt. Ranjeev R.Acharya, Special Chief Secretary to Govt., Education Department, Government of Telangana on 18.01.2017 regarding communicating clarification from NCTE Hqrs in this regard.

The SRC in its 327th meeting held on 19th -20th January, 2017 considered the matter and decided as under:

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

5. ***This was finally disposed of in the last meeting. Even NCTE (HQ) has sent a detailed reply to the State Government.***
6. ***No further action is required for correspondence with the State Government.***
7. ***The Regional Director (SRO) has confirmed that no further communication has been received from the Govt. of Telangana about their objection to these 7 cases individually. The earlier common objection letter also does not give any reasons for the objection. The NCTE letter to the State Govt. makes it very clear that a blanket refusal without giving any reasons in each individual case renders it impossible for the SRC to consider the view of the State Govt. on merit with adequate reason.***
8. ***In the result, and for the reasons given above, it will not be possible to reject (or, even, hold up) these 7 cases. The Supreme Court prescribed dateline of 3 March 17 for issue of FR w.e.f. 2017-18 makes it imperative for us to give attention to these cases without further delay. That being so, processes these 7 cases and put them up for SRC consideration in the next meeting.***

The documents were processed and placed before the SRC in its 328th meeting held on 31st January, 2017. The Committee considered the matter and decided as under:

1. **B.Ed., M.Ed., Integrated course is a recognized course.**
2.1. **But, to apply for this course, they should have B.Ed. & M.Ed. as running courses for more than 5 years. They do not have.**
2.2 **The regulations require that they should also have residential accommodation. There is no indication that they have this.**
3. **They should have NAAC certificate with at least 'B' grade.**
4. **They should have given NOC from the University concerned in Telangana. The Regulations do not contemplate courses being affiliated to Universities in other Regions.**
5. **Issue Notice accordingly and ask them to clarify.**

Accordingly, Show Cause Notice was issued to the institution through online on 01.02.2017.

Now, the institution has submitted its reply on 22.02.2017.

The SRC in its 332nd meeting held on 28th February to 3rd March 2017 considered the matter and decided as under:

1. **All requirements are met.**

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

2. **The emphasis in the Regulations is on "UGC recognized...". There is no separate mention of 'Deemed to be University" anywhere in the Regulations. We can, therefore, assume that Deemed Universities will also be covered in the Regulation listing 'institutions' eligible to apply.**
3. **Cause VT inspection.**
4. **The Telangana Govt.'s objection conveyed as a 'blanket refusal' for many courses, without giving reasons, as already stated in other similar cases, cannot be recognized as a valid objection. The State Govt. must give their objection in each case and with supporting reasons at that. Otherwise, it is not possible to consider their objection critically.**

Accordingly, inspection intimation was sent to the institution and VT members through online on 11.03.2017. The inspection of the institution was conducted on 3th and 4th April 2017 and the VT report along with CD received on12.04.2017.

The documents received along with VT report is processed as under:

Name and address of the institution (as per application)	Tata Institute of Social Sciences, Hyderabad Village, S.R.Sankaran Block, TSIPARD Campus, Hyderabad Taluk & City, Rangareddy District-500030, Telangana
Name and address of the Society	Tata Institute of Social Science (Deemed University), Deonar Village, V.N.Purav marg, Deonar Taluk, Mumbai City, Gr.Mumbai District-400088, Maharashtra
Date of Inspection	3th& 4th April 2017
Address of the institution as per VT Report	Tata Institute of Social Science Rode Ministry College Social Work and Research Centre, Opp to 1350 Diversity park, Gachiguda, Hyderabad-560008, Telangana.

Details of courses as per the VT Report

Sl. No	Name of the Proposed Course	Intake	Sl.No.	Name of the Existing Course	Intake
01	B.Ed M.Ed	1 unit			
02	B.A.B.Ed/ B.Sc.B.Ed	1 unit			
	B.Ed	1 unit			

As per scrutiny of documents received with VTR

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

REGISTRATION BYE-LAW CERTIFICATE	Date of Regn. and in the name of	**Tata institute of social Sciences 18.01.1966**	
Details of Land Documents:			
Registered certified copy of the Land documents: Submitted / Not submitted (whether in English or Regional language) (whether certified/notarized English translation submitted)		**The institution has submitted Abstract**	
Date of registration of land		24.07.1993	
Land registered in the name of		Indian Council of Social Welfare	
Type of title deed i.e. sale deed/lease deed (Govt. /Pvt.)/gift deed		**Lease Deed**	
Survey No/ Plot No/ Khasara No.		66/1	
Extent of land in each Sy. No./ Plot No./ Khasara No.		Acres 10.00	
AFFIDAVIT:-		**Photo copy submitted**	
Sy.No		**Sy.No.66/1**	
Location		Raidurg, Pan Maqtha Village, Serilingampally Mandal,Ranga reddy district, Telangana.	
Land is on own/lease basis		Lease Basis	
Built up area		**20000 Sq.mts**	
Extent		40468.6 Sq.mts	
Blue print/Notarized copy of Building Plan submitted/ Not submitted :-			
Name and address of Society/Trust/Institution		**Not Submitted**	
Whether Building Plan is for the proposed institution/ course or also for some other TEI/course			
Plot area/land area			
Total built-up area			
Built up area for the proposed and existing teacher education courses			
Date of approval			
Name and designation of approving authority			
Notarized copy of Land Use Certificate submitted /Not submitted		**Not Submitted**	
Name of the Society/ Trust/ Institution			
Survey/Plot/Khasara No. and location			
Extent of diverted land			
Purpose of diversion			

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

Date of issue	
Name and designation of approving authority	
Notarized copy of the Building Completion Certificate submitted /not submitted	**Not Submitted**
Name and address of Society / Trust / Institution	
Survey/Plot/ Khasara Nos. and location	
Total Built up area for the proposed course and/or for existing course	
Type of Roofing	
Purpose for which building is being used/proposed to be used	
Date of issue	
Name and designation of approving authority	
Notarized copy of Encumbrance Certificate submitted/ Not submitted	**Not Submitted**
Name of the Society/Trust/Institution	
Survey/Plot/Khasara Nos. and location	
Search for the period	
Extent of land	
Any mortgage as per EC	
Date of issue	
Name and designation of issuing authority	
NOC From Affiliating body Submitted/Not Submitted	**Not Submitted**
Date of application for **NAAC accreditation** (wherever necessary) Application No. submitted to NAAC	**Submitted with "A" Grade.**

	FDR's Details	
	5.00 Lakhs Endowment Fund	**7.00 Lakhs Reserve Fund**
Submitted in Original	**Not Submitted**	
FDR/ Ac number		
Name of the Bank		
Whether in Single or Joint A/c		
Duration of FDR		
Date of Issue		
Date of Maturity		

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

Website of the institution	www.campus.tiss.edu/hyderabad

Comments of VT Members		
01.	Total Built-up area	**18514.78 Sq.ft**
02.	Furniture	Adequate
03.	Multipurpose Hall	**Not mentioned**
04.	Labs/Resources Rooms	Adequate
05.	Whether the library is sharing with other courses	Yes
06.	Seating capacity in the library	40
07.	No. of books in the library and Journals	4507 Books, 10 Journals

Remarks:

1. **The institution has submitted Abstract for lease period of 33 years.**
2. **The institution has not submitted BP.**
3. **The institution has not submitted LUC.**
4. **The institution has not submitted BCC.**
5. **The institution has not submitted EC.**
6. **The institution has submitted photocopy of Affidavit. It is showing Lease basis.**
7. **The institution has not submitted Original FDRs.**
8. **The institution has applied for B.A.B.Ed. B.Sc.B.Ed four years integrated Course and B.Ed two years course (SRCAPP201630132).**

The Committee considered the VT report, VCD and all relevant documentary evidences and decided as under:-

1. This case has many deficiencies.

2.1 They do not have clear title to the land. The application was accompanied by a Conveyance Deed from the Govt. of A.P. that land was in Mehboobnagar Dist. Now, they have changed to a Lease Deed from KSW.

2.2 That the new land at Ranga Reddy District is also Govt. land is not very relevant. The Govt. land is on lease with ICSW. ICSW have sub-leased it to TISS through an MOU. NCTE Regulations do not allow private lease. Also, it is not clear whether KSW is authorized to sub-

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		lease the land. **3. Further more, at the time of application TISS did not have any title to the land in Ranga Reddy District. The sub-lease arrangement also was executed in April 2017.** **4. TISS have also not given any documents. LUC, EC, BP, BCC – all have not been given.** **5. With so many infirmities and deficiencies, it will not be possible for the SRC to take any further action in this case.** **6. Issue SCN accordingly.**
57.	SRCAPP2653 D.El.Ed-AI Asifia College of Education, Rangareddy, Telangana	**Asifia Education Society, Plot No. 186, 187, Chintula Road & Village, Yacharam Post & Taluk, Ibrahimpatnam City, Rangareddi District-501509, Telangana** applied for grant of recognition to **Asifia College of Education, No. 170 & 182, Thulekhurd Street, Chintula Village & Post, Yacharam Taluk, Ibrahimpatnam City, Rangareddi District-501509, Telangana** for offering **D.El.Ed-AI** course for **two** years duration for the academic year 2016-17 under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee , NCTE through online on **29.05.2015**. The institution has submitted the hard copy of the application on **30.05.2015**. The application was processed as per NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedures) Regulations, 2014 notified by NCTE on 01.12.2014. A copy of application sent to the state Government recommendation on **10.06.2015.** **Sub-section (3) of Section 5 of Regulations, 2014 under Manner of making application and time limit stipulates as under:-** ***"(3) The application shall be submitted online electronically alongwith the processing fee and scanned copies of required documents such as no objection certificate issued by the concerned affiliating body. While submitting the application, it has to be ensured that the application is duly signed by the applicant on every page, including digital signature at appropriate place at the end of the application."*** On careful perusal of the original file of the institution and other documents, the application of the institution was found deficient as per Regulations, 2014 as under:- **1. As per the public notification D.El.Ed course is banned in Telangana.** **2. The institution has submitted Minority Certificate from Government of Telangana dated 15.01.2014.** **3. The institution has not submitted No Objection Certificate.**

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		The matter was placed before SRC for in its 292nd meeting held on 29-30 Sept, 2015 and the committee considered the matter and decided to issue show Cause Notice for Non Submission of NOC issued by the affiliating body along with application. Accordingly, Show cause notice was issued to the institution on 21.10.2015. The institution has submitted written representation on 17-11-2015. SRC in its 295th meeting held on 28-30th Nov, 2015 considered the matter and decided that: ***The reply to the SCN is not satisfactory. They have admitted the deficiency. We cannot wait indefinitely from them to produce the NOC. According to the Regulations it is the responsibility of the applicant to secure and attach the NOC from the affiliating body. That being so, it is decided to reject the application.*** Meanwhile the institution has submitted court order dated 21.01.2016 in W.P.MP.No.1980 of 2016 in W.P.No.1565 of 2016 filed by Asifia Educational Society. SRC in its 300th meeting held on 29th -31st January, 2016 considered the matter and decided as under; ***1. The basic issue about delayed submission of NOC has separately been reviewed and decided upon by the SRC. It has been decided to reopen and process applications irrespective of the date of submission of the NOC. There is no decision to consider cases in which NOC has not been submitted at all.*** ***2.1. In the light of the Court order, this case is reconsidered.*** ***3. It has to be recognized in this context that we have to distinguish between the requirement to submit NOC (which is specifically prescribed by the Regulations) and submission of NOC beyond the time-limit given (which is stipulated by non-statutory executive instructions). The case of Asifia college of Education, Rangareddy, Telangana College falls in the former category and is not, therefore, covered by our decision to reconsider the 'delayed submission of NOC' cases.*** ***4. Another point to reckon with here will be about the; minority certificate' being recognized only for eligibility to apply notwithstanding any 'ban' order. In our under standing, it cannot interfere with enforcement of norms and standards and conditions of application. The requirement to attach the NOC is an inviolable condition so far as the Regional Committee is considered.*** ***5. In the result, and for the reasons given above, we are unable to revise the earlier decision. Our Lawyers in Hyderabad may be advised to appropriately submit our stand in the matter to the Hon'ble High Court of Andhra Pradesh and Telengana.*** A court notice received from the Hon'ble High court of Andhra Pradesh in W.P.No.1565 of 2016 filed by Asifia Educational Society to appear personally or by advocate on the day of 22nd February 2016 at 10.30.A.M.

Sathyam
(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

Accordingly court notice was forwarded to the advocate along with the brief of the case on 11.02.2016.

Now, the institution has submitted representation on 25.05.2015 and stated as follows;

1. ***That in the light of Hon'ble High Court of Telangana order kindly considers our application for Additional intake of 50 seats from the academic year 2016-17.***

2. ***That as per item 4 reflective under ref 4 and as no.3, your good office is positively inclined to consider our application for Additional Intake of 50 seats for the academic session 2016-17.***

3. ***That kindly considers the matter at the earliest which would enable the applicant herein to extend the benefit of Additional Intake of seats to its Minority Community.***

4. ***We take leave to pray and plead that your good office will execute the matter at the earliest for which act of kindness we shall ever grateful.***

The SRC in its 314th meeting held on 27th – 08th May, 2016 considered the matter and decided as under:

1. **The Court Order has not accepted our logic of distinguishing between the rights of application and fulfilling a condition of eligibility for consideration.**

2. **If we comply with the Court Order, it will amount to giving recognition without an NOC. That will be unfair to the many other cases rejected earlier by us. Also, it may impact on an all-India basis.**

3. **We should therefore, appeal 'Instruct our Lawyer accordingly.**

Accordingly, a letter conveying the 314th decision was sent to the Advocate Shri.K. Ramakanth Reddy on 07.06.2016.

A letter received from Shri. K. Ramakanth Reddy on 16.06.2016 forwarding the Court order filed by Asifia College of Education in W.P. No. 1565 of 2016 and W.P.MP No. 1980 of 2016.

The Counter Affidavit was sent to Shri. Pradeep Kumar Yadav, Under Secretary, NCTE Hqrs on 20.06.2016 for approval and modification. A letter received from Dr. Sumita Das Majumder, Under Secretary (Legal) on 11.07.2016 regarding modification in Counter Affidavit.

A letter addressed to Shri K. Ramakanth Reddy regarding the modification in counter affidavit on 13.07.2016.

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

On 18.07.2016 an e-mail received from the advocate Shri K. Ramakanth Reddy attached with draft Vacate Stay Petition W.P. NO 1565 of 2016. A letter was sent to the advocate Shri. K. Ramakanth Reddy forwarding the Counter affidavit in respect of W.P. no. 1565 of 2016 filed by Asifia Educational Society.

Further, we have not received any reply from the Advocate Shri K. Ramakanth Reddy.

Now, the institution has submitted its representation on 30.12.2016 along with Minority Certificate, stating as follows:

1. **That the Hon'ble High Court of Telangana in WP No. 1565 of 2016 has passed an order quote "There shall accordingly be an interim direction to the first and the second respondents to reconsider the application of the second petitioner college dated 29.05.2015 in the light of the public notice dated 27.02.2015 issued by it".**
2. **That as per the public notice dated 27 Feb 2015 the restriction for application does not apply for the Minority Institutions under Article 30 of the Constitution.**
3. **That our institution was granted the Minority Status Certificate by National Commission for Minority Education institutions (NCMEI), New Delhi.**
4. **That kindly considers the matter at the earliest which would enable the applicant institution herein to extend the benefit of Additional Intake of seats to its Minority Community.**
5. **We take your leave to pray and plead that your good office execute the matter at the earliest for which act of kindness we shall ever remain grateful failing which the applicant institute will have no other option but to apply to the Hon'ble High Court for disobeyance of its order leading to contempt of the Hon'ble High Court of Telangana and A.P.**

Note:

The institution has not submitted NOC from the affiliating body.

The SRC in its 334th meeting held on 30th – 31st March, 2017 considered the matter and decided to ***"Put up tomorrow."***

The Committee considered the above matter and decided as under:-

1. **There is no intention to disobey the Hon'ble High Court's Order.**

2.1 **In May 16 itself, we had asked that our Lawyer should be advised to file an appeal. We had even sent a Counter-affidavit to the Lawyer in July 2016.**

Sathyam
(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		2.2 Ask the Lawyer to report progress in the case. If he has not yet acted upon our instruction, he should explain reasons for the delay. **3 Ask the Lawyer for immediate action so that no 'contempt' action is lodged.**
58.	SRCAPP2016 30067 BA.B.Ed BSc.B.Ed 1 Unit Usha Latchumanan College of Education, Pondicherry	The institution has submitted a letter dated 24.11.2015 requesting for procedures for online application filling- up & 4 years integrated course. Accordingly, a letter was sent to the institution on 19.02.2016. The institution submitted written representation on 13.04.2016 along with NOC dated 17.11.2015 from Pondicherry University and DD of Rs. 1,50,000/. The institution had applied online application for B.A.B.Ed/B.Sc.B.Ed Four years Integrated Programme for the session 2017-18. (As per the public notice dated 09.03.2016-for the session 2017-18).**The institution had submitted hard copy of incomplete online application i.e prior to generation of application code no.** The institution submitted its written representation dated 04.05.2016 received on 07.05.2016 seeking recognition order. The incomplete application received was forwarded to technical team, NCTE Hqrs through e-mail dated 10.05.2016 for doing the needful. The reply mail received from Hqrs on 10.05.2016 with following message; ***I have checked and found that this applicant submitted two application one application is on draft mode and other application completed all fields but not complete payment mode button. So kindly tell the applicant to submit all details in application number I and application number 2 submit payment details after this process successfully done the application id number will be generated accordingly.*** The same message was forwarded to institution on 10.05.2016. The institution submitted written representation on 27.05.2016 and stated as follows; ***"...we would like to inform you that the Hon'ble High Court Madras order in W.P.No.18301 of 2016 dated 26.05.2016 to consider the application for the year 2016-17 for above said purpose and pass appropriate order in the SRC meeting held on 27.05.2016 and communicate the decision to college and Pondicherry University accordingly.*** ***The above W.P.No.18301 of 2016 dated 26.05.2016 is disposed and the same may be placed in the SRC meeting held on 27.05.2016. The order copy follows."***

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

Note: The Court order in W.P.No.18301 of 2016 dated 26.05.2016 was not received.

The SRC in its 314th meeting held on 27th to 28th May, 2016 and the committee considered the matter and decided as under;

1. ***The Court Order has not yet been received. We have only our Lawyer's Text Message and the applicant's petition dt. 26-05-2016.***
2. ***Their application dt. 30.04.2016 is still in a draft form and is incomplete. No on-line application has been received which is a mandatory requirement under the Regulations. That being so, strictly speaking, there is no valid application at all. No hard copy has also been received. In any case, it is an application filed in response to the NCTE Notification inviting applications for 2017-18. In view of the Supreme Court's order prohibiting issue of Formal Recognition to anyone for the academic year 2016-17 after the (extended) time-limit of 2 May, 2016, it will not be possible for us to consider this case for 2016-17. Their case can be considered even for 2017-18, only if they make a proper application before 31.05.2016 and complete all formalities.***

Ask our lawyer to apprise the Court of this factual legal position and of our consequential legal difficulty in complying with the order given. The Court may be requested kindly to review the order and direct the applicant to make a proper application before 31.05.2016 for consideration w.e.f. 2017-18.

The institution submitted its written representation on 28.05.2016 requesting for return of D.D. No. 750075 dt. 14.03.2016 for Rs.1.5 lakh. Accordingly, the DD No. 750075 dt. 14.03.2016 was returned to the institution on 01.06.2016.

A letter dated 30.05.2016 received from the Advocate P.R. Gopinathan on 02.06.2016 regarding W.P. No. 18301 of 2016 filed by Usha Patchumanan College of Education.

A court order dated 26.05.2016 received on 06.06.2016 from the Hon'ble High Court of Madras in W.P.No. 18301 of 2016 & W.M.P.No.16008 of 2016 filed by Usha Latchumanan College of Education, Puducherry.

The Hon'ble High court order stated as follows:

> ***"....though the petitioner has prayed for larger relief, this court, in the light of the above facts and circumstances, directs the 1st respondent to consider and dispose of the petitioner's representation dated 09.05.2016 on merits and in accordance with law and pass orders on or before 08.06.2016 and inform the decision taken, to the petitioner.***
>
> ***The writ petition is disposed of with the above direction. No costs. Consequently, the connected miscellaneous petition is closed."***

As per Hon'ble High court direction **The SMG Anjalai Ammal Educational Society, Thirukkanur Village, T.V. Malai Road, Villianur Taluk & Mandal, Thirukkanur City, Pondicherry District-605501, Pondicherry** had applied for grant of recognition to **Usha Latchumanan College of Education, Thirukkanur Village, T.V. Malai Road, Villianur Taluk & Mandal, Thirukkanur City, Pondicherry District-605501, Pondicherry** for offering **B.A.B.Ed/B.Sc.B.Ed** integrated course for four years duration for the academic year 2017-18

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

under Section 14/15 of the NCTE Act, 1993 to the Southern Regional Committee, NCTE through online on **30.05.2016**. The institution submitted the hard copy of the application on **16.06.2016.**

The application was processed as per NCTE (Recognition Norms and Procedures) Regulations, 2014 notified by NCTE on 01.12.2014.

A letter to State Government for recommendation was sent on 27.06.2016, followed by reminder on 01.10.2016.

A letter dated 28.07.2016 received from the NCTE-Hqrs on 28.07.2016 & 08.08.2016 regarding status of letter from Shri. Veerappan, Former State Vice-President for early permission from, SRC Bangalore for two units of 4 years integrated course from 2016-17.

A letter dated 27.07.2016 received from Government of Puducherry, Chief secretariat, Puducherry-605001 on 01.08.2016 stated as under:

> ***"...a decision was taken earlier (vide reference second cited) by this administration that no further programme of the following four Teacher Education courses are required to be entertained for the academic year 2017-18.***
>
> ***1) Bachelor of Elementary (B.El.Ed).***
> ***2) Bachelor of Education(B.Ed) through ODL Mode.***
> ***3) Integrated B.Ed-M.Ed programme of 3 years duration.***
> ***4) B.Ed (part-time) programme of 2 years duration.***
>
> ***Accordingly, the applicant of M/S. SMG Anjalai Ammal Educational Society, Thirukkanur Village, T.V. Malai Road, Thirukkanur, Puducherry seeking grant of recognition to Usha Latchumanan College of Education, Thirukkanur, Puducherry, for conducting B.A.B.Ed/B.Sc.B.Ed courses, forwarded with a request to furnish the recommendation of the State Government- is not agreed to.***

NCTE-Hqrs in its letter dated 29.08.2016 received on 06.09.2016 enclosed a written representation dated 18.06.2016 along with a court order in W.P. No. 18301 of 2016 & W.M.P. No. 16008 of 2016 with a requesting to take necessary action.

The institution submitted a letter dated 27.10.2016, received on 01.11.2016 along with a copy of the Government of Puducherry letter dated 26.05.2016. The State Government vide this letter informed that it has already conveyed vide its letter dated 26.03.2015 not to grant recognition for 2017-2018 for the four TEIs as indicated above.

It was observed from the file that,

1. **There is contradiction in letter of the State govt. i.e vide letter dated 26.05.2016. It has mentioned not to grant recognition for B.El.Ed, B.Ed(ODL), B.Ed-M.Ed integrated and B.Ed(Part-time), whereas vide letter dated 27.07.2015 the State Govt. while quoting about its letter dated 26.05.2016 has conveyed not to grant recognition for B.A.B.Ed/B.Sc.B.Ed to the institution.**

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		2. ***The institution submitted application online on 30.05.2016 and hard copy*** **received on 16.06.2016, which is after 15 days from the date of online submission of application. However, NCTE vide letter No.F.49-4/2014/NCTE/N&S dated 22.08.2016 conveyed the direction that 15th July, 2016 shall be the last date for receipt of hard copy irrespective of online submission.** 3. **As per the Public Notice issued on 09.03.2016 for inviting applications for 2017-2018, there is a ban for D.El.Ed, B.Ed and B.P.Ed courses only.** 4. **As per application, the institution is a non-minority institution.** SRC in its 324th meeting held during 7th to 8th December, 2016 considered the matter and decided as under:- 1. **The U.T. Admn's reply to our letter is in 2 parts. The first part is about 4 courses not cited by us. We cannot, therefore, take that into account. The second part of their reply is specifically about this case, this applicant and, the 2 courses proposed by them. We have, therefore, to take them into consideration as their objection.** 2. **In terms of the Supreme Court order, in the L.B.S. B.Ed College case, RCs are not bound by the observations of the State Govt / U.T. Admn. But, RCs are expected to pass a speaking order why they accept or not accept the objection of the State Govt. / U.T. Admn.** 3. **Please request the Puducherry govt. to disclose the reason for their objection to our considering this application.** As per the decision of SRC, a letter was addressed to the Under Secretary to Govt., Higher and Technical Education, Government of Puducherry on 22.12.2016 requesting to disclose the reason for objection to SRC for considering the application. Since reply had not been received from the State Government, the matter was placed before SRC in its 328th meeting held on 31st January, 2016 and the Committee decided as under :- 1. **The Puducherry Govt.'s objection is in general terms. It is with reference to 4 other courses not cited by us.** 2. **They have not given reasons for extending the objection to B.A.B.Ed./B.Sc.B.Ed. also.** 3. **They have not cared to respond to our letter requesting for clarification.** 4. **We, therefore, treat the objection as unacceptable.** 5. **Process the case and put up.** As per the decision of SRC, the application was processed and placed before SRC in its 329th meeting held on 06th to 07th February, 2017 the committee considered and matter and decided as under:- 1. **Title is clear. Land area of 5246 sq.mts. is adequate.** 2. **LUC is in order.** 3. **EC is not given.** 4. **BP- not legible. Not approved by competent authority. Built-up area separately shown is 4639 sq.mts.** 5. **BCC is in order. Built-up area shown is 4639 sq.mts.**

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

6. FDRs not given.
7. NOCs of Govt. and University have been received.
8. Cause inspection.
9. Ask VT to collect all relevant documents.

A letter was received from the Mr.F.P.Verbina Jayaraj, Undersecretary to Govt (Higher & Technical Education) dated 07.02.2017, received by this office on 14.02.2017.

On 10.02.2017 letter was received by this office on 14.02.2017 regarding requesting for one unit of B.Ed (2 years) program and proposed one unit of 4 years I.C. (B.A B.Ed and B.Sc, B.Ed Program).

As per the decision of SRC and as per Regulations 2014 inspection of the institution was scheduled through online mode. VT Members names were generated through On-line VT module for inspection during the period on 06.03.2017 to 26.03.2017

The institution has submitted representation on 14.03.2017.

Hard copy of Visiting Team report was received on 28.03.2017 and online copy not submitted.

The SRC in its 334th meeting held on 30th to 31st March, 2017 the committee considered the matter and decided as under:-

1. **LUC is not legible. Original is required.**
2. **Original EC is enquired. Details are not clear in the EC given.**
3. **BP is in order. Only, original is required.**
4. **BCC is in order. Built-up area of 4157 sq.mts. is inadequate.**

5.1 The application is for B.A.B.Ed.(1 unit) and B.Sc.B.Ed.(1 unit), But, VT Inspection report refers only to one unit. Let us go by what the VT has reported. If they represent, we can reconsider w.r.t. adequacy of built-up area.

5.2 Built-up area required i.e.,...
B.Ed.(2 units)..... 2000 sq.mts.
4yr. integ. Course(1 unit)....1500 sq.mts.
(They must indicate their choice of course).

9. **FDRs given are copies of the FDRs given for B.Ed. This is objectionable. FDRs are required@7+5 lakhs, in original, in joint account, for each unit of each course.**
10. **CD not given.**
11. **Issue SCN accordingly.**

Before issuance of SCN, based on the website information of the SRC decision, the institution has submitted a reply on 05.04.2017 and stating as under:-

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

Sl. No.	Deficiency Pointed out in [illegible]	Reply of the institution	Details of the documents submitted
1	LUC is not legible. Original is required.	The Govt. of Pondicherry member secretary PPA has issued a letter in respect of LUC reads as "**with reference to the subject and your letter cited above, it is to inform that the Educational institutions are permitted in Agricultural area as**	• **LUC not submitted**
2	Original EC is required. Details are not clear in the EC given.	English version is enclosed with original EC.	Two copies of original EC in Regional language & English version also submitted.

Name of the institution	SMG Anjalai Ammal Educational Society
Survey No	Sy No – 206/2
Extent	-
Search for the period	01.01.1985 to 01.02.2017
Issued dated	06.02.2017
Approved authority	Sub registrar

2) Encumbrance certificate

Name of the	Usha w/o Latchumanan
Survey	349/1

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

				Extent	Not clear
				Search	01.01.1983 to
				Issued	08.03.2017
				Approve	Sub registrar
				3) Encumbrance certificate	
				Name of the institutio	SMG Anjalai Ammal Educational
				Survey No Extent	Sy No 349/1, 28 cents R.SNo.349 (1) extend of 31 cents
				Approve	-
		3	BP is in order. Only, original is required.	BP is in order, now original as produced for verification and return	**The institution has submitted colour copy of**
				Name of the institutio	SMG Anjalai Ammal Educational
				Survey No	R.S No – 206/2
				Extent	-
				Built up	Not legible
				Issued	-
				Approve d	Member secretary Puducherry
		4	BCC is in order. Built-up area of 4157 sq.mts.	Built -up area is 4157 Sq.mt. available	• **The institution already submitted BCC at page No. 305, fresh BCC not submitted.**

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		5	The application is for B.A.B.Ed.(1 unit) and B.Sc.B.Ed.(1 unit), But, VT Inspection report refers only to one unit. Let us go by what the VT has reported. If they represent, we can reconsider w.r.t. adequacy of built-up area.	i) For 2 year B.Ed programme (2 units) - **1500+500=2000 Sq.mts** ii) For 4 year BA.B.Ed (I.C) programme (2 units) **1500+500=2000 Sq.mts** so total built up area for above (both) course = **4000 Sq.mts**	

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

			Built-up area required i.e.,... B.Ed.(2 units)..... 2000 sq.mts. 4yr. integ. Course(1 unit)....1500 sq.mts. (They must indicate their choice of course).	Allocation of Built up area **Choice a) 2 yr B.Ed programme (already recognition accorded)** Programme B.Ed (2 yr) one unit (existing) = **1500 sq.mts** Additional one unit of 2 yr B.Ed (existing) = **500 sq.mt** **Total Area = 2000 sq.mt** **b) 4 yr integrated programme (proposed)** BA.B.Ed & B.Sc B.Ed (both considered as one programme. as has been B.Ed & M.Ed considered as one program (NCTE norms page 118 – table) Built up area for one unit B.A,B.Ed = **1500 sq.mts** Additional one unit of B.A B.Ed = **500 sq.mts** Total area = **2000 sq.mts** **Grant Total Area I a) + I b) 2000+2000 = 4000 sq.mt** **(OR)**	As stated by the institution.
		6	FDRs given are copies of the FDRs given for		**Original FDRs submitted.**

	3 Lakhs	4 Lakhs
FDR / A/c	136400 PD000	0596817

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

	B.Ed. This is objectionable. FDRs are required@7+5 lakhs, in original, in joint account, for each unit of each course.	FDRs- are produced originals for verification & return	Whether in single or	joint A/c	joint A/c
			Date of issue	30.10.2014	23.06.2015
			Date of Maturit	22.06.2019	23.06.2020
			Name of issuing Bank	Punjab nation	Indian Bank
			• Original FDR Rs.5 lakhs for a period of 5 years in joint account is submitted, the FDRs have already expired.		
7	CD not given.	CD in respect of inspection held by VT on 19.03.2017 is enclosed	• The institution has submitted CD.		

Remarks :-

- **LUC submitted earliest at page no 60 (fresh LUC not submitted).**
- **Two copies of original Encumbrance certificate submitted in Regional language and English version (Photocopy).**
- **The institution has submitted Colour Photocopy of Building plan, built up area details not legible.**
- **The institution already submitted BCC at page No. 305, fresh BCC not submitted.**
- **The Original FDRs Rs. 5 Lakhs the due date is expired, the institution has submitted Rs.3 Lakhs & Rs.4 Lakh in Joint account.**

The Committee considered the Show Cause Notice reply and decided as under:-

1. **Title is in order. Land area is adequate.**
2. **LUC-not required in Puducherry.**
3. **EC – is given.**
4. **BP is there. It is duly approved. Built-up area shown is not legible.**
5. **BCC-duly approved; in format. Built-up area shown is 4157**

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman

		sq.mts. It is adequate to meet the requirement of 3500 sq.mts.(1500 for B.Sc.B.Ed. + 2000 for B.Ed.). 6. BP is dated 27.8.2010. BCC is dated NIL. BCC shows year of construction as 2004-05! This is serious. 7.1 FDRs will be required in original, in joint account, with a 5 year validity @ 7+5 lakhs for each unit of each course. 7.2 One FDR of the old course has expired. They have to give s eparately for each unit of the old course. 7.3 They have to give FDRs separately for the new course. 8. Issue SCN accordingly.

(S. Sathyam)
Chairman